

# Conformal representations in 2d

this is the write-up for the talk on the above topic. It has five sections:

1. Introduction
2. Highest weight representations of the Virasoro algebra
3. Verma modules
4. Unitary highest weight representations
5. Other unitary representations of the Virasoro algebra

The last section was not a part of the talk associated to this write-up. The presentation is guided by [FMS 97] and [Sch 08]. The following references are cited in the write-up:

## References

- [CP 88] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Unitary representations of the Virasoro algebra and a conjecture of Kac* in *Composito Mathematica* **67** (1988).
- [FMS 97] P. Francesco, P. Mathieu, and D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer Verlag 1997.
- [GKO 85] P. Goddard, A. Kent, and D. Olive, *Unitary representations of the Virasoro and Super-Virasoro algebras* in *Comm. Math. Phys.* **103** (1986).
- [GW 85] R. Goodman and N.R. Wallach, *Projective unitary positive-energy representations of  $Diff(S^1)$*  in *Journal of Functional Analysis* **63** (1985).
- [Kac 87] V. Kac and A.K. Raina, *Highest weight representations of infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, World scientific 1980.
- Kir 81 A.A. Kirillov, *Unitary representations of the group of diffeomorphisms and of some of its subgroups* in *Selecta Math. Soviet.* 1 (1981).
- [Lem 97] L. Lempert, *The problem of complexifying a Lie group* in *Multidimensional complex analysis and partial differential equations* (1997).
- [Mil 84] J. Milnor, *Remarks on infinite dimensional Lie groups* in *Relativity Groups and Topology II* (1983).
- [Nee 15] K.H. Neeb 2015, *Remarks on Infinite Dimensional Lie-Groups*, online lecture notes, can be found here: <http://math.ipm.ac.ir/isfahan/Workshop/2015/Workshop/Lie%20Group%20Theory/infect.pdf>
- [PS 86] A. Pressley and G. Segal 1986, *Loops Groups*, Oxford University Press 1986.
- [Sch 08] M. Schottenloher, *A Mathematical Introduction to Conformal Field Theory*, Springer Verlag 2008.

## Introduction

**Last time** we had looked at global and infinitesimal conformal transformations in 2 euclidean dimensions:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Conf}^0(S^2) &\cong PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \\ \text{Infinitesimal Conf}(S^2) &\cong W \oplus \overline{W}\end{aligned}$$

here  $W = \langle L_n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid [L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m} \rangle$  is the Witt algebra, which is a *complex* Lie algebra. An infinitesimal projective representation of the Witt algebra on a projective Hilbert space  $P(\mathcal{H})$  cannot in general be lifted to a representation on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Instead the representation of a *central extension* of  $W$  lifts to a representation on  $\mathcal{H}$ . The Virasoro-algebra

$$\text{Vir} = \langle Z, L_n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid [L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m} + \frac{n}{12}(n^2 - 1)Z\delta_{n,-m}, [L_n, Z] = 0 \rangle$$

is the unique central extension of the Witt algebra.

There is **another situation** I would like to investigate. In the Lorentzian setting we have (see [Sch 08], chapter 2):

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Conf}^0(\mathbb{R}^{1,1}) &\cong \text{Diffeo}_+(\mathbb{R}^1)^2 \\ \text{Conf}^0(S^{1,1}) &\cong \text{Diffeo}_+(S^1)^2,\end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Diffeo}_+(X)$  is the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms. The two groups above are actually isomorphic to one another. In contrast to the euclidean case, where the global transformations were a finite-dimensional Lie group and the local conformal transformations were infinite dimensional, here the global transformations already form an infinite dimensional Lie group:

**Theorem.** *If  $M$  is a compact manifold then  $\text{Diffeo}(M)$  is a Lie group modelled on the space of smooth vector fields  $\mathcal{V}(M)$ . The Lie algebra can be identified with the opposite algebra of  $\mathcal{V}(M)$ :  $\text{Lie}(\text{Diffeo}(M)) \cong \mathcal{V}(M)^{op}$ .*

Here  $\mathcal{V}(M)$  is given the topology of uniform convergence of all derivatives, ie the topology generated by the pseudometrics  $\sup_{x \in M} d(D^\alpha x(m), D^\alpha x'(m))$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $D$  the total derivative.

