# Sets of Completely Decomposed Primes in Extensions of Number Fields

by Kay Wingberg

June 15, 2014

Let p be a prime number and let k(p) be the maximal p-extension of a number field k. If T is a set of primes of k, then  $k^T(p)$  denotes the maximal p-extension of k which is completely decomposed at T. Assuming that T is finite, the canonical homomorphism

$$\phi^{T}(p): \underset{\mathfrak{p}\in T(k^{T}(p))}{*} G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k(p)|k) \longrightarrow G(k(p)|k^{T}(p))$$

of the free pro-*p*-product of the decomposition groups  $G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k(p)|k)$  into the Galois group  $G(k(p)|k^T(p))$  is an isomorphism, see [3] theorem (10.5.8); here the prime  $\mathfrak{P}$  is an arbitrary extension of  $\mathfrak{p}$  to k(p).

In the profinite case, i.e. considering the maximal Galois extension  $k^T$  which is completely decomposed at the finite set T, there exist suitable extensions  $\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\phi^T$  is an isomorphism of profinite groups. If  $T = S_{\infty}$  is the set archimedean primes, this is a result of Fried-Haran-Völklein [1] and in general it is proven by Pop [4].

The fact that  $\phi^T(p)$  is an isomorphism if T is finite implies very strong properties for the extension  $k^T(p)|k$ . In particular, the (strict) cohomological dimension of the Galois group  $G(k^T(p)|k)$  is equal to 2 (if p = 2 one has to require that kis totally imaginary). Furthermore, we get for the corresponding local extensions that

(\*) 
$$(k^T(p))_{\mathfrak{P}} = k_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)$$
 for all primes  $\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p} \notin T$ ,

i.e.  $k^T(p)$  realizes the maximal *p*-extension  $k_p(p)$  of the local fields  $k_p$  for all primes not in *T*. In particular, the set *T* is equal to the set  $D(k^T(p)|k)$  of all primes of *k* which decomposed completely in the extension  $k^T(p)|k$ . We will say that *T* is *saturated* if it has this property and we call the stronger property (\*) that *T* is *strongly saturated*. If the Dirichlet density  $\delta(T)$  is positive, then *T* is saturated if and only if *T* is the set of completely decomposed primes of a *finite* Galois extension of *k*. If T is an arbitrary set of primes of k, we call the set  $\hat{T} = D(k^T(p)|k)$  the saturation of T. The most interesting case is that T is an infinite set of primes of density zero. If T is saturated, then the extension  $k^T(p)|k$  is infinite. We will see that there exist infinite sets T of primes such that  $\delta(\hat{T}) > \delta(T) = 0$ , and also sets T such that  $\delta(\hat{T}) = \delta(T) = 0$ . An important example is the following.

**Theorem 1:** Let p be an odd prime number and let k be a CM-field containing the group  $\mu_p$  of all p-th roots of unity, with maximal totally real subfield  $k^+$ , i.e.  $k = k^+(\mu_p)$  is totally imaginary and  $[k : k^+] = 2$ . Let  $S_p = \{\mathfrak{p}|p\}$  and

$$T = \{ \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \cap k^+ \text{ is inert in } k \mid k^+ \}.$$

Then  $T \cup S_p$  is strongly saturated.

Moreover, in the example above we get that the Galois group

$$G((k^T)_{nr}(p)|k^T(p))$$

of the maximal unramified *p*-extension of  $(k^T)_{nr}(p)$  of  $k^T(p)$  is a free pro-*p*-group. This will follow from a more general theorem which deals with a generalization of the notion of saturated sets.

A set T = T(k) is called *stably saturated* if the sets T(k') are saturated for every finite Galois extension k'|k inside  $k^T(p)$ . These sets are necessarily of density 0 if they are not equal to set  $\mathcal{P}$  of all primes. Obviously, strongly staturated sets are stably saturated. We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2:** Let  $T \neq \mathcal{P}$  be a stably saturated set of primes of a number field k. Then the canonical map

$$\phi^{T}(p): \underset{\mathfrak{P}\in T(k^{T}(p))}{\ast} (G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k(p)|k), T_{\mathfrak{P}}(k(p)|k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(k(p)/k^{T}(p))$$

is an isomorphism.

Here  $*_{\mathfrak{P}\in T(k^T(p))}(G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k(p)|k), T_{\mathfrak{P}}(k(p)|k))$  denotes the free corestricted pro*p*-product of the decomposition groups  $G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k(p)|k)$  with respect to the inertia groups  $T_{\mathfrak{P}}(k(p)|k)$ , see [2].

**Corollary:** In the situation of theorem 1 let  $\tilde{T} = T \cup S_p$ . Then

$$\underset{\mathfrak{P}\in\tilde{T}(k^{\tilde{T}}(p))}{\ast}(G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k(p)|k), T_{\mathfrak{P}}(k(p)|k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(k(p)/k^{T}(p))$$

Finally I would like to thank Jochen Gärtner for helpful discussions on this subject and Alexander Ivanov for valuable comments.

### 1 Saturated sets of primes of a number field

We start with some remarks on complete lattices. Let

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow[\psi]{\varphi} \mathcal{B}$$

be maps between complete lattices  $(\mathcal{A}, \subseteq)$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \subseteq)$  with the following properties:

I.  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are order-reversing,

II.  $A \subseteq \psi \varphi(A)$  and  $B \subseteq \varphi \psi(B)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

For  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  we define the *saturation* 

$$\hat{A} := \psi \varphi(A) \text{ and } \hat{B} := \varphi \psi(B),$$

and call  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  resp.  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  to be *saturated* if  $A = \hat{A}$  resp.  $B = \hat{B}$ . We put

$$\mathcal{A}_{sat} = \{ A \in \mathcal{A} \mid A \text{ is saturated} \}, \qquad \mathcal{B}_{sat} = \{ B \in \mathcal{B} \mid B \text{ is saturated} \},$$

and we have the following properties:

