

# INAUGURAL - DISSERTATION

zur

Erlangung der Doktorwürde

der

Gesamtfakultät für Mathematik, Ingenieur- und Naturwissenschaften

der

Ruprecht – Karls – Universität

Heidelberg

vorgelegt von

VALERIO ASSENZA

aus

ROM

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung:



# On the geometry of magnetic flows

Advisor:

Professor Gabriele Benedetti

Professor Peter Albers



---

## Abstract

To a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  endowed with a magnetic form  $\sigma$  and its Lorentz operator  $\Omega$  we associate an operator  $M^\Omega$ , called the *magnetic curvature operator*. Such an operator encloses the classical Riemannian curvature of the metric  $g$  together with terms of perturbation due to the magnetic interaction of  $\sigma$ . From  $M^\Omega$  we derive the *magnetic sectional curvature*  $\text{Sec}^\Omega$  and the *magnetic Ricci curvature*  $\text{Ric}^\Omega$ . On closed manifolds, with a Bonnet-Myers argument, we show that if  $\text{Ric}^\Omega$  is positive on an energy level below the Mañé critical value then, on that energy level, we can recover the Palais-Smale condition and prove the existence of a contractible periodic orbit. In particular, when  $\sigma$  is nowhere vanishing, this implies the existence of contractible periodic orbits on every energy level close to zero. On closed oriented even dimensional manifolds, we discuss about the topological restrictions which appear when one requires  $\text{Sec}^\Omega$  to be positive. Finally, we give a magnetic version of the classical Hopf's rigidity theorem for magnetic flows without conjugate points on closed oriented surfaces.

---

## Zusammenfassung

Zu einer riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeit  $(M, g)$  versehen mit einer magnetischen Form  $\sigma$  und dem zugehörigen Lorenzoperator  $\Omega$  assoziieren wir einen Operator  $M^\Omega$ , die magnetische Krümmung. Dieser Operator beinhaltet die riemannsche Krümmung, sowie durch die magnetische Form induzierte Störungsterme. Aus  $M^\Omega$  kann die magnetische Schnittkrümmung  $\text{Sec}^\Omega$  sowie die magnetische Ricci-Krümmung  $\text{Ric}^\Omega$  abgeleitet werden. Auf einer geschlossenen Mannigfaltigkeit kann mit einem Bonnet-Myers Argument unter der Voraussetzung, dass die magnetische Ricci-Krümmung unter dem Mañé kritischen Wert positiv ist, die Palais-Smale Eigenschaft nachgewiesen werden sowie die Existenz eines zusammenziehbaren periodischen Orbits. Insbesondere, wenn  $\sigma$  nicht verschwindend ist, folgt somit die Existenz von zusammenziehbaren periodischen Orbits für Energielevel nahe null. Auf geschlossenen Mannigfaltigkeiten, deren Dimension gerade ist, diskutieren wir die topologischen Einschränkungen, die durch die Positivität der magnetischen Schnittkrümmung induziert werden. Zuletzt werden wir ein Analogon des klassischen Hopf-Starrheits-Theorems für magnetische Flüsse, welche keine konjugierten Punkte haben, auf geschlossenen orientierten Flächen beweisen.

---

## **Acknowledgments**

I would like to thank my advisors Peter Albers and Gabriele Benedetti for their personal and professional support during these four years. I'm grateful to my family, my friends and my colleagues who have surrounded me with a fantastic, fun and loving environment, and with whom I have made beautiful adventures. A special thanks goes to Kezia and Luci who have strongly supported me in my last months in Toronto, where this work had been completed. Finally, I would like to dedicate this manuscript to my grandmother Anna De Lorenzo for always being a light in my life, and to Nicole Umlas, beautiful soul and a special friend in this world.



# Contents

1	Introduction . . . . .	1
1.1	Magnetic systems . . . . .	1
1.2	The Mañé critical value . . . . .	2
1.3	Magnetic Jacobi equation and conjugate points . . . . .	3
1.4	Closed magnetic geodesics and main result . . . . .	3
2	Magnetic curvature and results . . . . .	5
2.1	Magnetic curvature . . . . .	5
2.2	Ricci magnetic curvature and closed magnetic geodesics . . . . .	6
2.3	Magnetic curvature and topology of the base manifold . . . . .	6
2.4	The magnetic Hopf's rigidity on closed surfaces . . . . .	7
3	Preliminaries . . . . .	9
3.1	Hilbert space of loops with free period and the magnetic action form . . . . .	9
3.2	Magnetic action form . . . . .	10
3.3	Hessian of $\eta_k$ and the index of a vanishing point . . . . .	11
3.4	Vanishing sequences . . . . .	13
3.5	Symplectic and nowhere vanishing magnetic forms . . . . .	13
3.6	Symplectic magnetic forms in dimension 2: proof of Theorem C . . . . .	15
3.7	Magnetic exponential map and conjugate points . . . . .	16
3.8	Magnetic Jacobi fields in dimension 2 . . . . .	17
3.9	A Cartan-Hadamard Theorem for magnetic flows on closed surfaces . . . . .	18
4	Magnetic curvature and Hessian of the magnetic form . . . . .	19
4.1	Magnetic parallel transport . . . . .	21
4.2	Proof of Theorem D . . . . .	22
4.3	A magnetic Bonnet-Myers theorem . . . . .	22
5	Proof of Theorem B (and Theorem A) . . . . .	24
5.1	Weakly exact case . . . . .	24
5.2	Non-weakly exact case . . . . .	29
6	The Hopf's rigidity: proof of Theorem E . . . . .	32

