# On $SK_1$ of Iwasawa algebras joint work with Peter Schneider

Otmar Venjakob

Mathematisches Institut Universität Heidelberg

Cartagena, 14.02.2012

## The setup

R commutative ring,

 ${\cal L}$  a  ${\it R}$ -Lie algebra, finitely generated free as  ${\it R}$ -module

$$[\ ,\ ]:\mathcal{L}\wedge\mathcal{L}\to\mathcal{L}$$

$$\bigwedge \mathcal{L} := \langle x \wedge y \mid [x, y]_L = 0 \rangle_R \subseteq \ker[\ ,\ ]$$

**Question:** When does  $\bigwedge \mathcal{L} = \ker[\ ,\ ]$  hold?

#### A counterexample

Assume that  $2 \epsilon R^{\times}$ .

 $V:=R^4$  with standard basis  $e_1,\ldots,e_4$  and

 $W:=\bigwedge^2 V/R(e_1\wedge e_2+e_3\wedge e_4)$  (rank 5)

$$\partial: \bigwedge^2 V \stackrel{\mathrm{pr}}{\longrightarrow} W$$
.

Note that ker  $\partial$  does not contain any nonzero vector of the form  $a \wedge b$ .

 $\mathcal{L}' := V \oplus W$  with bracket

$$[\;,\;]:\bigwedge^2\mathcal{L}'\xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}}\bigwedge^2V\xrightarrow{\partial}W\xrightarrow{\subseteq}\mathcal{L}'$$

makes  $\mathcal{L}'$  into a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra over R with center  $Z(\mathcal{L}') = [\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L}'] = W$  and  $e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4 \in \ker[\cdot, \cdot] \setminus \bigwedge \mathcal{L}'$ .

# Chevalley orders

F field of characteristic zero

 $\mathfrak{g}$  a F-split reductive Lie algebra over F with center  $\mathfrak{z}$ , Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  and root system  $\Phi$ ,  $[X_{\alpha}, X_{-\alpha}] = -H_{\alpha}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} Q^{\vee} := \sum_{\alpha \ \epsilon \ \Phi} \mathbb{Z} H_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathfrak{h} \ \text{coroot lattice} \\ P^{\vee} := \{ h \ \epsilon \ \sum_{\alpha \ \epsilon \ \Phi} \mathbb{Q} H_{\alpha} : \beta(h) \ \epsilon \ \mathbb{Z} \ \text{for any} \ \beta \ \epsilon \ \Phi \} \subseteq \mathfrak{h} \ \text{coweight} \\ \text{lattice of the root system} \ \Phi \end{array}$ 

 $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \mathfrak{h} \mathbb{Z}$ -lattice such that  $Q^{\vee} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq P^{\vee} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$ ,

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}} + \sum_{lpha \in \Phi} \mathbb{Z} X_{lpha} \subseteq \mathfrak{g} .$$

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Lie}$  subalgebra (Chevalley order) of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

 $\mathfrak{g}_R := R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a R-Lie algebra.

The problem A counterexample Chevalley orders Main result on Lie algebras

#### **Theorem**

If 2 and 3 are invertible in R then  $ker[,] = \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_R$ .

Kostant had proved the case  $R=\mathbb{C}$  by different methods. This is an integral version of his result.

# Uniform pro-p-groups

G (topologically) finitely generated pro-p group with

where  $G_1 := G$  and  $G_i := [G, G_i]G^p$  is the lower *p*-central series, is called *uniform* pro-*p* group.

#### Facts:

- $G \xrightarrow{g^{i-1}} G_i$  is homeomorphic (but not homomorphic in general).
- ② (Lazard) A pro-finite group G is a p-adic Lie group  $\iff G$  has an open characteristic subgroup which is uniform.



#### The associated Lie algebra

The operations

$$x + y := \lim_{n \to \infty} (x^{p^n} y^{p^n})^{\frac{1}{p^n}}$$
$$(x, y) := \lim_{n \to \infty} [x^{p^n}, y^{p^n}]^{\frac{1}{p^{2n}}}$$

make G into a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  Lie algebra, denoted  $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{L}(G)$ ,

and we have an equivalence of categories

$$\{G \text{ uniform}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\mathcal{L} \text{ with } (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \subseteq p\mathcal{L}, \text{i.e., powerful}\}$$

## lwasawa algebras

$$\Lambda(G) := \underbrace{\varprojlim}_{\substack{U \lhd G \text{ open}}} \mathbb{Z}_p[G/U]$$

$$\Lambda_{\infty}(G) := \underbrace{\varprojlim}_{\substack{U \lhd G \text{ open}}} \mathbb{Q}_p[G/U]$$

lwasawa algebra

$$SK_1(\mathbb{Z}_p[G/U]) := \ker \left(K_1(\mathbb{Z}_p[G/U]) \longrightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}_p[G/U])\right)$$

is known to be finite!

$$SK_1(\Lambda(G)) := \ker \left( K_1(\Lambda(G)) \longrightarrow K_1(\Lambda_{\infty}(G)) \right) \cong \varprojlim SK_1(\mathbb{Z}_p[G/U])$$

by a result of Fukaya and Kato.



