
Gauged Linear Sigma Models

Seminar – Supersymmetry in Geometry and Quantum Physics, WS 2015/16

Maximilian Nitzschner

Contents

1	Aim and Basic Results	1
2	Supersymmetric gauge theories	2
2.1	Revision: Gauge-invariance in scalar field theory	2
2.2	Gauge-invariance in supersymmetric QFT	3
3	The different phases of the model	6
3.1	$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ sigma model (no superpotential)	6
3.2	Hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$	7
3.2.1	$r > 0$: Calabi-Yau regime	8
3.2.2	$r < 0$: Landau-Ginzburg regime	8

1 Aim and Basic Results

- Up to now: *Landau-Ginzburg (LG)* and Sigma Models on *Calabi-Yau (CY)* are described differently.
- Witten (1993) proposed a generalization of LG and CY-models to a common, unified model, the Gauged Linear Sigma Model (GLSM).
Schematically:



Figure 1: Calabi Yau/Landau-Ginzburg correspondence, from [1].

→ CY- and LG-models can be interpreted as different *phases* of the same system.

- r plays the role of a tuning parameter for the 'phase transition'.

- Also remarkable: The *elliptic genus* of an $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ supersymmetric theory, defined as

$$Z_{T^2}(\tau, z, u) = \text{Tr}_{\text{RR}}(-1)^F q^{H_L} \bar{q}^{H_R} y^J \prod_a x_a^{K_a} \quad (1.1)$$

is a topological invariant which may be calculated for the GLSM using modern techniques. (See [1].)

2 Supersymmetric gauge theories

2.1 Revision: Gauge-invariance in scalar field theory

Aim: We first need to define the Lagrangian of a supersymmetric gauge theory.

To this end, we review the standard procedure of introducing a gauge field into a $U(1)$ -symmetric scalar field theory with Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = - \sum_{i=1}^n |\partial_\mu \varphi^i|^2 - U(\varphi) \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$U(\varphi) = \frac{e^2}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\varphi^i|^2 - r \right)^2. \quad (2.2)$$

For the sake of completeness, we introduce the vacuum manifold M_{vac} :

Definition 1. *The set of classical vacua M_{vac} is defined as the set of all configurations $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^n)$ where $U(\varphi)$ attains its minimum value, i.e.*

$$M_{vac} = \{\varphi = (\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : U(\varphi) = 0\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Note that for $r < 0$, $M_{vac} = \{0\}$ consists of a single point, while for $r > 0$, $M_{vac} = \mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{r}}^{n-1}$ is a sphere of radius \sqrt{r} . One could now go in detail about this so-called *spontaneous symmetry breaking* for $r > 0$, but we will not do so here. However, a similar argument involving the structure of M_{vac} will appear when we discuss the different phases of the GLSM.

The Lagrangian (2.1) is invariant under the global $U(1)$ -transformation

$$(\varphi^1(x), \dots, \varphi^n(x)) \longrightarrow (e^{i\gamma} \varphi^1(x), \dots, e^{i\gamma} \varphi^n(x)), \quad (2.4)$$

which is to be understood as a global phase rotation, where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ is a real number.

This is however *not* true anymore, if γ is allowed to depend on the space-time coordinates $\gamma \equiv \gamma(x)$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu \varphi^j(x) &\longrightarrow \partial_\mu \left(e^{i\gamma(x)} \varphi^j(x) \right) \\ &= e^{i\gamma(x)} (\partial_\mu + i\partial_\mu \gamma(x)) \varphi^j(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The invariance can be restored by introducing a vector field (or: one-form field) $v_\mu(x)$ as an additive contribution to the partial derivative. This gives the following

Definition / Lemma 2. *The covariant derivative is defined as*

$$D_\mu \varphi^j(x) = (\partial_\mu + i v_\mu(x)) \varphi^j(x). \quad (2.6)$$

The Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = - \sum_{i=1}^n |D_\mu \varphi^i|^2 - U(\varphi) \quad (2.7)$$

is invariant under the combined gauge transformation

$$\begin{cases} \varphi^i(x) \longrightarrow e^{i\gamma(x)} \varphi^i(x) \\ v_\mu(x) \longrightarrow v_\mu(x) - \partial_\mu \gamma(x). \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