## Bibliography

37



# 1 Introduction

Magnetic systems represent a classical topic which is still of great mathematical interest. They systematically appear in contemporary physics, from collider accelerators and plasma fusion, where the magnetic field confines the particle on a desired region of the space; to Earth's magnetosphere and mass spectrometry, where the magnetic field acts as a mirror or a prism. From a mathematical point of view a magnetic system is the pure toy model for the motion of a charged particle moving on a Riemannian manifold under the action of a magnetic force. In this manuscript we will investigate such dynamics from a variational and geometrical point of view. In particular, we introduce for the first time, the notion of magnetic curvature. This is an operator which encodes the geometrical properties of the Riemannian structure together with the perturbation due to the magnetic interaction, and it generalizes in arbitrary dimension the previously introduced notion of Gaussian magnetic curvature on closed surfaces. We expect that the magnetic curvature carries relevant properties of the magnetic dynamics. For instance, among the main results of this thesis, we show the existence of a periodic orbit when the trace of the magnetic curvature (also called the Ricci magnetic curvature) is positive. A corollary of this result guarantees the existence of periodic orbit for every small energies when the magnetic force is nowhere vanishing. We will also be investigating the relations between this new operator and the topology of the base manifold. In particular, we recover in a magnetic setting some of the classical results known in Riemannian geometry such as the Hopf's rigidity theorem on closed surfaces, the Synge's theorem for oriented even dimensional closed manifolds, and the Bonnet-Myers theorem.

## 1.1 Magnetic systems

A magnetic system is the data of  $(M, g, \sigma)$ , where  $(M, g)$  is a closed Riemannian manifold and  $\sigma$  is a closed 2-form on  $M$  and in this context it is referred as the *magnetic form*. The condition that  $\sigma$  is closed generalizes the fact that, in Euclidean space, magnetic fields are divergence-free according to Maxwell's equations. A bundle operator  $\Omega : TM \rightarrow TM$ , called Lorentz operator, is associated with  $\sigma$  and the metric  $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  in the following sense:

$$\langle v, \Omega(w) \rangle = \sigma(v, w), \quad \forall v, w \in T_p M, \quad \forall p \in M. \quad (1.1)$$

Observe that  $\Omega$  is antisymmetric with respect to  $g$ . In this setting, denoting by  $\frac{D}{dt}$  the covariant derivative of the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$ , the dynamics of a charged particle, moving on  $M$  under the influence of the magnetic field  $\sigma$ , is described by the second-order differential equation:

$$\frac{D}{dt} \dot{\gamma} = \Omega(\dot{\gamma}). \quad (1.2)$$

A solution  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  to (1.2) is called a *magnetic geodesic*. Observe that when there is no magnetic interaction, i.e.  $\Omega = 0$ , the above equation is reduced to the equation of standard geodesics. By lifting the differential problem (1.2) to  $TM$ , we obtain a vector field  $X_\sigma$  also called the *magnetic vector field* of  $TM$ . Because the energy  $E(p, v) = \frac{1}{2}|v|^2$  is constant along magnetic geodesics, the *magnetic flow*  $\varphi_\sigma : \mathbb{R} \times TM \rightarrow TM$  associated to  $X_\sigma$  is complete and leaves invariant the level sets  $\Sigma_k = \{(p, v), |v| = \sqrt{2k}\}$ , with  $k \in (0, +\infty)$ . Observe that the  $k$ -level  $\Sigma_k$  coincides with the sphere bundle of radius  $\sqrt{2k}$  over  $M$ . Hereafter, we denote by  $\varphi_\sigma^k$  the restriction of  $\varphi_\sigma$  to  $\Sigma_k$ .

A magnetic system is *exact* if the magnetic form admits a global primitive on the base manifold  $M$ , i.e. there exists  $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$  such that  $d\theta = \sigma$ . A magnetic system is *weakly exact* if  $\sigma$  is exact on the universal cover  $p : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ , i.e.  $\tilde{\sigma} = p^*\sigma$  is exact on  $\tilde{M}$ .

Similarly, one can define the magnetic flow by using the Hamiltonian formalism. Indeed, consider the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  endowed with twisted symplectic form given by

$$\omega_\sigma = \omega + \pi^*\sigma;$$

Here  $\omega$  is the canonical form of  $T^*M$  and  $\pi$  its the natural projection onto  $M$ . Consider the Hamiltonian  $H(p, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2}|\lambda|^2$  (here with abuse of notation we denote by  $|\cdot|$  also the dual norm induced by  $g$ ). Let  $\hat{X}_\sigma$  be the vector field on  $T^*M$  given by the symplectic pairing of  $\omega_\sigma$ . In particular,  $\hat{X}_\sigma$  is the unique vector field on  $T^*M$  such that

$$\omega_\sigma(\hat{X}^\sigma, \cdot) = dH(\cdot).$$

The flow  $\hat{\varphi}^\sigma : \mathbb{R} \times T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$  associated with  $\hat{X}^\sigma$  is conjugate, through the *Legendre transformation*, to the magnetic flow  $\varphi_\sigma$  defined on  $TM$ . Therefore, one can approach the magnetic dynamics on the tangent bundle through  $\varphi^\sigma$  or on the cotangent bundle through  $\hat{\varphi}^\sigma$ . For our purposes, we mainly work on  $TM$ . We use the Hamiltonian formalism to define the critical value later in the introduction. For more details and properties of twisted cotangent bundles and the duality between  $\varphi^\sigma$  and  $\hat{\varphi}^\sigma$ , we refer the reader to [Ben16],[Mer10] or also [AB16].