- (i)  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$  implies  $\hat{A}_1 \subseteq \hat{A}_2$  and  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$  implies  $\hat{B}_1 \subseteq \hat{B}_2$ .
- (ii)  $\varphi \psi \varphi = \varphi, \ \psi \varphi \psi = \psi, \ \hat{A} = \hat{A}, \ \hat{B} = \hat{B}.$
- (iii)  $\mathcal{B}_{sat}$  is the image of  $\mathcal{A}$  under  $\varphi$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{sat}$  is the image of  $\mathcal{B}$  under  $\psi$ , i.e.  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{sat}$  and  $\psi : \mathcal{B} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{sat}$ , and  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  induce bijections

$$\mathcal{A}_{sat} \xrightarrow[\psi]{\varphi} \mathcal{B}_{sat}.$$

(iv)

$$\varphi(\bigcup_i A_i) = \bigcap_i \varphi(A_i), \qquad \varphi(\bigcap_i \psi(B_i)) = \widehat{\bigcup_i B_i}.$$

In particular, the infimum of saturated elements is again saturated. The verification of these statements is straightforward using the properties I and II of the maps  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ . We define the equivalence relations

$$A_1 \sim A_2 \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \varphi(A_1) = \varphi(A_2) \qquad \text{for} \quad A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$$

and

$$B_1 \sim B_2 \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \psi(B_1) = \psi(B_2) \qquad \text{for} \quad B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B},$$

and denote the classes by [X]. Obviously,  $X \sim \hat{X}$  by (ii) and for  $Y \in [X]$  we have  $Y \subseteq \hat{X}$ , and so  $\hat{X}$  is the unique maximal element of [X]. Furthermore, the surjections  $\psi \varphi : \mathcal{A} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{sat}$  and  $\varphi \psi : \mathcal{B} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{sat}$  induce bijections

$$\psi \varphi : (\mathcal{A}/_{\sim}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_{sat}, \quad [A] \mapsto \hat{A}, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi \psi : (\mathcal{B}/_{\sim}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_{sat}, \quad [B] \mapsto \hat{B}.$$

Now let K be a number field. We use the following notation:  $S_{\infty}$ ,  $S_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $S_{\mathbb{C}}$  are the sets of archimedean, real and complex primes of K, respectively,  $\mathcal{P}$  is the set of all primes of K, and if p is a prime number, then  $S_p$  is the set of all primes of K above p.

If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime of K, then  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the completion of K with respect to  $\mathfrak{p}$ . If L|K is a Galois extension, then we denote the decomposition group and inertia group of the Galois group G(L|K) with respect to  $\mathfrak{p}$  by  $G_{\mathfrak{p}}(L|K)$  and  $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(L|K)$ , respectively.

For a set S of primes of K, let  $\delta(S) = \delta_K(S)$  be its Dirichlet density. If S = S(K) is a set of primes and K'|K an algebraic extension of K, then we denote the set of primes of K' consisting of all prolongations of S by S(K').

If  $\mathfrak{c}$  is a class of finite groups which is closed under taking subgroups, homomorphic images and group extensions, then  $K(\mathfrak{c})$  is the maximal pro- $\mathfrak{c}$ -extension of K, and in particular if p is a prime number, K(p) denotes the maximal pextension of K. By abuse of notation, we denote the maximal pro- $\mathfrak{c}$  extension of K which is completely decomposed at T by  $K^T(\mathfrak{c})$ , and  $K^T(p)$  is the maximal p-extension of K inside  $K^T$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{E}_{K} = \{L \mid L \text{ is a Galois extension of } K\} \xrightarrow{\varphi}_{\psi} \{T \mid T \text{ is a set of primes of } K\} = \mathcal{S}_{K}$$

where

 $\varphi(L) = D(L|K)$  is the set of primes which are completely decomposed in L|K,  $\psi(T) = K^T$  is the maximal Galois extension of K which is completely decomposed at T.

Obviously, the maps  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are order-reversing and

$$L \subseteq \psi \varphi(L) = K^{D(L|K)} =: \hat{L}$$
 and  $T \subseteq \varphi \psi(T) = D(K^T|K) =: \hat{T}.$ 

Furthermore, we define the equivalence relations

$$L_1 \sim L_2 \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad D(L_1|K) = D(L_2|K) \quad \text{for } L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{E}_K$$

and

$$T_1 \sim T_2 \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad K^{T_1} = K^{T_2} \qquad \qquad \text{for } T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{S}_K.$$

**Definition 1.1** The extension  $L \in \mathcal{E}_K$  is called **saturated** if  $\hat{L} = L$ , *i.e.* 

$$L = K^{D(L|K)}.$$

and the set  $T \in \mathcal{S}_K$  is called **saturated** if  $\hat{T} = T$ , i.e.

$$T = D(K^T | K).$$

We strengthen the notion of saturated sets in the following way:

**Definition 1.2** Let T be a set of primes of K.

- (i) The set T = T(K) is called **stably saturated** if the sets  $T(K') \in S_{K'}$  are saturated for every finite Galois extension K'|K inside  $K^T$ .
- (ii) We call T to be strongly saturated if

 $(K^T)_{\mathfrak{P}} = \bar{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad \text{for all primes} \quad \mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}, \ \mathfrak{p} \notin T,$ 

where  $\bar{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the algebraic closure of  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Remark 1:** If we consider the set  $\mathcal{E}_K(\mathfrak{c}) = \{L \mid L \text{ is a pro-}\mathfrak{c}\text{-extension of } K\}$ , we define a set  $T \in \mathcal{S}_K$  to be  $\mathfrak{c}$ -saturated if  $T = D(K^T(\mathfrak{c})|K)$ , and an extension  $L \in \mathcal{E}_K(\mathfrak{c})$  is called  $\mathfrak{c}\text{-saturated}$  if  $L = K^{D(L|K)}(\mathfrak{c})$ . Analogously, we define stably  $\mathfrak{c}$ -saturated and strongly  $\mathfrak{c}$ -saturated, e.g. T is strongly  $\mathfrak{c}$ -saturated if  $(K^T(\mathfrak{c}))_{\mathfrak{P}} = K_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{c})$  for all primes  $\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p} \notin T$ .

We say, a prime of K is **redundant** (or more precise,  $\mathfrak{c}$ -redundant) if is totally decomposed in every extension inside  $K(\mathfrak{c})$ . Obviously, redundant primes are necessarily archimedean primes and the complex primes are always redundant. But also real primes might be redundant if we restrict to pro- $\mathfrak{c}$ -extensions, e.g. if we consider p-extensions where p is an odd prime number. Therefore we make the following

**Convention:** In the following all considered primes are not redundant and  $S_K = S_K(\mathfrak{c})$  consists only of sets of non-redundant primes for the extension  $K(\mathfrak{c})|K$ .