Notice: $\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}$ defined in (2.7) contains:

- a kinetic term for the φ fields,
- interaction terms between the v_μ and the φ fields,

but *no* kinetic term for the v_μ . Therefore, one could consider v_μ as an auxiliary field and eliminate it using its equations of motion. If v_μ is to be considered as a *physical field*, such as the photon in ordinary QED, we need to add a kinetic term for it into the Lagrangian.

Indeed, the definition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} &= -\frac{1}{2e^2} v_{\mu\nu} v^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

with $v_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu v_\nu - \partial_\nu v_\mu$ (the *curvature* or *field strength* of the gauge field) gives a gauge-invariant theory where v_μ has a kinetic term.

2.2 Gauge-invariance in supersymmetric QFT

Now we want to mimic this procedure for a *superfield* Φ instead of a scalar field φ . Recall (i.e. from Talk 3 or chapter 12 of [2]) that a $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ chiral superfield in 2 dimensions (which will be our main interest here) has coordinates $x^0, x^1, \theta^\pm, \bar{\theta}^\pm$.

The coordinates θ^\pm and $\bar{\theta}^\pm$ are *anticommuting*, and hence fulfill $(\theta^\pm)^2 = 0 = (\bar{\theta}^\pm)^2$, so employing a Taylor-expansion-like argument, one can see that Φ can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x^\mu, \theta^\pm, \bar{\theta}^\pm) &= \varphi - i\theta^+\bar{\theta}^+ \partial_+ \varphi - i\theta^-\bar{\theta}^- \partial_- \varphi - \theta^+\theta^-\bar{\theta}^-\bar{\theta}^+ \partial_+ \partial_- \varphi \\ &\quad + \theta^+ \psi_+ - i\theta^+\theta^-\bar{\theta}^- \partial_- \psi_+ + \theta^- \psi_- - i\theta^-\theta^+\bar{\theta}^+ \partial_+ \psi_- + \theta^+\theta^- F. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Here $x^\pm = x^0 \pm x^1$ and $\partial_\pm = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_0 \pm \partial_1)$ are the derivatives with respect to these coordinates. The fields φ , F and ψ_\pm are fields in ordinary space, i.e. functions of x^μ only.

The equivalent of the theory (2.1) (without potential), is the *manifestly SUSY invariant* Lagrangian \mathcal{L} :

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta \bar{\Phi}\Phi = \int d\theta^+ d\theta^- d\bar{\theta}^- d\bar{\theta}^+ \bar{\Phi}\Phi. \quad (2.11)$$

The integration with respect to $d^4\theta$ extracts the component of $\bar{\Phi}\Phi$ that contains terms proportional to $\theta^+\theta^-\bar{\theta}^-\bar{\theta}^+$ with appropriate sign.

The Lagrangian (2.11) was chosen such that it admits – just as its scalar field theoretic counterpart (2.1) – a global phase rotation-invariance, that is, \mathcal{L} is unchanged under

$$\Phi \longrightarrow e^{i\alpha}\Phi. \quad (2.12)$$

Now replace α by a *chiral superfield* $A \equiv A(x^\mu, \theta^\pm, \bar{\theta}^\pm)$. Again, since one has $\Phi \longrightarrow e^{iA}\Phi$, the Lagrangian is *not* invariant under this local transformation anymore, since

$$\bar{\Phi}\Phi \longrightarrow \bar{\Phi}e^{-i\bar{A}+iA}\Phi. \quad (2.13)$$

The way out is again the introduction of an auxiliary field V , which is another chiral superfield with appropriate transformation behaviour. This leads to