## 1.2 The Mañé critical value

Among the values of the energy there is one denoted by  $c(g, \sigma) \in [0, +\infty]$  and called Mañé critical value which plays a crucial role in the theory. Indeed the dynamics of  $\varphi_\sigma^k$  can drastically differ depending on whether  $k < c$ ,  $k = c$  or  $k > c$ . If  $\sigma$  is weakly exact the critical value is defined as

$$c(g, \sigma) = \inf_{d\tilde{\theta}=\tilde{\sigma}} \sup_{p \in \tilde{M}} \tilde{H}(p, \tilde{\theta}_p), \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\tilde{H}$  is the lift of  $H$  in  $\tilde{M}$  and the infimum is taken over any primitive of  $\tilde{\sigma}$ . If  $\sigma$  is not weakly exact, we pose  $c(g, \sigma) = +\infty$ . As pointed out in [Mer10],  $c = 0$  if and only if  $\sigma = 0$  and  $c$  is finite if and only if  $\tilde{\sigma}$  admits a bounded primitive, i.e. there exists a primitive  $\tilde{\theta}$  of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  such that

$$\sup_{\tilde{M}} |\tilde{\theta}_p| < \infty.$$

Observe that in equation (1.2) the growth of the left-hand term and the right-hand term is respectively quadratic and linear in function of the energy. This consideration suggests an insight into how a magnetic system acts on different energy level sets. The intuition is the following: for high energy, namely above  $c$ , the Riemannian term is dominant and we weakly perturb the geodesic flow. Instead below  $c$  the magnetic interaction is strong and ideally we may expect the evolution of the particle to be lured back in a neighbourhood of the starting point. In this interpretation the critical value plays a role of interlude between these two kind of dynamics. Later in the introduction, we see how  $c$  can be characterized also in a variational setting.

### 1.3 Magnetic Jacobi equation and conjugate points

Along a magnetic geodesic  $\gamma : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  consider the linearization of equation (1.2) given by the differential problem

$$\left(\frac{D}{dt}\right)^2 J + R(J, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma} - (\nabla_J \Omega)(\dot{\gamma}) - \Omega\left(\frac{D}{dt} J\right) = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $R$  denotes the Riemann curvature operator. Equation (1.4) is also called the *magnetic Jacobi equation* along  $\gamma$ . An immediate computation shows that if  $J$  is solution of (1.4), then  $\langle \frac{D}{dt} J, \dot{\gamma} \rangle$  is constant along  $\gamma$ . A solution  $J$  is called *normal solution* if at the starting time  $t_1$ ,  $J(t_1) = 0$  and  $\langle \frac{D}{dt} J(t_1), \dot{\gamma}(t_1) \rangle = 0$ . Let  $p, q \in M$  be two distinct points and let  $\gamma$  be a magnetic geodesic such that  $\gamma(t_1) = p$  and  $\gamma(t_2) = q$  for  $t_1 \neq t_2$ . We say that  $q$  is a *conjugate point* with respect to  $p$  (through the magnetic geodesic  $\gamma$ ) if there exists a vector field  $J$  along  $\gamma$  non trivial normal solution of (1.4), such that the orthogonal projection with respect to  $\dot{\gamma}$ ,  $J^\perp(t_2)$  vanishes (i.e.  $J(t_2)$  is parallel to  $\dot{\gamma}(t_2)$ ). A magnetic geodesic is said to be *without conjugate points* if  $\gamma(t_1)$  is not conjugate to  $\gamma(t_2)$  for any  $t_1 \neq t_2$ . Finally, the magnetic flow  $\varphi_\sigma^k$  is said to be *without conjugate points* if every trajectory of  $\varphi_\sigma^k$  is without conjugate points. Observe again, that in absence of magnetic interaction the equation (1.4) is reduced to the classical Jacobi equation along a geodesic, and the definition of conjugate point coincides with the standard Riemannian one. In Section 3.7, we see how this definition of conjugate point is equivalent to the degeneracy of a suitable exponential map defined for a magnetic flow  $\varphi_\sigma^k$ . In the case of magnetic systems on closed oriented surface we recover in a magnetic setting, a weaker statement of the Cartan-Hadamard Theorem (see Subsection 3.9 and see [Ada11] and [Ada12] for similar results in this direction). We remind the reader that the classical Cartan-Hadamard theorem (see [dC15]) states that on a complete Riemannian manifold with negative sectional curvatures, the exponential map is a covering map. In particular, it follows that the universal cover of  $M$  is  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### 1.4 Closed magnetic geodesics and main result