Immediately from the definitions (and the convention) above we get

Lemma 1.3 Let  $T \in \mathcal{S}_K$ .

- (i) T is saturated if and only if  $(K^T)_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \notin T$ .
- (ii) T is stably saturated if and only if (K<sup>T</sup>)<sub>p</sub>|K<sub>p</sub> is an infinite extension for all p ∉ T.

From the general remarks on partial ordered sets we see that there are bijections  $\varphi$ 

$$(\mathcal{E}_K)_{sat} \xrightarrow{\varphi}_{\psi} (\mathcal{S}_K)_{sat}$$

and we have the following

#### Lemma 1.4

- (i)  $T_1 \subseteq T_2$  implies  $\hat{T}_1 \subseteq \hat{T}_2$  and  $L_1 \subseteq L_2$  implies  $\hat{L}_1 \subseteq \hat{L}_2$ .
- (ii)  $K^T = K^{\hat{T}}$  and  $D(L|K) = D(\hat{L}|K)$ .
- (iii)  $D(\prod_i L_i|K) = \bigcap_i D(L_i|K)$  and  $K^{\bigcup_i T_i} = \bigcap_i K^{T_i}$ .
- (iv)  $D(\bigcap_i K^{T_i}|K) = \widehat{\bigcup_i T_i}$  and  $K^{\bigcap_i D(L_i|K)} = \widehat{\prod_i L_i}$ .

#### Theorem 1.5

- (i) If T is a finite set of primes of K, then T is strongly saturated.
- (ii) If L is a finite Galois extension of K, then L is saturated.

**Proof:** (i) Let p be a prime number and let L|K be a finite Galois extension inside  $K^T$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \notin T$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}_0$  a fixed extension of  $\mathfrak{p}_0$  to  $K^T$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{P}}_0$  the restriction of  $\mathfrak{P}_0$  to L. By the theorem of Grunwald/Wang (see [3], theorem (9.2.2)) the canonical homomorphism

$$H^{1}(L, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{1}(L_{\overline{\mathfrak{P}}_{0}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \in T(L)} H^{1}(L_{\mathfrak{P}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

is surjective. In particular, for every  $\alpha_{\overline{\mathfrak{P}}_0} \in H^1(L_{\overline{\mathfrak{P}}_0}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}))$  there exists an element  $\beta \in H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  which is mapped to  $(\alpha_{\overline{\mathfrak{P}}_0}, 0, \dots, 0)$ . But  $\beta$  lies in the subgroup  $H^1(K^T|L, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  of  $H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ . Therefore

$$H^1(K^T|L, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^1(L_{\overline{\mathfrak{P}}_0}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

is surjective. Varying L and p, it follows that the completion of  $K^T$  with respect to the prime  $\mathfrak{P}_0, \mathfrak{P}_0 | \mathfrak{p}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \notin T$ , is equal to the algebraic closure of  $K_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$  (since  $G(\overline{K}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}|K_{\mathfrak{p}_0})$  is pro-solvable).

(ii) Let L' be a finite Galois extension of K with  $L \subseteq L' \subseteq K^{D(L|K)}$ . Since  $D(L|K) \subseteq D(L'|K)$ , we obtain for the densities of these sets the inequality  $\delta(D(L|K)) \leq \delta(D(L'|K))$ , and so, by Čebotarev's density theorem,

$$[L':K] = \delta(D(L'|K))^{-1} \le \delta(D(L|K))^{-1} = [L:K].$$
  
This shows that  $L' = L$  and so  $L = K^{D(L|K)}$ .

Now we consider sets of primes which are infinite and of density equal to 0.

**Proposition 1.6** For a set T of primes we have

$$\delta(T) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad K^T | K \text{ is an infinite extension.}$$

**Proof:** Since  $\hat{T} = D(K^T|K)$ , it follows that  $\delta(\hat{T}) > 0$  if  $K^T|K$  is a finite extension. Conversely, assume that  $K^T|K$  is infinite and let L be a finite Galois extension of K inside  $K^T$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{[L:K]} = \delta(D(L|K)) \ge \delta(\hat{T}),$$

and so  $\delta(\hat{T}) = 0$ .

**Remark 2:** From the proposition above and lemma (1.3)(ii) it follows that a stably saturated set of primes  $T \neq \mathcal{P}$  has necessarily density equal to 0.

**Remark 3:** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exist infinite sets T of primes such that

$$\frac{1}{n} = \delta(\hat{T}) > \delta(T) = 0.$$

Example 1: Let L|K be a Galois extension of the number field K such that [L:K] = n. The set  $\mathcal{E}_{L|K}^{fin}$  of proper finite extensions of L being Galois over K is countable, say  $\mathcal{E}_{L|K}^{fin} = \{L_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We choose for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  an element  $\sigma_i \in G(L_i|L), \sigma_i \neq 1$ , and a prime  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  of K which is unramified in  $L_i|K$  having a Frobenius  $\left(\frac{L_i|K}{\mathfrak{P}_i}\right) = \sigma_i, \mathfrak{P}_i|\mathfrak{p}_i$ . Since there exist infinitely many such primes, there is a  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  such that  $N_{K|\mathbb{Q}}\mathfrak{p}_i \geq i^2$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{1}{N_{K|\mathbb{Q}}\mathfrak{p}_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{1}{i^2}$$

converges, and so the set  $T = \{\mathfrak{p}_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  has density equal to 0. Furthermore we have

$$K^T = L.$$

Indeed, since every  $\mathbf{p} \in T$  is completely decomposed in L, we have  $L \subseteq K^T$  and a finite Galois extension  $E|K, L \subsetneq E \subseteq K^T$  would be a field  $L_i$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and so  $\mathbf{p}_i \in T$  would not be completely decomposed in E|K. Therefore  $\hat{T} = D(L|K)$  and  $\delta(\hat{T}) = \frac{1}{n} > 0$ .

**Remark 4:** There exist infinite sets T of primes such that

$$\delta(\hat{T}) = \delta(T) = 0.$$

Example 2: Assume that K is a number field such that

- (i) K is not totally real,
- (ii) there exists a proper subfield E of K such that K|E is a cyclic extension.