Lemma 3. *For a chiral superfield V with transformation behaviour*

$$V \longrightarrow V + i(\bar{A} - A), \quad (2.14)$$

the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{kin} = \int d^4\theta \bar{\Phi}e^V\Phi \quad (2.15)$$

is invariant under the combined gauge transformation

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(x^\mu, \theta^\pm, \bar{\theta}^\pm) \longrightarrow e^{iA(x^\mu, \theta^\pm, \bar{\theta}^\pm)}\Phi(x^\mu, \theta^\pm, \bar{\theta}^\pm) \\ V(x^\mu, \theta^\pm, \bar{\theta}^\pm) \longrightarrow V(x^\mu, \theta^\pm, \bar{\theta}^\pm) + i(\bar{A}(x^\mu, \theta^\pm, \bar{\theta}^\pm) - A(x^\mu, \theta^\pm, \bar{\theta}^\pm)). \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

A real superfield with transformation behaviour (2.14) is called a *vector superfield*. One can use the gauge invariance of V to bring its expansion in terms of the $\theta^\pm, \bar{\theta}^\pm$ into the form

$$\begin{aligned} V = & \theta^-\bar{\theta}^-(v_0 - v_1) + \theta^+\bar{\theta}^+(v_0 + v_1) - \theta^-\bar{\theta}^+\sigma - \theta^+\bar{\theta}^-\bar{\sigma} \\ & + i\theta^-\theta^+(\bar{\theta}^-\bar{\lambda}_- + \bar{\theta}^+\bar{\lambda}_+) + i\bar{\theta}^+\bar{\theta}^-(\theta^-\lambda_- + \theta^+\lambda_+) + \theta^-\theta^+\bar{\theta}^+\bar{\theta}^- D. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

In this expansion, the fields have the following statistics:

- $\lambda_\pm, \bar{\lambda}_\pm$ define a *Dirac fermion field*
- D defines a *real scalar field*
- $\sigma, \bar{\sigma}$ define a *complex scalar field* and
- v_0, v_1 define a *one-form field*.

The gauge where V can be written in the above form is referred to as *Wess-Zumino gauge*.

Notice that there is still a residual gauge symmetry, i.e. gauge transformations that keep the form (2.17), and it is given by

$$v_\mu(x) \longrightarrow v_\mu(x) - \partial_\mu \alpha(x), \quad (2.18)$$

with all other component fields unchanged. Two natural questions arise:

1. How can $v_{\mu\nu}$ be generalized to a supersymmetric field strength?
2. Which of the various fields in the vector multiplet V get kinetic terms, and which are to be eliminated using the equations of motion?

Definition 4. For a vector multiplet V , the super-field strength is defined as

$$\Sigma = \bar{D}_+ D_- V. \quad (2.19)$$

Just like $v_{\mu\nu}$, Σ is invariant under the gauge transformation (2.14). The kinetic term for V is given in terms of Σ as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = -\frac{1}{2e^2} \int d^4\theta \bar{\Sigma} \Sigma. \quad (2.20)$$

By a straightforward, but tedious calculation, one can obtain the component expansions of \mathcal{L}_{kin} and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = \frac{1}{2e^2} (-\partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} \partial_\mu \sigma + i\bar{\lambda}_- (\partial_0 + \partial_1) \lambda_- + i\bar{\lambda}_+ (\partial_0 - \partial_1) \lambda_+ + v_{01}^2 + D^2). \quad (2.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = & -D^\mu \bar{\varphi} D_\mu \varphi + i\bar{\psi}_- (D_0 + D_1) \psi_- + i\bar{\psi}_+ (D_0 - D_1) \psi_+ + D|\varphi|^2 + |F|^2 - |\sigma|^2 |\varphi|^2 \\ & - \bar{\psi}_- \sigma \psi_+ - \bar{\psi}_+ \bar{\sigma} \psi_- - i\bar{\varphi} \lambda_- \psi_+ + i\bar{\varphi} \lambda_+ \psi_- + i\bar{\psi}_+ \bar{\lambda}_- \varphi - i\bar{\psi}_- \bar{\lambda}_+ \varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