A *closed magnetic geodesic* is a periodic magnetic geodesic and one of the most relevant interests in the theory is the study of existence, multiplicity and free homotopy class of closed magnetic geodesic in a given  $\Sigma_k$  (see for instance [Gin04],[Gin96] or also [NT84]). In a variational setting, closed magnetic geodesic with energy  $k$  are precisely zeros for a suitable closed 1-form  $\eta_k$ , called the *magnetic action form*, which is defined on  $\mathcal{M}$ , the space of absolutely continuous loops with  $L^2$ -integrable derivative and free period (for more details about the magnetic action form we refer the reader to [Mer10],[AB16] or to Subsection 3.1 of the present manuscript). Such a form always admits a local primitive (as defined in Equation (3.4)) and generalizes the differential of the classical action functional  $S_k$ , which is globally defined for example if  $\sigma$  is exact on the base manifold  $M$  (see [Abb13]). When the magnetic form is weakly exact, we can extend a local primitive  $S_k^\sigma$  of  $\eta_k$  to the whole connected component of contractible elements of  $\mathcal{M}$  which we denote by  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . Such a primitive it is given for instance by

$$S_k^\sigma : \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad S_k^\sigma(\gamma) = \int_0^T \left\{ \frac{|\dot{\gamma}|^2}{2} + k \right\} dt + \int_{B^2} D_\gamma^* \sigma, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $D_\gamma : B^2 \rightarrow M$  is an arbitrary capping disk for  $\gamma$ . Since  $\sigma$  is weakly exact if and only if  $[\sigma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$  vanishes over  $\pi_2(M)$ , definition (1.5) is independent of the choice of  $D_\gamma$ . In this

variational setting, we can redefine the Mañé critical value  $c$  as

$$c = \inf\{k \geq 0 \mid S_k^\sigma(\gamma) \geq 0, \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{M}_0\}. \quad (1.6)$$

The importance of  $c$  becomes evident when we look at the existence of closed magnetic geodesics. The next theorem resumes the central results, obtained in the last decades, about the existence of a closed magnetic geodesic in a given  $k$ -energy level. We refer the reader to [Osu05],[Tai10] or [Con06] for the case when  $\sigma$  is exact. To [Mer10] for the weakly exact case and to [AB16] for the general case.

**Theorem.** *Let  $(M, g, \sigma)$  be a magnetic system and  $c \in [0, +\infty]$  its Mañé critical value.*

- (i) *If  $\pi_1(M)$  is non trivial and  $k > c$ , then  $\eta_k$  carries a zero in each non trivial homotopy class.*
- (ii) *If  $M$  is simply connected and  $k > c$ , then  $\eta_k$  carries a contractible zero.*
- (iii) *For almost all  $k \in (0, c)$  there exists a contractible zero of  $\eta_k$ .*

In fact, when  $c$  is finite the magnetic form admits a primitive on each connected component of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Such a primitive is bounded from below for  $k > c$  and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition; thus, the existence of a zero for  $\eta_k$ , which is a minimizer of any primitive, is obtained via classical variational methods through minimization. Below  $c$  such compactness conditions are not satisfied anymore and the variational approach becomes extremely delicate. Despite that, for almost all energy  $k$ , with a Struwe monotonicity argument [Str90], one can construct converging Palais-Smale sequences using a minimax geometry of  $\eta_k$  on the set of loops with short length (we retrace such a construction in Section 5). In general, it is still an open question if we can extend the existence of closed magnetic geodesic (contractible or not) to the whole interval  $(0, c)$ . Under stronger assumptions, some progress had been made. For exact magnetic systems on compact oriented surfaces, Taimanov in [Tai92], Contreras, Macarini and Paternain in [CMP04] and later Asselle and Mazzucchelli in [AM19], show the existence of a zero of  $\eta_k$  (minimizer of any global primitive) for all  $k \in (0, +\infty)$  in the non simply connected case, and for all  $k \in (0, c]$  in the case of the 2-sphere. Another relevant result is in the work of Ginzburg-Gürel [GG08], refined by Usher in [Ush09], where it is showed the existence of contractible closed magnetic geodesics for all energies close to zero when the magnetic form is symplectic. In this work we extend the result of Ginzburg and Usher from symplectic magnetic forms to nowhere vanishing magnetic forms.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $(M, g, \sigma)$  be a magnetic system. If  $\sigma$  is nowhere vanishing, then there exists a positive real number  $\rho$  such that for every  $k \in (0, \rho)$ ,  $\eta_k$  has a contractible zero (i.e. there exists a contractible closed magnetic geodesic with energy  $k$ ).*

Observe that the assumption on a closed 2-form to be nowhere vanishing presents weak topological obstructions and the set of such systems is very rich. Indeed, in dimension two, being nowhere vanishing is the same as being symplectic, and it is well known that every oriented compact surfaces admits a symplectic form. The situation is drastically different in dimension bigger than 2. There are topological obstructions for a manifold to carry a symplectic form; for instance, it needs to be even-dimensional and all cohomology groups in even degree must be non-zero. On the other hand, as treated in [EM02], every smooth manifolds admits a nowhere

vanishing closed 2-form in each cohomology class. Let us point out that Theorem A is an immediate corollary of the central result of this manuscript which shows the existence of contractible closed magnetic geodesic for levels of the energy below  $c$  and positively curved in a sense which we describe in the next subsection.