We denote the Galois group G(K|E) by  $\Delta$ . Let

$$T_0 = \{ \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \cap E \text{ is inert in } K \mid E \}.$$

Then  $T_0$  is an infinite set of primes of K of density equal to 0. Let p be a prime number not dividing [K : E] whose extensions to E are completely decomposed or totally ramified in K|E. Let  $K_{\infty}$  resp.  $E_{\infty}$  be the compositum of all  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of K resp. E. Then

$$G(K_{\infty}|K) = \mathbb{Z}_p^{r_2(K)+1+\delta_K}, \qquad G(E_{\infty}|E) = \mathbb{Z}_p^{r_2(E)+1+\delta_E},$$

where  $r_2$  denotes the number of complex places and  $\delta$  is the so-called Leopoldt defect. We have a decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ -modules

$$G(K_{\infty}|K) \cong G(E_{\infty}|E) \oplus M,$$

where M is a  $\Delta$ -module with  $M^{\Delta} = 0$  and  $r = \operatorname{rang}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} M \ge r_2(K) - r_2(E) > 0$ , since  $\delta_K \ge \delta_E$ . Let L be the subfield of  $K_{\infty}$  corresponding to  $G(K_{\infty}|K)^{\Delta} \cong G(E_{\infty}|E)$ , i.e.

$$G(L|K) \cong M$$

and so  $G(L|K)^{\Delta} = 0$ . Observe that L is a Galois extension of E. Now let  $\mathfrak{p} \in T_0$ . For the decomposition group with respect to  $\mathfrak{p}$  we have the split exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G_{\mathfrak{p}}(L|K) \longrightarrow G_{\mathfrak{p}}(L|E) \longrightarrow \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

If  $G_{\mathfrak{p}}(L|K)$  would be non-trivial, then  $G_{\mathfrak{p}}(L|E)$  is not abelian since  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \Delta \neq 0$ acts non-trivially on  $G_{\mathfrak{p}}(L|K) \subseteq G(L|K)$ . But  $\mathfrak{p}$  lies not above p and so it is unramified in L|K, thus unramified in L|E and so  $G_{\mathfrak{p}}(L|E)$  is cyclic. Therefore all primes in  $T_0$  are completely decomposed in the infinite extension L|K. Let

$$T = D(L|K).$$

Then T is an infinite saturated set (containing  $T_0$ ), i.e.  $T = \hat{T}$ , and since  $K^T$  is an infinite extension (containing L), it follows from proposition (1.6) that  $\delta(\hat{T}) = 0$ .

Furthermore, if  $S_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq T$ , then

 $(K^T)_{\mathfrak{p}}|K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an infinite extension if  $\mathfrak{p} \notin T$ ,

hence T is stably saturated. This follows from the fact, that for a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \notin D(L|K)$  the non-trivial decomposition group  $G_{\mathfrak{p}}(L|K)$  has to be a infinite subgroup of  $G(L|K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ .

An example for the situation above is a CM-field K with maximal totally real subfield  $K^+$ . If  $T_0(K^+) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is inert in } K \}$ , then  $T_0 = T_0(K)$  is infinite of density equal to zero. Let  $K_{ac}$  be the anti-cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $K, p \neq 2$ ,

and  $T = D(K_{ac}|K)$ . Then  $T_0 \subseteq T = \hat{T}$  and so  $K^T|K$  is an infinite extension and therefore  $\delta(\hat{T}) = 0$ .

Now we consider the cardinality of an equivalence class [T] of a set of primes T of K. By definition, the saturation  $\hat{T}$  of T is the unique maximal element of [T] (with respect to the inclusion). But the example 1 of remark 3 shows that there might be infinitely many different (even pairwise disjoint) minimal elements in the class [T].

#### Proposition 1.7

(i) If L is a finite Galois extension of K, then

$$\#[L] = 1 \quad and \quad \#[D(L|K)] = \infty$$

(ii) If T is a finite set of primes, then

$$#[T] = 1 \quad and \quad #[K^T] = \infty.$$

**Proof:** Let  $L' \in [L]$ . Then  $L' \subseteq \hat{L} = L$  by theorem (1.5)(ii). Thus L'|K is finite and so

$$L' = K^{D(L'|K)} = K^{D(L|K)} = L.$$

In order to prove the second assertion of (i), let L be a finite Galois extension of K and let  $T \subseteq D(L|K)$  be a subset of density equal to zero. Then

$$L = K^{D(L|K)} = K^{D(L|K)\setminus T}.$$

hence every subset  $D(L|K) \setminus T$  with  $\delta(T) = 0$  is contained in [D(L|K)].

By theorem (1.5)(i), every subset of T is (strongly) saturated. Thus the first assertion of (ii) follows. For the second let  $S = T \cup \{p\}$ , where p is some prime not in T. By [3](10.5.8) we have for the Galois group of the extension  $K^T(p)|K^S(p)$  the isomorphism

$$\underset{\mathfrak{p}\in S\setminus T(K^S(p))}{\ast} G(K_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)|K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(K^T(p)|K^S(p)),$$

where p is some prime number and E(p)|K denotes the maximal p-extension inside a Galois extension E|K. In particular, the extension  $K^T|K^S$  is infinite. Since every Galois extension L|K with  $K^S \subsetneq L \subseteq K^T$  is contained in  $[K^T]$ , the second assertion of (ii) follows.  $\Box$ 

## **2** The maximal *p*-extension $k^T(p)$ of k

In the following we will consider p-extensions and by a saturated set of primes we always mean a p-saturated set (see remark 1 of the first section).