One can also write down so called *twisted F-terms* for Σ . The most important choice for us is

$$\widetilde{W}_{FI,\vartheta} = -t\Sigma = -r\Sigma + i\vartheta\Sigma, \quad (2.23)$$

with $t = r - i\vartheta$, r being called *Fayet-Iliopoulos parameter*¹ and ϑ the *theta angle*. The corresponding contribution to the Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{FI,\vartheta} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-t \int d^2\tilde{\theta} \Sigma + c.c. \right) \\ &= -rD + \vartheta v_{01}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Here, the integration is defined as $d^2\tilde{\theta} = d\bar{\theta}^- d\theta^+$.

The final result is the Lagrangian for the Gauged Linear Sigma Model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} + \mathcal{L}_{FI,\vartheta} + \mathcal{L}_W \\ &= \int d^4\theta \left(\bar{\Phi} e^V \Phi - \frac{1}{2e^2} \bar{\Sigma} \Sigma \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(-t \int d^2\tilde{\theta} \Sigma + c.c. \right) + \mathcal{L}_W. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

¹hence the subscripts 'FI'.

Comments:

- \mathcal{L}_W is a Lagrangian contribution from a *superpotential* (yet to be introduced).
- D, F have no kinetic term and can be eliminated using the equations of motion.
- After said elimination, one can extract the following potential energy term for σ, φ (neglecting the superpotential):

$$U = |\sigma|^2 |\varphi|^2 + \frac{e^2}{2} (|\varphi|^2 - r)^2. \quad (2.26)$$

3 The different phases of the model

3.1 $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ sigma model (no superpotential)

Consider a $U(1)$ gauge theory with N chiral superfields Φ_1, \dots, Φ_N :

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\Phi}_i e^V \Phi_i - \frac{1}{2e^2} \bar{\Sigma} \Sigma \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(-t \int d^2\tilde{\theta} \tilde{\Sigma} + c.c. \right). \quad (3.1)$$

After eliminating D and F_i , one obtains again a potential energy term for σ and φ_i :

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^N |\sigma|^2 |\varphi_i|^2 + \frac{e^2}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |\varphi_i|^2 - r \right)^2. \quad (3.2)$$

From this, one can discuss where U attains 0 for different values of the (real) Fayet-Iliopoulos parameter r :

- $r > 0$: $U = 0$ can only be attained if $\sum_{i=1}^N |\varphi_i|^2 = r > 0$. Then, $\exists 1 \leq i \leq N: |\varphi_i|^2 > 0$, so $\sigma = 0$.
- $r = 0$: $U = 0$ attained if $\varphi = 0$, σ arbitrary.
- $r < 0$: $U > 0$ for all configurations, so there is *no* zero energy ground state.

For $r > 0$: The set of all classical vacua modulo the $U(1)$ gauge group forms the vacuum manifold, since we require configurations that can be transformed into one another by gauge transformations to be physically equivalent.

In our case, this is

$$\left\{ (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N) \left| \sum_{i=1}^N |\varphi_i|^2 = r \right. \right\} / U(1) = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N-1} \quad (3.3)$$

An analysis of the excitations from the vacuum manifold reveals that in this model, the gauge fields v_μ acquire mass due to the *superHiggs mechanism*, which can be thought of as the supersymmetric generalization of the Higgs mechanism (see [2]).

3.2 Hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$

Consider a polynomial G of degree d in the variables $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N$:

$$G(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d} a_{i_1, \dots, i_d} \varphi_{i_1} \cdots \varphi_{i_d}. \quad (3.4)$$

Definition 5. A polynomial (3.4) is called generic or transverse if the following implication holds:

$$G(\varphi) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \varphi_1}(\varphi) = \dots = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \varphi_N}(\varphi) = 0 \implies \varphi_1 = \dots = \varphi_N = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

The polynomial G defines the hypersurface M of $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}^{N-1}$ as

$$M = \{\varphi \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N-1} | G(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N) = 0\}. \quad (3.6)$$

M is a smooth complex manifold with (complex) dimension $N - 2$, which justifies its interpretation as a hypersurface.