A motivation for how this works can be seen via the exponential flow

$$X \mapsto (m \mapsto \exp_m(X)),$$

which for small  $X$  will be given by diffeomorphisms. A small neighbourhood of 0 will provide a chart the identity. In these definitions choices of metric on  $M$  are implicit, further details may be found in [Mil 84] or the online lecture notes [Nee 15], since it seems to be difficult to get your hands on [Mil 84].

Coming back to the conformal group, one has that  $\mathcal{V}(S^1)$  is generated by

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}, \quad \cos(n\theta) \frac{d}{d\theta}, \quad \sin(n\theta) \frac{d}{d\theta}$$

(in the sense that their span is dense), which can be seen from considering the Fourier expansion of a vectorfield. Thus we can find generators of the complexification  $\mathcal{V}(S^1)_{\mathbb{C}}$ :

$$L_n := z^{1-n} \frac{d}{dz} \left( = -ie^{-in\theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \right).$$

We recognise the Witt algebra. It follows that  $\text{Lie}(\text{Conf}(S^{1,1}))_{\mathbb{C}}$  has a dense copy of  $W \oplus \overline{W}$  (note that  $g_{\mathbb{C}} \cong (g^{\text{op}})_{\mathbb{C}}$ ). The following theorem shows us how the Virasoro algebra will appear also in this setting:

**Remark.** ([PS 86]) There exists a central extension  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\text{Diffeo}_+(S^1)$  by  $U(1)$  so that

$$\text{Lie}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Vir}_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where  $\text{Vir}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a real form of the Virasoro algebra, that is  $(\text{Vir}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \text{Vir}$ .

**Remark.** ([Lem 97]) There does not exist a complex group  $G$  with  $\text{Lie}(G) = \text{Vir}$ .

This leads to our **concluding remarks** for the introduction. There are two basic scenarios:

1. Euclidean: The Infinitesimal conformal transformations are represented via representations of  $\text{Vir} \oplus \overline{\text{Vir}}$ .
2. Lorentzian: A projective representation of the conformal group induces a representation of  $\text{Vir}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \overline{\text{Vir}_{\mathbb{R}}}$ , which may be complexified to a representation of  $\text{Vir} \oplus \overline{\text{Vir}}$ .

So both settings involve the representation theory of the Virasoro algebra. In the following the second perspective will be used to motivate definitions and examples.

## Highest weight representations of the Virasoro algebra

**Def.** A representation of  $\text{Vir}$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\rho : \text{Vir} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  where  $V$  is a complex vector space.

**Def.** A representation  $\rho : \text{Vir} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  is unitary wrt a positive definite hermitian form  $H$  on  $V$  if

$$H(\rho(L_n)v, w) = H(v, \rho(L_{-n})w), \quad H(\rho(Z)v, w) = H(v, \rho(Z)w)$$

for all  $v, w \in V, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , that is if  $\rho(L_n)$  and  $\rho(L_{-n})$  are formal adjoints and  $\rho(Z)$  is formally self-adjoint.

**Remarks.**

1.  $V$  need not be complete wrt  $H$ , indeed throughout the talk we will consider mainly vector spaces of countable dimension.
2. With

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \equiv iL_0, \quad \cos(n\theta) \frac{d}{d\theta} \equiv \frac{i}{2}(L_n + L_{-n}), \quad \sin(n\theta) \frac{d}{d\theta} \equiv -\frac{1}{2}(L_n - L_{-n})$$

the condition of unitarity is such that these generators of the physical symmetries are formally anti-symmetric, which is a necessary condition if we want to integrate the infinitesimal representation to a unitary representation of  $\mathcal{F}$  (the central extension of the conformal group).