Let S, T be sets of primes of a (not necessarily finite) number field K and let **p** be a prime of K. Let K(p) be the maximal *p*-extension of K. Mostly we will drop the notion (p). So let

 $K_{nr}$  is the maximal unramified *p*-extension of K,

 $K_S$  is the maximal *p*-extension of K which is unramified outside S,

 $K^T$  is the maximal *p*-extension of K which is completely decomposed at T,

 $K_S^T$  is the maximal *p*-extension of K which is unramified outside S and completely decomposed at T,

$$G_{\mathfrak{p}}(K) = G_{\mathfrak{p}}(K(p)|K) \cong G(K_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)|K_{\mathfrak{p}})$$
 is the decomposition group,  
 $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(K) = T_{\mathfrak{p}}(K(p)|K) \cong T(K_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)|K_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is the inertia group with respect to  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

In the following k will always denote a finite number field. If K|k is an infinite extension of number fields and S a set of primes of k, then S(K) denotes the profinite space

$$S(K) = \lim_{\underset{k'}{\leftarrow}} S(k') \cup \{*_{k'}\}$$

where k' runs through the finite subextensions of K|k and  $S(k') \cup \{*_{k'}\}$  is the one-point compactification of the discrete set S(k') of primes of k' lying above S. According to [3] (10.5.8) and (10.5.10) we have

**Theorem 2.1** If  $R' \subseteq R \subseteq S \subseteq S'$  are sets of primes of k such that  $\delta(S) = 1$ and R is finite, then the canonical homomorphism

$$\phi_{S',S}^{R',R}: \underset{\mathfrak{p}\in S'\backslash S(k_S^R)}{*}T(k_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)|k_{\mathfrak{p}}) * \underset{\mathfrak{p}\in R\backslash R'(k_S^R)}{*}G(k_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)|k_{\mathfrak{p}}) \longrightarrow G(k_{S'}^{R'}|k_S^R)$$

is an isomorphism. Furthermore we have the following assertions concerning the (strict) cohomological dimension: Assume that k is totally imaginary if p = 2, then

$$cd_p G(k_S^R|k) = scd_p G(k_S^R|k) = 2.$$

If L|K is a Galois extension we write  $H^i(L|K, A)$  for  $H^i(G(L|K), A)$ , and for a pro-*p* group *G* we put  $H^i(G) = H^i(G, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ . For a *p*-extension K|k we will use the notation

$$\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in T(K)}' H^i(G_\mathfrak{p}(k(p)|K)) := \varinjlim_{k'} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in T(k')} H^i(G_\mathfrak{p}(k(p)|k'))$$

where k' runs through all finite subextensions of K|k.

**Proposition 2.2** Let p be a prime number and let T be a set of primes of a number field k of density  $\delta(T) = 0$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) T is stably saturated.
- (ii)  $cd_p \ G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k(p)|k^T) \leq 1 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \notin T.$
- (iii) The canonical map

$$H^2(k(p)|k^T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in T(k^T)} H^2(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k))$$

is an isomorphism.

(iv) The group  $G((k^T)_S|k^T)$  is free for every S with  $\delta(S) = 1, S \cap T = \emptyset$ .

**Proof:** (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii): By lemma (1.3) the set T is stably saturated if and only if the extensions  $(k^T)_{\mathfrak{p}}|k_{\mathfrak{p}}$  are infinite for all primes  $\mathfrak{p} \notin T$ , i.e. if and only if  $cd_p \ G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k(p)|k^T) \leq 1$ , see [3] (7.1.8)(i).

In order to prove (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii), first observe that  $k^T | k$  is an infinite extension as  $\delta(T) = 0$ . Using the Poitou-Tate theorem, see [3] (8.6.10), (10.4.8), and the Hasse principle, loc. cit. (9.1.16), and passing to the limit over all finite extensions k' inside  $k^T | k$ , we obtain the isomorphism

$$H^{2}(k(p)|k^{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in T(k^{T})}' H^{2}(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)) \oplus \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \notin T(k^{T})}' H^{2}(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k(p)|k^{T})),$$

since  $\varinjlim_{k'} H^0(G(k(p)|k'), \mu_p)^{\vee} = 0$ . This shows that (iii) is equivalent to

$$H^2(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k(p)|k^T)) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \notin T(k^T),$$

and so we get (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii).

From the Poitou-Tate exact sequences and the Hasse principle for the extensions k(p)|k and  $k_S|k$  (using  $\delta(S) = 1$ ) we get the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(k_S|k) \longrightarrow H^2(k(p)|k) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} H^2(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Passing to the limit from k to  $k^T$ , we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^2((k^T)_S | k^T) \to H^2(k(p) | k^T) \to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S(k^T)}' H^2(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k^T)) \to 0.$$

Assuming (ii), we have  $H^2(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k(p)|k^T)) = 0$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \notin T(k^T)$ , and so

$$\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\notin S(k^T)}' H^2(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k(p)|k^T)) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in T(k^T)}' H^2(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k(p)|k^T)) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in T(k^T)}' H^2(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k))$$

for every S with  $S \cap T = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $H^2((k^T)_S | k^T) = 0$ , i.e. assertion (iv) holds.

Finally, if  $H^2((k^T)_{\bar{T}}|k^T) = H^2(k_{\bar{T}}|k^T) = 0$  for  $\bar{T} = \mathcal{P} \setminus T$ , then, using again the exact sequence above, assertion (iii) holds.

There is another situation in which the pro-p group  $G((k^T)_S | k^T)$  is free.

**Theorem 2.3** Let p be a prime number and let T and S are sets of primes of a number field k, where  $T \cup S \neq \mathcal{P}$  is strongly saturated and  $T \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then the following holds:

- (i)  $(k^T)_S = k_{\bar{T}} \text{ and } cd_p G((k^T)_S | k^T) \le 1.$
- (ii) If  $\delta(S) = 0$ , then  $\operatorname{cd}_p G((k^T)_{nr}|k^T) \leq 1$ , i.e. the Galois group of the maximal unramified p-extension  $(k^T)_{nr}|k^T$  is a free pro-p-group.

In particular, if  $T \neq \mathcal{P}$  is a strongly saturated set, then

$$\operatorname{cd}_p G((k^T)_{nr}|k^T) \le 1.$$

**Proof:** Since  $T \cup S \neq \mathcal{P}$  is stably saturated, we have  $\delta(T) \leq \delta(T \cup S) = 0$ , hence  $\delta(\bar{T}) = 1$ , where  $\bar{T} = \mathcal{P} \setminus T$ . It follows from proposition (2.2) that the group  $G(k_{\bar{T}}|k^T)$  is free. Since  $T \cup S$  is strongly saturated, the extension  $k^{T \cup S}$ realizes the local extensions for all primes in  $\overline{T \cup S} = \bar{T} \setminus S$ , and so the extension  $k^T$  has this property. Therefore  $k_{\bar{T}}|k^T$  is completely decomposed by  $\overline{T \cup S}$ , hence  $k_{\bar{T}} = (k^T)_{\bar{T}} = (k^T)_S$ . This proves (i).