## 2 Magnetic curvature and results

### 2.1 Magnetic curvature

Let  $SM$  be the unit sphere bundle and consider  $E$  and  $E^1$ , the bundles of complementary and unitary complementary direction over  $SM$ . In particular, a fiber of  $E$  and  $E^1$  at the point  $(p, v) \in SM$  is the set  $E_{(p,v)} = \{w \in T_pM \mid \langle v, w \rangle = 0\}$  and  $E^1_{(p,v)} = \{w \in S_pM \mid \langle v, w \rangle = 0\}$ . Let  $k \in (0, +\infty)$  and denote by  $\Omega^2 = \Omega \circ \Omega$ . Consider the bundle operators  $R_k^\Omega, A^\Omega : E \rightarrow E$  given by

$$R_k^\Omega(v, w) = 2kR(w, v)v - \sqrt{2k}(\nabla_w \Omega)(v), \quad (2.1)$$

$$A^\Omega(v, w) = \frac{3}{4}\langle w, \Omega(v) \rangle \Omega(v) - \frac{1}{4}\Omega^2(w) - \frac{1}{4}\langle \Omega(w), \Omega(v) \rangle v. \quad (2.2)$$

Define the *magnetic curvature operator*  $M_k^\Omega : E \rightarrow E$  at the energy level  $k$  as:

$$M_k^\Omega(v, w) = R_k^\Omega(v, w) + A^\Omega(v, w). \quad (2.3)$$

Definition (2.3) comes naturally by approaching the linearization of equation (1.2) as well as by developing the second variation of a primitive of  $\eta_k$  around one of its zero (see for instance Lemma 13). From  $M_k^\Omega$  we derive the magnetic curvature functions. Precisely, the *magnetic sectional curvature*  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega : E^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the *magnetic Ricci curvature*  $\text{Ric}_k^\Omega : SM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and the *scalar magnetic curvature*  $\text{Scal}_k^\Omega : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at the  $k$ -level are the functions given by

$$\text{Sec}_k^\Omega(v, w) = \langle M_k^\Omega(v, w), w \rangle, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\text{Ric}_k^\Omega(v) = \text{trace}(M_k^\Omega(v, \cdot)), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\text{Scal}_k^\Omega(p) = \int_{S_pM} \text{Ric}_k^\Omega(v) dv. \quad (2.6)$$

Observe that if  $\text{Sec}$  and  $\text{Ric}$  denote the classical sectional curvature and Ricci curvature, by looking at (2.3), we obtain for definition (2.4) and definition (2.5) the following expression

$$\text{Sec}_k^\Omega(v, w) = 2k\text{Sec}(v, w) - \sqrt{2k}\langle (\nabla_w \Omega)(v), w \rangle + \langle A^\Omega(v, w), w \rangle, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\text{Ric}_k^\Omega(v) = \text{trace}(M_k^\Omega(v, \cdot)) = 2k\text{Ric}(v) - \sqrt{2k} \text{trace}((\nabla \Omega)(v)) + \text{trace}(A^\Omega(v, \cdot)). \quad (2.8)$$

Observe that, if  $(M, g)$  is closed oriented Riemannian surfaces, we can express the magnetic form  $\sigma$  through smooth function  $b \in C^\infty(M)$  which rescale the volume form  $d\mu_g$  induced by the metric  $g$  (i.e.  $\sigma = b \cdot d\mu_g$ ). In this setting the magnetic sectional curvature, as given in (2.4), coincides with the standard (Gaussian) magnetic curvature that can be found in the work of Gabriel and Miguel Paternain [PP97]. In particular, this is a function  $\mathcal{K}_k^b \in C^\infty(SM)$  defined as

$$\mathcal{K}_k^b(v) = 2k\mathcal{K} - \sqrt{2k}db(i \cdot v) + b^2, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes the Gaussian curvature of  $(M, g)$  and  $i$  the anticlockwise rotation of an angle  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . In [MR23], by assuming  $\mathcal{K}_k^b < 0$ , James Marshall Reber shows a marked length spectrum rigidity for  $g$  and  $b$  in the deformative case. In higher dimension,  $\text{Sec}^\Omega$  appeared in the paper of Wojtkowski [Woj00], where it is deduced by studying magnetic Jacobi fields. In particular it is showed that if  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega$  is negative, then the magnetic flow restricted to  $\Sigma_k$  is of Anosov type (see also [Gou97] and [Gro99] for previous results in this direction). In the context of the second variation of the action, a magnetic Ricci curvature defined as the trace of the operator  $R_k^\Omega$  was considered by Bahri and Taimanov in [BT98]. To the author's best knowledge, this is the first time the magnetic curvature has been defined in full generality.

## 2.2 Ricci magnetic curvature and closed magnetic geodesics

One of the main purposes of this manuscript is to put in relation  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega$  with the second variation of the action (see Lemma 13). In particular, we prove a Bonnet-Myers theorem (see Lemma 18) stating that if  $\gamma$  is a zero of  $\eta_k$  and  $\text{Ric}_k^\Omega > 0$ , then a bound on the Morse index of  $\gamma$  implies a bound on its period. The magnetic Bonnet-Myers together with index estimates for zeroes of  $\eta_k$  obtained by the Struwe monotonicity argument (Lemma 24 and Lemma 29), allow us to recover a converging Palais-Smale sequence and prove the existence of a contractible closed magnetic geodesic. Before stating the main theorem, we remark that techniques to recover Palais-Smale through index estimates were previously considered for instance by Bahri and Coron in [BC91], where they were approaching the Kazdan-Warner problem; by Coti Zelati and Ekeland in [CZEL90] in a general variational setting and by Benci and Giannoni in [BG89] in the context of billiards.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $(M, g, \sigma)$  be a magnetic system. If  $k \in (0, c)$  is such that  $\text{Ric}_k^\Omega > 0$ , then  $\eta_k$  carries a contractible zero (i.e. there exists a contractible closed magnetic geodesic with energy  $k$ ).*