3. One may take a more general perspective about this. One may ask that a unitary representation be a tuple  $(V, H, \alpha)$ , where  $\alpha : \text{Vir} \rightarrow \text{Vir}$  is an anti-linear Lie-Algebra involution so that  $H(\rho(x)v, w) = H(v, \rho(\alpha(x))w)$  for all  $v, w \in V, x \in \text{Vir}$ , ie it includes a notion of adjoint on the Lie-Algebra compatible with the scalar product. With this perspective it actually turns out that all unitary representations must be of the form defined above, see [CP 87] proposition 3.4.
4. Returning the question of lifting the representation to the Lie-Group  $\mathcal{F}$ , the following is true:

**Theorem.** *If  $\rho : \text{Vir} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  is a unitary highest weight (to be defined in a moment) representation then  $i\rho(\text{Vir}_{\mathbb{R}})$  can be extended to a domain  $D \subset \bar{V}$  to be by essentially self-adjoint operators.*

This allows one to lift to a representation of the subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by one-parameter subgroups with generators in  $\text{Vir}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . This does not suffice in order to

lift to a representation of  $\mathcal{F}$ , as this subgroup is nowhere dense in  $\mathcal{F}$  despite the denseness of  $\text{Vir}_{\mathbb{R}}$  in the Lie-Algebra of  $\mathcal{F}$ . This nowhere denseness is a feature made possible by  $\mathcal{F}$  being infinite dimensional. However also this can be overcome: **Theorem ([GW 85]).** *With the above conditions one can extend to a projective representation of  $\text{Diffeo}(S^1)$ .*

**Example** Countably many harmonic oscillators of integer energy (boson on a string).

Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{harm}}^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$  be countably many products of the harmonic oscillator Hilbert space and  $V$  the subspace with only finitely many excited modes. Denote with  $c_n^*, c_n$  the creation and annihilation operators of mode  $n$ , that is  $[c_n^*, c_m] = \delta_{n,m} \mathbb{1}$  and  $[c_n, c_m] = 0$ . For  $\hbar > 0$  we take as Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{\hbar}{2} + \sum_{n>0} n c_n^* c_n$$

and define more convenient operators on  $V$ :

$$a_n = \sqrt{c_n}, \quad a_{-n} = \sqrt{n} c_n^*, \quad a_0 = \sqrt{\hbar} \mathbb{1}.$$

Further define:

$$\rho(L_0) = H = \frac{1}{2} a_0^2 + \sum_{k>0} a_{-k} a_k, \quad \rho(L_m) = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}, k+l=m} a_k a_l, \quad \rho(Z) = \mathbb{1}.$$

These operators send  $V$  to  $V$  and it can be checked that they satisfy the commutation relations for this to be a representation. With the scalar product on  $\mathcal{H}$  this becomes a unitary representation. This representation has some more interesting properties, for example if  $m > 0$  then every summand in  $\rho(L_m)$  leads with annihilation operators and

$$\rho(L_m)|\text{vac}\rangle = 0, \quad \rho(L_0)|\text{vac}\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} |\text{vac}\rangle$$

and  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(\text{Vir})^n |\text{vac}\rangle = V$ .

**Def.** A vector  $v \in V$  is called cyclic for a representation  $\rho : \text{Vir} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  the sub-representation generated by it is  $V$ , that is if

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(\text{Vir})^n v = V.$$

**Def.** A representation  $\rho : \text{Vir} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  is called a highest weight representation of weight  $(c, h) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  (or a Virasoro module of weight  $(c, h)$ ) if there is a cyclic  $v_0 \in V$  so

that:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(Z)v_0 &= cv_0 \\ \rho(L_0)v_0 &= hv_0 \\ \rho(L_n)v_0 &= 0 \text{ for } n > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Note that thus the above example is also a highest weight representation of weight  $(1, \frac{h}{2})$ . For  $h \geq 0$  highest weight representations are also called positive energy representations, as  $L_0$  is often interpreted as a Hamiltonian. From the commutation relations it follows that  $\rho(L_0)\rho(L_{-n_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot \rho(L_{-n_k})v_0$  is an eigenstate of energy  $h + \sum_i n_i \geq h$  if  $n_i > 0$ . Since such vectors will span the vector space  $\rho(L_0)$  will have positive spectrum.

**Remark.** From the commutation relations it is clear that  $\rho(L_n)v_0 = 0$  for all  $n > 0$  is equivalent to  $\rho(L_1)v_0 = 0 = \rho(L_2)v_0$ .