Now assume that  $\delta(S) = 0$ , hence  $\delta(\overline{T} \setminus S) = 1$ . Let  $K \mid k$  be a finite extension inside  $k^T$ . Using the Poitou-Tate theorem and the Hasse principle, we see that the canonical map

$$H^2(K_{\bar{T}\backslash S}|K) \longrightarrow H^2(k_{\bar{T}}|K)$$

is injective. Passing to the limit, it follows that

$$H^2((k^T)_{\bar{T}\backslash S}|k^T) \longrightarrow H^2(k_{\bar{T}}|k^T)$$

is injective. Since  $(k^T)_{\overline{T}\setminus S} = (k^T)_{nr}$ , the desired result follows from (i).

By theorem (1.5)(i) finite sets are strongly saturated. Now we will show that there are also infinite strongly saturated sets.

**Theorem 2.4** Let p be an odd prime number and let k be a CM-field containing the group  $\mu_p$  of all p-th roots of unity, with maximal totally real subfield  $k^+$ , i.e.  $k = k^+(\mu_p)$  is totally imaginary and  $[k : k^+] = 2$ . Let

$$T = \{ \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \cap k^+ \text{ is inert in } k \mid k^+ \}.$$

Then the set  $T_0 = T \cup S_p$  of primes of k is strongly saturated. Furthermore, the Galois group  $G((k^{T_0})_{nr}|k^{T_0})$  is a free pro-p-group.

#### **Proof:** Let

 $S = \{ \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \cap k^+ \text{ is decomposed in } k \mid k^+ \}$ 

and  $S_1 = \mathcal{P} \setminus T_0 = S \setminus S_p$ . Let  $S_0$  be a subset of  $S_1$  invariant under the action of  $G(k|k^+)$  such that  $V = S_1 \setminus S_0$  is finite (observe that  $T(k_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)|k_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is cyclic for  $\mathfrak{p} \in V$  as  $V \cap S_p = \emptyset$ ). Let K|k be a finite extension inside  $k^{T_0}$  being Galois over  $k^+$  (observe that  $k^{T_0}|k^+$  is a Galois extension as  $T_0$  is invariant under  $G(k|k^+)$ ). First we show the following

Claim: There exists an abelian (not necessarily finite) p-extension L|K, which is Galois over  $k^+$ , central over k, unramified by  $T_0(K)$ , completely decomposed at  $S_p$  and ramified at each prime of V(K):

$$T(k_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)|K_{\mathfrak{p}})_{G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k(p)|k)} \subseteq G_{\mathfrak{p}}(L|K) \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in V(k).$$

*Proof* : Consider the group extension

$$1 \longrightarrow G(K_{S_1 \cup S_p}^{S_p} | K_{S_0 \cup S_p}^{S_p}) \longrightarrow G(K_{S_1 \cup S_p}^{S_p} | K) \longrightarrow G(K_{S_0 \cup S_p}^{S_p} | K) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Since  $\delta(S_0) = 1$ , we have  $H^2(G(K_{S_0 \cup S_p}^{S_p} | K), \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ , see (2.1). In the proof of this claim we write  $H^i(E|F)$  for  $H^i(G(E|F), \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . We obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(K^{S_p}_{S_0 \cup S_p}|K) \to H^1(K^{S_p}_{S_1 \cup S_p}|K) \to H^1(K^{S_p}_{S_1 \cup S_p}|K^{S_p}_{S_0 \cup S_p})^{G(K^{S_p}_{S_0 \cup S_p}|K)} \to 0,$$

and so an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^{1}(K^{S_{p}}_{S_{0}\cup S_{p}}|K)^{G(K|k)} \to H^{1}(K^{S_{p}}_{S_{1}\cup S_{p}}|K)^{G(K|k)} \to H^{1}(K^{S_{p}}_{S_{1}\cup S_{p}}|K^{S_{p}}_{S_{0}\cup S_{p}})^{G(K^{S_{p}}_{S_{0}\cup S_{p}}|k)} \to H^{1}(K|k, H^{1}(K^{S_{p}}_{S_{0}\cup S_{p}}|K)) \to H^{1}(K|k, H^{1}(K^{S_{p}}_{S_{1}\cup S_{p}}|K)).$$

Using again that  $H^2(G(K^{S_p}_{\tilde{S}}|K), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$  where  $\tilde{S} = S_0 \cup S_p$  resp.  $\tilde{S} = S_1 \cup S_p$ , the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences

$$E_2^{i,j} = H^i(K|k, H^j(K_{\tilde{S}}^{S_p}|K)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(K_{\tilde{S}}^{S_p}|k)$$

show that in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{split} H^{1}(K|k, H^{1}(K_{S_{0}\cup S_{p}}^{S_{p}}|K)) & \longrightarrow H^{1}(K|k, H^{1}(K_{S_{1}\cup S_{p}}^{S_{p}}|K)) \\ & \downarrow^{d_{2}^{2,1}} & \downarrow^{d_{2}^{2,1}} \\ H^{3}(K|k, H^{0}(K_{S_{0}\cup S_{p}}^{S_{p}}|K)) & = H^{3}(K|k, H^{0}(K_{S_{1}\cup S_{p}}^{S_{p}}|K)) \end{split}$$

the differentials  $d_2^{2,1}$  are isomorphisms. Thus we obtain an exact sequence

$$\begin{split} 0 &\longrightarrow \left( G(K_{S_1 \cup S_p}^{S_p} | K_{S_0 \cup S_p}^{S_p})^{ab} \right)_{G(K_{S_0 \cup S_p}^{S_p} | k)} \longrightarrow \\ & \left( G(K_{S_1 \cup S_p}^{S_p} | K)^{ab} \right)_{G(K|k)} \longrightarrow \left( G(K_{S_0 \cup S_p}^{S_p} | K)^{ab} \right)_{G(K|k)} \longrightarrow 0. \end{split}$$

Using (2.1), we obtain the isomorphism

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in V(k)} T(k_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)|K_{\mathfrak{p}})_{G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k(p)|k)} \stackrel{can}{\approx} G(K_{S_{1}\cup S_{p}}^{S_{p}}|K_{S_{0}\cup S_{p}}^{S_{p}})^{ab}_{G(K_{S_{0}\cup S_{p}}^{S_{p}}|k)} \subseteq G(K_{S_{1}\cup S_{p}}^{S_{p}}|K)^{ab}_{G(K|k)}.$$

Thus the abelian extension L|K with  $G(L|K) = G(K_{S_1 \cup S_p}^{S_p}|K)_{G(K|k)}^{ab}$  has the desired properties and we proved the claim.