With a different approach, a weaker result was proved by Bahri and Taimanov in [BT98] where, by assuming the trace of  $R_k^\Omega$  to be positive, they showed the same statement for exact magnetic systems. It is crucial that they did not include  $A^\Omega$  in their definition of magnetic curvature. In fact, the terms in (2.8) coming from this operator turn out to be always non negative and they are zero at  $p \in M$  if and only if  $\sigma_p = 0$  (see Lemma 7). This last consideration enlarges the number of situations where we can apply Theorem B and it has a strong impact when we look at magnetic system on low energy levels. Indeed, in Lemma 8, we show that if the magnetic form is symplectic, then  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega > 0$  is positive for  $k$  close to zero; analogously if  $\sigma$  is nowhere vanishing, then  $\text{Ric}_k^\Omega$  is positive for values of  $k$  close to zero. Intuitively we can look at symplectic magnetic systems and nowhere vanishing magnetic systems with small energies as the magnetic analogue of positively sectionally curved and positively Ricci curved manifolds in Riemannian geometry. Observe that point (ii) of Lemma 8 together with Theorem B immediately implies Theorem A stated in the previous section.

## 2.3 Magnetic curvature and topology of the base manifold

We also put in evidence some topological restrictions that appear when we require  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega$  to be positive. For instance, in dimension 2, a symplectic magnetic system can be fully characterized in terms of positive magnetic sectional curvature for low energies.

**Theorem C.** *Let  $(M, g, \sigma)$  be a magnetic system on a closed oriented surface. If there exists a positive real number  $k_0$  such that  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega > 0$  for every  $k \in (0, k_0)$ , then either  $\sigma$  is symplectic, or  $\sigma = 0$  and  $g$  has positive Gaussian curvature (and  $M = S^2$ ).*

The proof of Theorem C is purely topological and the reader can consult it independently from the rest of the paper in Section 3.6. In higher even dimension, we show that  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega$  can not be positive when  $k$  is below  $c$  and  $M$  is oriented and simply connected. In particular, in Lemma 16 we will give a magnetic version of the classical Synge's theorem [Kli59]. Recall that this says that on even dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold with positive sectional curvature, no closed geodesic is length minimizer. In a magnetic setting one can deduce the following statement.

**Theorem D.** *Let  $(M, g, \sigma)$  be a magnetic system on an even-dimensional oriented manifold. If  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega > 0$  for some  $k > c$  then  $M$  is simply connected. Moreover, if  $M$  is a compact oriented surface and  $\sigma$  is exact with  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega > 0$ , then  $M = S^2$  and  $k > c$ .*

## 2.4 The magnetic Hopf's rigidity on closed surfaces

One of the classical rigidity theorems in Riemannian geometry stems from E.Hopf [Hop48]: *if a closed Riemannian surface has no conjugate points, then its total Gaussian curvature is non positive and equal to zero if and only if the metric is flat.* The Gauss-Bonnet theorem then implies that every metric without conjugate points on the two dimensional torus  $T^2$  is flat. Hopf's theorem was generalized by Green [Gre58], with the scalar curvature replacing the Gaussian curvature. However, in absence of an higher dimensional argument à la Gauss-Bonnet, the question of whether a metric without conjugate points on a  $n$ -torus  $T^n$  is flat has remained open for decades. The problem was finally solved (with a different array of techniques) by Burago and Ivanov in [BI94]. In this work we extend the Hopf's rigidity to the case of magnetic system on closed oriented surfaces. By denoting with  $\mu_{G(k)}$  the measure induced by  $\mu_g$  on  $\Sigma_k$ , such a result can be enunciated as follows

**Theorem E.** *Let  $(M, g, b)$  be a magnetic system on a closed oriented surface. If for  $k \in (0, +\infty)$ ,  $\varphi_\sigma^k$  is without conjugate points, then*

$$\int_{SM} \mathcal{K}_k^b d\mu_{G(\frac{1}{2})} \leq 0,$$

*with the equality if and only if, either  $M = T^2$  and  $g$  is the flat metric or  $(M, g)$  is a hyperbolic surface,  $b$  is constant and  $k$  is the Mäné critical value.*

A weaker statement was proved by Gouda in [Gou98], in the case of  $b$  constant. Observe that, if  $\alpha$  is a linear form on  $M$ , then with a Fubini argument it holds that for every  $k \in (0, +\infty)$ ,

$$\int_{\Sigma_k} \alpha d\mu_{G(k)} = \int_M d\mu_g \int_{\Sigma_k(p)} \alpha(v) dv = 0.$$

Thus, by looking at the definition (2.9) of  $\mathcal{K}_k^b$ , as consequence of the Gauss-Bonnet Theorem, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{SM} \mathcal{K}_k^b d\mu_{G(\frac{1}{2})} &= 2\pi \left[ \int_M (2k\mathcal{K} + b^2) d\mu_g \right] \\ &= 4\pi^2 \left[ 2k(2 - 2h) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M b^2 d\mu_g \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $h$  is the genus of  $M$ . Therefore, a first implication of Theorem E is that no magnetic flows on the 2 sphere  $S^2$  is without conjugate points. Moreover, when  $h = 1$ , we also recover the general result of Bialy in [Bia00] stating that the only magnetic flows without conjugate points on  $T^n$  are the ones given when  $g$  is flat and  $\sigma = 0$ . Observe that, the magnetic dynamics on hyperbolic surfaces with a constant multiple of the volume form at the critical value is characterized by an horocycle flow (see for instance [Pat06]) which can now be interpreted as the magnetic analogue of the Riemannian flatness in dimension 2.