## Verma modules

We will give a definition of a Verma module with a simple formula. Afterwards we construct it in a more involved manner.

Let  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{span}\{L_0, Z\}$  be the Cartan-subalgebra of  $\text{Vir}$ ,  $(c, h) \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  a dual element of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_+ = \text{span}\{L_n \mid n > 0\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}_- = \text{span}\{L_n \mid n < 0\}$  so that we have the decomposition  $\text{Vir} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$ . Let  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  denote the enveloping algebra of a Lie-Algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The representation  $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $aL_0 + bZ \mapsto ah + bc$  induces a representation of  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ . Inflate this to a representation  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by having  $\mathfrak{n}_+$  act via 0. The Verma module  $V(c, h)$  is then defined as the induced representation  $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)}^{\mathfrak{U}(\text{Vir})}(\mathbb{C})$ , which then also carries a  $\text{Vir}$  representation:

$$V(c, h) := \mathfrak{U}(\text{Vir}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)} \mathbb{C}.$$

This definition is satisfying because it allows one to extend the notion of a Verma module to more general Lie-Algebras.

Now we give a **direct construction** of this representation. Let

$$V := \mathbb{C}v_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} P(n), \quad P(n) = \text{FreeVS}(\{v_\alpha \mid \alpha \text{ a descending partition of } n\}),$$

here a descending partition of  $n$  is a tuple  $(n_1, \dots, n_r)$  so that  $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_r$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i = n$ .

$\rho(Z)$  is taken to act as  $c \cdot \mathbb{1}$  on this vector space. We define the action of the representation on  $P(n)$  inductively. Start with ( $n > 0$ ):

$$\rho(L_{-n})v_0 = v_n, \quad \rho(L_n)v_0 = 0, \quad \rho(L_0)v_0 = hv_0.$$

Now if  $n \geq n_1$  define:

$$\rho(L_{-n})v_{n_1, \dots, n_k} = v_{n, n_1, \dots, n_k}.$$

For  $n < n_1$  the idea is to expand  $v_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ :

$$\rho(L_{-n})v_{n_1, \dots, n_k} = \rho(L_{-n})\rho(L_{-n_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot \rho(L_{-n_k})v_0$$

Now force the commutation relations, ie with  $\rho(L_{-n})\rho(L_{-n_1}) = \rho(L_{-n_1})\rho(L_{-n}) + (-n + n_1)\rho(L_{-(n+n_1)})$  the second term now acts in the just defined manner on the vector  $v_{n_2, \dots, n_k}$  where for the first term we continue commuting until we reach an expression for which the action is defined.

In a similar manner the action of  $\rho(L_0)$  and  $\rho(L_n)$  on  $v_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$  is defined: commute the  $\rho(L_0)$  and  $\rho(L_n)$  through until you reach  $v_0$ , where the action has been defined. The result turns out to be  $\rho(L_0)v_{n_1, \dots, n_k} = h + \sum_{i=1}^k n_i v_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ .

This defines  $\rho(L_n)$  on a basis of  $V$ , if we extend linearly it is an inductive calculation to check that we have retrieved a representation of  $\text{Vir}$ . This representation is called the **Verma module**  $M(c, h)$ . It is a highest weight representation of weight  $(c, h)$ .

**Remark.** For every Virasoro representation  $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{V})$  of weight  $(c, h)$  we have a surjective morphism of representations

$$\varphi : M(c, h) \rightarrow \tilde{V}, \quad v_{n_1, \dots, n_k} \mapsto \tilde{\rho}(L_{-n_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{\rho}(L_{-n_k})v_0,$$

ie any Virasoro module is a quotient of a Verma module. The morphism  $\varphi$  then induces a decomposition of  $\tilde{V}$ :

$$\tilde{V} = \mathbb{C}v_0 \oplus \bigoplus_n \varphi(P(n)).$$

From an above comment the  $\varphi(P(n))$  are eigenspaces of  $\rho(L_0)$  with eigenvalue  $h + n$ . We now show the following useful result:

**Lemma.** Any subrepresentation  $U$  of  $\tilde{V}$  also decomposes via  $\varphi$ :

$$U = \mathbb{C}\tilde{v}_0 \cap U \oplus \bigoplus_n \varphi(P(n)) \cap U.$$

**Proof.** Let  $u \in U$ ,  $u_i \in \varphi(P_i)$  so that  $u = \sum_{i=0}^k u_i$ . Note that  $\rho(L_0)^s u = \sum_{i=0}^k (h + n_i)^s u_i$  must be in  $U$  again, thus

$$\begin{array}{rcll} u & = & u_0 & + \dots + u_k \\ \rho(L_0)u & = & h u_0 & + \dots + (h + n_k) u_k \\ \vdots & = & \vdots & \vdots \\ \rho(L_0)^{k-1}u_0 & = & h^{k-1} u_0 & + \dots + (h + n_k)^{k-1} u_k \end{array}$$

all lie  $U$ . Since the coefficient matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ h & \dots & h + n_k \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ h^{k-1} & \dots & (h + n_k)^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$  is invertible (note that the columns are all independent) we get that  $u_0, \dots, u_k$  all lie in  $U$ . This shows the lemma.



## Unitary highest weight representations

We shift our focus back to the topic of unitary highest weight representations. A trivial remark:

**Remark.** If  $c$  or  $h$  are not real there exists no unitary highest weight representations of weight  $(c, h)$ . This follows since  $c, h$  have to be eigenvalues of the (formally self-adjoint)  $Z, L_0$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $V$  be a Virasoro module with real weights  $(c, h)$ :

- (1) There exists a unique (up to scalar multiple) hermitian form  $H$  so that

$$H(\rho(L_n)v, w) = H(v, \rho(L_{-n})w), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, v, w \in V.$$

- (2)  $\ker(H)$  is the maximal proper sub-representation of  $V$ , in particular such a thing exists and  $V/\ker(H)$  is an irreducible representation with a non-degenerate hermitian form.

**Proof.**

- 1: For uniqueness assume there is such a hermitian form  $H$ . The decomposition of  $\mathbb{C}v_0 \oplus \bigoplus_n \varphi(P(n))$  must be an **orthogonal decomposition**, since it is a decomposition into eigenspaces of  $\rho(L_0)$ . Let  $\pi$  be the projection onto  $v_0$ , then

$$H(v_{n_1, \dots, n_k}, w) = H(v_0, \rho(L_{n_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot \rho(L_{n_k})w) = H(v_0, \pi(\rho(L_{n_k})w)),$$

the value of which is uniquely determined by  $H(v_0, v_0)$ .

To see that such a form exists one uses the above formula to define  $H(v_{n_1, \dots, n_k}, v_{n'_1, \dots, n'_r})$  and see that it is real. The sesquilinear extension with the non-zero  $v_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$  as a basis will define a hermitian form on which  $\rho(L_n)$  and  $\rho(L_{-n})$  will be formally adjoint (the realness of  $H(v_{n_1, \dots, n_k}, v_{n'_1, \dots, n'_r})$  is essential here).

- 2: It is clear that  $\ker(H)$  is a sub-representation. To show that it is maximal suppose  $U$  is a sub-representation and there is a  $w \in U$  with  $H(w, v) \neq 0$  for some  $v \in V$ . We can assume  $v$  to be of the form  $v_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ , so it follows that

$$H(w, v_{n_1, \dots, n_k}) = H(\pi(\rho(L_{n_k}) \dots \rho(L_{n_1})w), v_0) \neq 0$$

and  $\rho(L_{n_k}) \dots \rho(L_{n_1})w$  has a non-zero component in  $\mathbb{C}v_0$ . The lemma at the end of the last chapter implies that  $v_0$  is in  $U$ . Since  $v_0$  is cyclic this must mean that

$$U = V.$$

**Remark.** From now on we normalise  $H(v_0, v_0) = 1$ . By uniqueness and by all sub-representations being contained in  $\ker(H)$  the (unique) hermitian  $H_V$  form on any Virasoro module  $V$  must be the pushforward of the hermitian form  $H_{M(c,h)}$  on the associated Verma module  $M(c, h)$  via the quotient map. The above lemma now gives some easy corollaries, because the results are nice we will call them theorems:

**Theorem.**

- (1)  $H_{M(c,h)}$  is positive semi-definite if and only iff there is a unitary Virasoro module of weight  $(c, h)$ .
- (2) Any unitary Virasoro module is irreducible.
- (3)  $M(c, h)$  is indecomposable.
- (4)  $H_V$  is definite if and only if  $V$  is irreducible.