As L|K is central over k,  $G(k|k^+) = <\sigma >$  acts on G(L|K). Thus  $G(L|K) = G(L|K)^+ \oplus G(L|K)^-$ , where  $G(L|K)^{\pm} = G(L|K)^{\sigma\pm 1}$ . Let  $L^{\pm}$  be defined by  $G(L|L^{\pm}) = G(L|K)^{\pm}$ , i.e.  $G(L^{\pm}|K) = G(L|K)/G(L|K)^{\mp}$ .

For  $\mathbf{q} \in T_0 \setminus S_p$  we have the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow G_{\mathfrak{q}}(L^{-}|k) \longrightarrow G_{\mathfrak{q}}(L^{-}|k^{+}) \longrightarrow G_{\mathfrak{q}}(k|k^{+}) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where  $G_{\mathfrak{q}}(L^-|k) = G_{\mathfrak{q}}(L^-|K)$ . Suppose that  $G_{\mathfrak{q}}(L^-|K) \neq 1$ . Since  $G(k|k^+) = G_{\mathfrak{q}}(k|k^+)$  acts non-trivially on  $G(L^-|K)$ , the group  $G_{\mathfrak{q}}(L^-|k^+)$  is non-abelian. On the other hand the extension  $L^-|k^+$  is unramified at  $\mathfrak{q}$ . This contradiction shows that all primes of  $T_0 \backslash S_p$  are completely decomposed in  $L^-|K$ , and so in  $L^-|k$ . Since L|K is completely decomposed at  $S_p$ , we obtain  $L^- \subseteq k^{T_0}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in V$  and so  $\mathfrak{p} \cap k^+$  splits in  $k|k^+$ . Let  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}^{\sigma}$  be the conjugated prime and let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a prolongation of  $\mathfrak{p}$  to K and  $\bar{\mathfrak{P}} = \mathfrak{P}^{\sigma}$ . By the claim it follows that

$$\left(T(k_{\mathfrak{P}}(p))|K_{\mathfrak{P}})_{G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k(p))|k)} \oplus T(k_{\bar{\mathfrak{P}}}(p))|K_{\bar{\mathfrak{P}}})_{G_{\bar{\mathfrak{P}}}(k(p))|k)}\right)^{-1}$$

injects into  $G(L|K)^- \cong G(L^-|K) = G(L|K)/G(L|K)^+$ , and so  $L^-|K$  is ramified by  $\mathfrak{P}$ .

Varying the set  $S_0$  and the extension K|k, it follows that the extension  $k^{T_0}|k$ realizes the ramified part of  $k_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)|k_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in S_1 = \mathcal{P} \setminus T_0$ . Since  $k^{T_0}|k$  is a Galois extension, also the unramified part must be realized, i.e.

$$(k^{T_0})_{\mathfrak{P}} = k_{\mathfrak{p}}(p) \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p} \notin T_0$$

(if  $k_{\mathfrak{P}}(p)|(k^{T_0})_{\mathfrak{P}}$  would have a non-trivial unramified part, then, as the subgroup generated by the Frobenius automorphism is not normal, this extension would also have a ramified part).

The last assertion of the theorem follows from theorem (2.3).

Let

$$\prod_{t \in T} (A_t, B_t) = \left\{ (a_t)_{t \in T} \in \prod_{t \in T} A_t \mid a_t \in B_t \text{ for almost all } t \in T \right\}$$

be the restricted product over a discrete set T of abelian locally compact groups  $A_t$  with respect to closed subgroups  $B_t$ . The topology is given by the subgroups V with

(i)  $V \cap A_t$  is open in  $A_t$  for all  $t \in T$ ,

(ii)  $V \supseteq B_t$  for almost all  $t \in T$ .

Then we call

$$\prod_{t \in T}^{c} (A_t, B_t) := \lim_{\leftarrow V} \left( \prod_{t \in T} (A_t, B_t) \right) / V_t$$

the compactification of  $\prod_{t \in T} (A_t, B_t)$ , where V runs through all open subgroups of finite index in  $\prod_{t \in T} (A_t, B_t)$ . The the canonical map  $\prod_{t \in T} (A_t, B_t) \to \prod_{t \in T} ^c (A_t, B_t)$  has dense image.

We define the *discretization* of  $\prod_{t \in T} (A_t, B_t)$  by

$$\prod_{t\in T}^{d} (A_t, B_t) := \varinjlim_{W} W$$

where W runs through the finite subgroups of  $\prod_{t \in T} (A_t, B_t)$ . If the subgroups  $B_t$  of  $A_t, t \in T$ , are open and compact, then  $\prod_{t \in T} (A_t, B_t)$  is locally compact. Using the equality

$$(\prod_{t\in T} (A_t, B_t))^{\vee} = \prod_{t\in T} (A_t^{\vee}, (A_t/B_t)^{\vee}),$$

we obtain

$$\prod_{t \in T}^{d} (A_t, B_t) = (((\prod_{t \in T} (A_t, B_t))^{\vee})^c)^{\vee} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{t \in T}^{c} (A_t, B_t) = (((\prod_{t \in T} (A_t, B_t))^{\vee})^d)^{\vee},$$

where  $\vee$  denotes the Pontryagin-dual.

**Proposition 2.5** Let T be a set of primes of a number field k with  $\delta(T) = 0$ . Then

(i) 
$$G(k(p)/k^T)/G(k(p)/k^T)^* = \lim_{\stackrel{\leftarrow}{K}} \prod_{\mathfrak{P}\in T(K)} {}^c(G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)/G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)^*, \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)),$$

(ii) 
$$H^1(G(k(p)/k^T)) = \varinjlim_K \prod_{\mathfrak{P} \in T(K)}^d (H^1(G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)), H^1_{nr}(G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k))),$$

where K runs through the finite subextensions of  $k^T | k$  and  $\tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)$  is the group  $T_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)^*/G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)^*$ .