## A homological description

Oliver: for H finite we have

$$\oplus_{A\subseteq H}H_2(A,\mathbb{Z})\longrightarrow H_2(H,\mathbb{Z})\longrightarrow SK_1(\mathbb{Z}_p[H])\longrightarrow 0$$

where A runs trough all abelian subgroups of H.

Dualizing with  $-^{\vee} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cts}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$  and taking limits gives:

$$\mathit{SK}_1(\Lambda(\mathit{G}))^\vee = \ker \left( \mathit{H}^2(\mathit{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{N} \prod_{\mathit{A} \subset \mathit{G}/N} \mathit{H}^2(\mathit{A}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \right) \,.$$

## A cohomological criterion

If G has no torsion, then  $SK_1 = 0 \iff$ 

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(G, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)/p \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} \prod_{A \subseteq G} H^2(A, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is exact. As a consequence of Whiteheads Lemma and a result of Lazard we obtain

#### Corollary

If G is a compact p-adic Lie group such that  $L(G) := \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{L}(G)$  is semi-simple, then  $SK_1(\Lambda(G))$  is finite.

#### The uniform case

Lazard: 
$$H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p) = \bigwedge H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

$$V := G/G^p$$
.

Then  $SK_1 = 0 \iff$ 

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge V \stackrel{\subseteq}{\longrightarrow} \bigwedge^2 V \stackrel{\delta^{\vee}}{\longrightarrow} G^{ab}[p] \longrightarrow 0 \ ,$$

is exact

#### The uniform case

Lazard: 
$$H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p) = \bigwedge H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

$$V := G/G^p$$
.

Then  $SK_1 = 0 \iff$ 

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge V \stackrel{\subseteq}{\longrightarrow} \bigwedge^2 V \stackrel{\delta^{\vee}}{\longrightarrow} G^{ab}[p] \longrightarrow 0 \ ,$$

is exact  $\iff$ 

$$\bigwedge V = \ker \partial$$

where 
$$\partial$$
 :  $V \wedge V \longrightarrow (G^p/[G^p, G])[p]$   
 $gG^p \wedge hG^p \longmapsto [g, h] \mod [G^p, G]$ 

#### A Lie criterion

$$SK_1 = 0 \iff \bigwedge \mathcal{L} = \text{ker}[\ ,\ ]$$

# Vanishing of $SK_1$

 $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$  for  $p \neq 2, 3$ 

 $\mathfrak{g}$  a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -split reductive Lie algebra

 $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Z}\subseteq\mathfrak{g}$  a Chevalley order.

Then, for any  $n \ge 1$ ,  $p^n \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$  corresponds to unique uniform p-adic Lie group  $G(p^n)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -Lie algebra

$$\mathcal{L}(G(p^n)) = p^n \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$$
.

#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

In the above setting we have

$$SK_1(\Lambda(G(p^n))) = 0$$
.



#### Examples

 ${\mathcal G}$  a split reductive group scheme over  ${\mathbb Z}$ 

$$G(p^n) := \ker \left( \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p) o \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n) \right)$$

satisfies conditions of the theorem, e.g. for  $m \ge 1$ 

$$\ker \left( \mathit{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_p) o \mathit{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^m) \right).$$

#### Iwasawa Main Conjecture

Uniqueness-statements in Main Conjectures of Iwasawa theory:

$$SK_{1}(\Lambda(G)) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \longmapsto [X_{E}]$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad K_{1}(\Lambda(G)) \longrightarrow K_{1}(\Lambda(G)_{S}) \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} K_{0}(S-\text{tor})$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad DET \downarrow \qquad DET \downarrow$$

$$Maps(Irr(G), \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}^{\times}) \longrightarrow Maps(Irr(G), \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \cup \{\infty\})$$

That is, if  $SK_1(\Lambda(G)) = 1$  and if  $\mathcal{L}$  is induced form  $\Lambda(G) \cap \Lambda(G)_S^{\times}$  (no poles), then  $\mathcal{L}$  is unique with

- $extbf{2}$   $DET(\mathcal{L})$  satisfies some interpolation property.