**Proofs.**

- 1: Every Virasoro module of weight  $(c, h)$  arises from quotienting out a sub-representation of  $M(c, h)$ , all of which are contained in  $\ker(H_{M(c,h)})$ . Thus  $M(c, h)/\ker(H_{M(c,h)})$  is the only non-degenerate  $(c, h)$  module, on which the hermitian form is positive definite only if  $H_{M(c,h)}$  is positive semi-definite.
- 2:  $\ker(H_V)$  is the maximal sub-representation, if  $V$  is unitary this is zero and thus  $V$  is irreducible.
- 3: Unless  $\ker(H_{M(c,h)}) = M(c, h)$  no sub-representation admits a complementary sub-representation.
- 4: Any sub-representation of  $V$  must live in  $\ker(H_V)$ .

So if we want to find the unitary Virasoro modules, we need to know when we have positive (semi-)definite hermitian forms on  $M(c, h)$ . Here the orthogonal decomposition  $\mathbb{C}v_0 \oplus \bigoplus_n P(n)$  is useful, since we can consider the restriction of the form onto the finite-dimensional subspaces  $P(n)$ . As an example consider

$$\begin{aligned} H(v_0, v_0) &= 1, & H(v_1, v_1) &= H(v_0, \rho(L_1)\rho(L_{-1}v_0) = H(v_0, 2L_0v_0) = 2h \\ H(v_2, v_2) &= 4h + \frac{c}{2}, & H(v_{1,1}, v_{1,1}) &= 8h^2 + 4h, & H(v_2, v_{1,1}) &= 6h \end{aligned}$$

from which we get

$$H|_{P(0)} \equiv (1), \quad H|_{P(1)} \equiv (2h), \quad H|_{P(2)} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 4h + \frac{c}{2} & 6h \\ 6h & 8h^2 + 4h \end{pmatrix}.$$

These examples give us restrictions on which  $c$  and  $h$  allow for unitary Virasoro modules. The **Kac determinant**  $A^{(n)}(c, h) := \det(H_{M(c, h)}|_{P(n)})$  is a useful tool to investigate the definiteness properties of  $H_{M(c, h)}$ . For example it is clear:

$$H_{M(c, h)} \text{ non-degenerate} \iff A^{(n)}(c, h) \neq 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Theorem.** ([Kac 87])

$$(1) \quad A^{(n)}(c, h) = K_n \prod_{s, r \geq 1, sr \leq n} (h - h_{r, s}(m(c)))^{p(n-rs)}.$$

$$(2) \quad A^{(n)}(c, h) > 0 \text{ for all } c > 1, h > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For the meaning of the symbols in part (1),  $K_n$  are positive constants independent of  $c, h$ ,  $p(n - rs)$  gives the number of partitions of  $n - rs$ , and  $h_{r, s}(m)$  and  $m(c)$  are defined via (the evaluation is independent of the sign  $\pm$  in  $c(m)$ ):

$$h_{r, s}(m) = \frac{((m+1)r - ms)^2 - 1}{4m(m+1)}, \quad c(m) = -\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{25 - c}{1 - c}}.$$

**Corollary.** If there is one unitary Virasoro module of weight  $(c, h)$ ,  $c > 1, h > 0$ , then all  $M(c, h)$   $c > 1, h > 0$  are unitary.

This follows since the coefficients of the matrices  $H_{M(c, h)}|_{P(n)}$  vary continuously with  $c, h$ , thus a switch of definiteness must result in a determinant going through zero. The theorem tells us that this cannot happen in the region  $c > 1, h > 0$ , thus if there is one positive definite  $H_{M(c, h)}$  in this region, all of the Verma modules are unitary. The theorem also tells us that all Virasoro modules in this region are Verma modules, since non-degeneracy of the hermitian form is implied by positivity of all determinants.