**Proof:** Since the set  $\mathcal{P} \setminus T$  has density equal to 1, we get from the Poitou-Tate exact sequence and the Hasse principle the commutative and exact diagram

where we use the local duality theorem

$$H^1(\bar{k}_{\mathfrak{p}}|k_{\mathfrak{p}},\mu_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k),\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\vee} = G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)/G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)^*$$

and for  $\mathfrak{p}$  not above p or  $\infty$  the isomorphism

$$H^1_{nr}(\bar{k}_{\mathfrak{p}}|k_{\mathfrak{p}},\mu_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(T_{\mathfrak{p}}(k),\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)})^{\vee} \cong T_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)^*/G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)^*,$$

see [3] (8.6.10), (9.1.9), (9.1.10), (7.2.15). Thus we get a continuous injection

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in T(k)} (G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)/G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)^*, \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)) \hookrightarrow G(k(p)/k)/G(k(p)/k)^*$$

and so an injection  $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in T(k)}^{c}(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)//G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)^{*}, \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)) \hookrightarrow G(k(p)/k)/G(k(p)/k)^{*}$ . Passing to the limit, we obtain the injection

$$\lim_{\leftarrow K} \prod_{\mathfrak{P} \in T(K)} (G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)/G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)^*, \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)) \hookrightarrow G(k(p)/k^T)/G(k(p)/k^T)^*$$

which, by definition of the field  $k^T$ , is also surjective. Therefore we obtain (i) and (ii) is just the dual assertion.

**Proposition 2.6** Let p be a prime number and let  $T \neq \mathcal{P}$  be a stably saturated set of primes of a number field k. Assume that k is totally imaginary if p = 2. Then

$$cd_p \ G(k^T|k) \le 2$$

**Proof:** We consider the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{ij} = H^i(G/N, H^j(N)) \Rightarrow E^{i+j} = H^{i+j}(G)$$

for an extension  $1 \to N \to G \to G/N \to 1$  of pro-*p* groups. If  $\operatorname{cd}_p(G) \leq 2$ ,  $E_2^{11} = 0$ and the edge homomorphism  $E^2 \to E_2^{02}$  is surjective, then it follows that  $E_2^{30} = 0$ , i.e.  $\operatorname{cd}_p(G/N) \leq 2$ .

Now let G = G(k(p)|k) and  $N = G(k(p)|k^T)$ . Using (2.2) and the Poitou-Tate theorem, it follows that the canonical map

$$H^{2}(k(p)|k) \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in T(k)} H^{2}(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{0}(k^{T}|k, H^{2}(k(p)|k^{T}))$$

is surjective. Furthermore, using (2.5)(ii), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1}(k(p)|k^{T}) &= \underset{K}{\lim} H^{1}(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in T(K)}^{c}(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)/G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)^{*},\tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}(k))) \\ &= \underset{K}{\lim} Coind^{G(K|k)} H^{1}(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in T(k)}^{c}(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)/G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)^{*},\tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}(k))) \\ &= Coind^{G(k^{T}|k)} H^{1}(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in T(k)}^{c}(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)/G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)^{*},\tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}(k))) \end{aligned}$$

is a  $G(k^T|k)$ -coinduced module, hence  $H^1(k^T|k, H^1(k(p)|k^T)) = 0$ . Therefore we obtain the desired result.

If T is a set of primes of k, then let  $T(k^T) = \lim_{\leftarrow K} T(K) \cup \{*_K\}$ , where K runs through the finite subextensions of  $k^T/k$ . The following theorem asserts that the Galois group  $G(k(p)/k^T)$ , where  $T \neq \mathcal{P}$  is stably saturated, is a corestricted free pro-p-product of the family  $(G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k))_{\mathfrak{P}\in T(k^T)}$  of decomposition groups with respect to the continuous family  $(T_{\mathfrak{P}}(k))_{\mathfrak{P}\in T(k^T)}$  of inertia groups, see [2] for the definition.

**Theorem 2.7** Let  $T \neq \mathcal{P}$  be a stably saturated set of primes of a number field k. Then the canonical map

$$\varphi: \underset{\mathfrak{P}\in T(k^T)}{\bigstar} (G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k), T_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(k(p)/k^T)$$

is an isomorphism.

**Proof:** We can apply [2] prop. (4.3) and have to show that the induced maps

$$\varphi_* : H^1(G(k(p)/k^T), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_K \prod_{T(K)}^d (H^1(G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}), H^1_{nr}(G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}))$$
$$\varphi_* : H^2(G(k(p)/k^T), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_K \prod_{T(K)}^d (H^2(G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}), H^2_{nr}(G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}))$$

are bijective resp. injective. Since  $H^2_{nr}(G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)) = 0$ , it follows that

$$H^{2}(G) = \varinjlim_{K} \prod_{T(K)} {}^{d} (H^{2}(G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k), H^{2}_{nr}(G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)))) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in T(k^{T})} {}^{d} H^{2}(G_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)).$$

Now the result follows from (2.5)(ii) and (2.2).

In the situation of theorem (2.4) we obtain

**Corollary 2.8** Let p be an odd prime number and let k be a CM-field containing the group  $\mu_p$  of all p-th roots of unity, with maximal totally real subfield  $k^+$ , i.e.  $k = k^+(\mu_p)$  is totally imaginary and  $[k : k^+] = 2$ . Let

$$T = \{ \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \cap k^+ \text{ is inert in } k \mid k^+ \} \cup S_p.$$

Then

$$*_{\mathfrak{P}\in T(k^T)}(G_{\mathfrak{P}}(k),T_{\mathfrak{P}}(k)) \longrightarrow G(k(p)/k^T).$$

## References

- Fried, M.D., Haran, D., Völklein, H. Absolute Galois group of the totally real numbers. C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris 317 (1993) 995-999
- [2] Gärtner, Jochen., Wingberg, K. Corestricted free products of profinite groups. Preprint 2013
- [3] Neukirch, J., Schmidt, A., Wingberg, K. Cohomology of Number Fields, 2nd edition. Springer 2008
- [4] Pop, F. Embedding problems over large fields. Ann. of Math. 144 (1996) 1-34

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Heidelberg Im Neuenheimer Feld 288 69120 Heidelberg Germany e-mail: wingberg (at) mathi.uni-heidelberg.de