In a joint work with James Marshall Reber and Ivo Terek, we generalize Theorem E in arbitrary dimension and we also give a complete classification of the *magnetic flatness*, i.e. when  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega = 0$ . The proof and the details are not contained in this manuscript and will appear soon.

**Theorem F.** *Let  $(M, g, \sigma)$  be a magnetic system and  $k \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $\varphi_\sigma^k$  is without conjugate points. Then*

$$\int_M \text{Scal}_k^\Omega d\mu_g \leq 0,$$

*with the equality if and only if  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega = 0$ .*

**Theorem G.** *Let  $(M, g, \sigma)$  be a magnetic system and  $k \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega = 0$ . Then one of the following holds:*

- (i)  $(M, g)$  is the flat  $n$ -dimensional torus and  $\sigma = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $(M, g)$  is a Kähler hyperbolic manifold with Kähler form  $\omega$ , there exists a real non negative constant  $\lambda$  such that the magnetic form  $\sigma = \lambda\omega$ , and  $k$  is the Mäné critical value.

## Plan of the thesis

We conclude the introduction by giving an outline of this manuscript. In Section 3 we prepare the variational setting by introducing the space of loop with free period in Subsection 3.1, and by defining the magnetic form in Subsection 3.2. In Subsection 3.3 we present the second variation of the magnetic form together with the definition of Morse index for a vanishing point. In 3.4 we introduce the notion of vanishing sequence for the magnetic form. Concerning the second part, we see in Subsection 3.5 how the requirement of  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega > 0$  and  $\text{Ric}_k^\Omega > 0$  on small energies is satisfied respectively by symplectic magnetic form and nowhere vanishing magnetic form. In Subsection 3.6 we give the proof of Theorem C. The magnetic exponential map is defined in Subsection 3.7 and, together with the useful expression of the magnetic Jacobi equation given in Subsection 3.8, leads to the proof of the magnetic Cartan-Hadamard theorem in Subsection 3.9. In Section 4 we relate the magnetic curvature with the second derivative of the magnetic action. In particular, by introducing a magnetic analogue of the classical notion of parallel transport in Subsection 4.1, we prove Theorem D in Subsection 4.2 and a Bonnet-Myers Theorem adapted to the magnetic case in Subsection 4.3. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem B (and Theorem A). We consider separately the weakly exact case in Subsection 5.1 and the general one in Subsection 5.2. Finally, in section 6 we prove Theorem E.

### 3 Preliminaries

#### 3.1 Hilbert space of loops with free period and the magnetic action form

Let  $\Lambda = H^1(S^1, M)$  be the set of absolutely continuous loops on  $M$  parametrized over the unit circle with  $L^2$ -integrable tangent vector. The space  $\Lambda$  admits a structure of *Hilbert manifold* modeled as  $H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . For more details and properties we refer the reader to [Kli78]. For simplicity, we assume that  $M$  is orientable which, up to taking a double cover of  $M$ , is no loss of generality for the following constructions. The tangent space at  $x \in \Lambda$  is naturally identified with the space of absolutely continuous vector field along  $x$  with  $L^2$ -integrable covariant derivative and it is naturally isomorphic to  $H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . We endow  $\Lambda$  with a metric  $g_\Lambda$  which in each tangent space, by choosing a trivialization  $\Psi : S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow x^*TM$  of  $TM$  along  $x$ , is defined as

$$g_\Lambda(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \int_0^1 \left[ \langle \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \rangle_x + \left\langle \frac{D}{dt} \zeta_1, \frac{D}{dt} \zeta_2 \right\rangle_x \right] ds. \quad (3.1)$$

The distance  $d_\Lambda$  induced by  $g_\Lambda$  gives to  $\Lambda$  a structure of *complete Riemannian manifold*. We will denote by  $e$  and respectively  $\ell$  the  $L^2$  energy and the length of  $x$  which are defined by

$$e(x) = \int_0^1 |\dot{x}|^2 ds, \quad \ell(x) = \int_0^1 |\dot{x}| ds.$$

The space  $C^\infty(S^1, M)$  is dense in  $\Lambda$ , and a local chart centered on a smooth loop  $x$  is given by

$$F_\Lambda : H^1(S^1, B_r(0)) \rightarrow \Lambda, \quad F_\Lambda(\zeta)(t) = \exp_{x(t)}(\Psi(t, \zeta(t)));$$

where  $B_r(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open ball and  $\exp$  is the exponential map of  $(M, g)$ . As pointed out in [Abb13, Remark 2.2],  $F_\Lambda$  is bi-Lipschitz (i.e.  $F_\Lambda$  and  $F_\Lambda^{-1}$  are Lipschitz) with respect to the standard distance  $d_0$  of  $H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $d_\Lambda$ . This is a consequence of the fact that the norm  $|\cdot|_0$  of  $H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$  induced by the Euclidean scalar product of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the norm  $|\cdot|_\Lambda$  induced by  $(F_\Lambda)^*g_\Lambda$  are equivalent on  $H^1(S^1, B_r(0))$ .