Previously we had given an example of a unitary Virasoro module  $V_{\text{harm}}$  of weight  $(c = 1, \frac{1}{2}h)$  by considering countably many harmonic oscillators.  $V_{\text{harm}} \otimes V_{\text{harm}}$  carries a unitary representation of  $\text{Vir}$  (the tensor-product of two Lie-Algebra representation is defined via  $(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2)(L)(v \otimes w) = (\rho_1(L)v) \otimes w + v \otimes (\rho_2(L)w)$ ). If we look at the sub-representation generated by  $|\text{vac}\rangle \otimes |\text{vac}\rangle$  we retrieve a unitary highest weight representation of weight  $(1 + 1, \frac{1}{2}h + \frac{1}{2}h) = (2, h)$ . Via the corollary above this gives us

unitary representations in the region  $c > 1, h > 0$ .

The following theorem characterises all unitary Virasoro modules and concluded the talk (but not the write-up):

**Theorem.**

- (1)  $H_{M(c,h)}$  is positive definite for  $c > 1, h > 0$ .
- (2)  $H_{M(c,h)}$  is positive (semi-)definite for  $c \geq 1, h \geq 0$ .
- (3) All positive (semi-)definite  $H_{M(c,h)}$  for  $c < 1, h \geq 0$  are of the form  $c = 1 - \frac{6}{m(m+1)}$ ,  $h = h_{r,s}(m)$  where  $m \geq 2, 1 \leq s < r < m$  and  $m, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $H_{M(c,h)}$  is degenerate for these values of  $c, h$ .

The proof of (3) can be found in **[GKO 85]**.

## Other unitary representations of the Virasoro algebra

In the preceding section all unitary highest weight representations of  $\text{Vir}$  were constructed, and these were all irreducible. There exists another theory of lowest weight representations, in which one asks for  $\rho(L_n)v_0 = 0$  for  $n < 0$ . Since we have a Lie-Algebra automorphism on  $\text{Vir}$  defined via  $\sigma(L_n) = -L_{-n}$  that switches  $\mathfrak{n}_+$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_-$ , the theories of lowest and highest weight representations are identical. Both are examples of finite weight representations.

**Def.** A representation  $\rho : \text{Vir} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  is called a finite weight representation if  $\rho(L_0)$  acts semi-simply on  $V$  (that is  $V$  decomposes into eigenvectors of  $\rho(L_0)$ ) and all eigenspaces of  $\rho(L_0)$  are finite-dimensional.

**Remark.** A consequence is that  $\rho(Z)$  is proportional to the identity in an irreducible finite weight representation since it must have an eigenvalue (it preserves one of the finite dimensional eigenspaces of  $\rho(L_0)$ , since it commutes with everything) and its eigenspaces are sub-representations.

As an example both highest weight and lowest weight representations are finite weight representations. Another class of examples is the spaces of  $\lambda$  densities on  $S^1$ . Let  $(\lambda, a) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  and define  $W(\lambda, a) = \text{FreeVS}(\{w_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\})$  with an  $\text{Vir}$  action  $\rho(L_k)w_n = (n + a + k\lambda)w_{n+k}$  and  $\rho(Z)w_n = 0$ . In this example the weight spaces all have dimension less than or equal to 1 and the representation is also irreducible if  $\lambda \notin \{0, 1\}, a \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . These give another class of unitary representations.

**Theorem.**  $W(\lambda, a)$  can be given the structure of a unitary representations if and only if  $\lambda \in \frac{1}{2} + i\mathbb{R}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . In this case the scalar product is again unique up to a multiple.

This actually completes the classification of all finite weight unitary representations:

**Theorem ([CF 88]).** Let  $V$  be an irreducible unitary finite weight representation, then  $V$  is either a highest weight representation (classified in the previous sections), a lowest weight representation (admitting the same classification), or a  $\lambda$ -density representation  $W(\lambda, a)$  with  $(\lambda, a)$  of the form specified above.

There are also irreducible unitary representations that are not of finite weight, this is remarked in [CF 88] although their reference [Kir 81] does not seem to be available on the internet.