Consider a $U(1)$ gauge theory with $N + 1$ chiral multiplets Φ_1, \dots, Φ_N, P such that:

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N & \rightsquigarrow U(1)\text{-charge} & 1, \\ P & \rightsquigarrow U(1)\text{-charge} & -d. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Then the *GLSM Lagrangian* with *superpotential*

$$W = P \cdot G(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N) \quad (3.8)$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta & \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\Phi}_i e^V \Phi_i + \bar{P} e^{-dV} P - \frac{1}{2e^2} \bar{\Sigma} \Sigma \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\int d^2\tilde{\theta} \tilde{\Sigma} + c.c. \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int d^2\theta P \cdot G(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N) + c.c. \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

From this, one can extract the potential term for the scalar fields as

$$\begin{aligned} U = |\sigma|^2 \sum_{i=1}^N |\varphi_i|^2 + |\sigma|^2 d^2 |p|^2 + \frac{e^2}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |\varphi_i|^2 - d|p|^2 - r \right)^2 \\ + \frac{1}{4} |G(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N)|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^N |p|^2 |\partial_i G|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Here, p means the scalar field component of P . The sign of r will determine the structure of the vacuum manifold.

The main analysis will now be to set the right-hand side of equation (3.10) to zero and determine the configurations for σ , p and φ_i that fulfill the equation.

3.2.1 $r > 0$: Calabi-Yau regime

If $r > 0$, $U = 0$ requires $\varphi_i \neq 0$ for one i , therefore $\sigma = 0$.

Assume $p \neq 0$, then $G = \partial_1 G = \dots = \partial_N G = 0$. By transversality, one has

$$\varphi_1 = \dots = \varphi_N = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

which is a contradiction. It follows that $p = 0$. To sum everything up, $U = 0$ is attained if and only if

$$p = \sigma = 0 \quad \wedge \quad \sum_{i=1}^N |\varphi_i|^2 = r \quad \wedge \quad G(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

The vacuum manifold is now the set of all fields satisfying the above equations modulo $U(1)$. This is indeed the hypersurface $M \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$. One can now show, that the requirement $d = N$ makes M a so called *Calabi-Yau manifold*.

Definition 6. A Calabi-Yau manifold is a compact Kähler manifold with vanishing first chern class.

Theorem 7. A smooth hypersurface $M \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ of degree d is a Calabi-Yau manifold if and only if $d = N$.²

The last statement shows that for $r > 0$, the GLSM reduces to a non-linear sigma model on a Calabi-Yau manifold if $N = d$.

3.2.2 $r < 0$: Landau-Ginzburg regime

If $r < 0$, $U = 0$ requires $p \neq 0$, therefore again $\sigma = 0$.

Since $G = \partial_1 G = \dots = \partial_N G = 0$, transversality implies $\varphi = 0$, therefore

$$|p| = \sqrt{\frac{|r|}{d}}. \quad (3.13)$$

Any choice of the vacuum, i.e. $\langle p \rangle = \sqrt{|r|/d}$ breaks the gauge invariance, and by the super-Higgs mechanism, the vector multiplets and the P -multiplets gain mass $e\sqrt{|r|/d}$.

If one takes $e \rightarrow \infty$, the massive modes decouple from the classical theory and we are left with a theory of the Φ_i fields only, which is a *Landau-Ginzburg theory* with superpotential

$$W = \langle p \rangle G(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N). \quad (3.14)$$

References

- [1] R. Eager, F. Benini, K. Hori, Y. Tachikawa. *Elliptic genera of 2d $N=2$ gauge theories*. arXiv:1308.4896 [hep-th]
- [2] K. Hori, S. Katz, A. Klemm, R. Pandharipande, R. Thomas, C. Vafa, R. Vakil, E. Zaslow. *Mirror Symmetry*. Clay Mathematics Institute, 2003.

²The reason is that the first chern class of M is given as $c_1(M) = (N - d)[H]|_M$. See [2].