Consider now  $\mathcal{M} = \Lambda \times (0, +\infty)$ . This set can be interpreted as the set of absolutely continuous loops on  $M$  with  $L^2$ -integrable tangent vector and free period. Indeed, a point  $(x, T) \in \mathcal{M}$  is identified with  $\gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow M$  through  $\gamma(t) = x(\frac{t}{T})$ . Vice versa, an absolutely continuous loop with  $L^2$ -integrable tangent vector corresponds to the pair  $(x, T)$  with  $x(s) = \gamma(sT)$ . We provide  $\mathcal{M}$  with the Riemannian structure obtained from the product of  $\Lambda$  endowed with  $g_\Lambda$  and the Euclidean structure of  $(0, +\infty)$ . In particular the tangent space splits into

$$T\mathcal{M} = T\Lambda \oplus \mathbb{R} \frac{d}{dT}, \quad (3.2)$$

and in this splitting the metric is given by

$$g_{\mathcal{M}} = g_\Lambda + dT^2.$$

If  $x \in C^\infty(S^1, M)$ , a local chart centered at  $(x, T)$  is obtained through the product

$$F_{\mathcal{M}} = F_\Lambda \times \text{Id}_{(0, +\infty)} : H^1(B_r(0), M) \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \quad F_{\mathcal{M}}(\zeta, \tau) = (F_\Lambda(\zeta), T). \quad (3.3)$$

With abuse of notation, denote by  $|\cdot|_0$  the standard product norm of  $H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \times (0, +\infty)$ . Observe that  $|\cdot|_0$  and the norm induced by  $(F_{\mathcal{M}})^*g_{\mathcal{M}}$  are equivalent on  $H^1(B_r(0), M) \times (0, +\infty)$ .

In analogy with  $F_\Lambda$ , this implies that the local chart defined in (3.3) is bi-Lipschitz (since it is the product of two bi-Lipschitz maps). We point out that the distance induced by  $g_{\mathcal{M}}$  is not complete because  $dT^2$  is not complete in the Euclidean factor. Nevertheless completeness is obtained by restricting  $g_\Lambda$  on sets of the form  $\Lambda \times [T_*, +\infty)$  for every arbitrary  $T_* > 0$ . Finally, since  $\mathcal{M}$  is homotopically equivalent to  $\Lambda$ , its connected components are in correspondence with the elements of  $[S^1, M]$ , namely the set of conjugacy classes of  $\pi_1(M)$ . If  $[\mu]$  is such a class, we denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{[\mu]}$  the respective connected component of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In particular, with  $\mathcal{M}_0$  we indicate the connected component of contractible loops with free period.

Hereafter, we often identify  $\gamma$  with the respective  $(x, T)$ . For simplicity, we also use the "dot" to indicate the Levi-Civita covariant derivative " $\frac{D}{dt}$ ". A vector field over  $x$  and its respective parametrization over  $\gamma$  is denoted with the same symbol. Observe that the rescaling of the tangent vectors and their time derivatives with respect to the two different parametrization is given by  $\dot{\gamma}(t) = \frac{1}{T}\dot{x}(\frac{t}{T})$ . Analogously, if  $V$  is a vector field along  $x$ , then  $\dot{V}(t) = \frac{1}{T}\dot{V}(\frac{t}{T})$ .

### 3.2 Magnetic action form

Fix  $k \in (0, +\infty)$  and consider the  $k$ -kinetic action  $A_k : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} A_k(x, T) &= T \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{|\dot{x}|^2}{2T^2} + k \right\} ds \\ &= \int_0^T \left\{ \frac{|\dot{\gamma}|^2}{2} + k \right\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Theta \in \Omega^1(\Lambda)$  be such that

$$\Theta_x(V) = \int_0^1 \langle \Omega(V), \dot{x} \rangle ds.$$

Denoting with  $\pi_\Lambda : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Lambda$  the projection into the first factor of  $\mathcal{M}$ , the  $k$ -magnetic action form  $\eta_k \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{M})$  is defined by

$$\eta_k(\gamma) = d_\gamma A_k + \pi_\Lambda^* \Theta_x.$$

If  $x$  is of class  $C^2$  and  $(V, \tau) \in T_x \Lambda \oplus \mathbb{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial T}$ , then  $\eta_k$  acts as follows:

$$\eta_k(\gamma)(V, 0) = - \int_0^T \langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} - \Omega(\dot{\gamma}), V \rangle dt, \quad \eta_k(\gamma)(0, \tau) = \frac{\tau}{T} \int_0^T \left\{ k - \frac{|\dot{\gamma}|^2}{2} \right\} dt.$$

In agreement with [AB16, Lemma 2.2] and [Abb13, Lemma 3.1],  $\eta_k$  is a smooth section of  $T\mathcal{M}$  and  $\eta_k(\gamma) = 0$  if and only if  $\gamma$  is a closed magnetic geodesic contained in  $\Sigma_k$ . We will denote by  $\mathcal{Z}(\eta_k)$  the zero set of  $\eta_k$ . An important property of the magnetic action form concerns its behavior when integrated over a loop of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Indeed if  $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a closed path, the integral  $\int_0^1 u^* \eta_k$  depends only on the homotopy class of  $u$ . In this sense  $\eta_k$  is said to be *closed* [AB16, Corollary 2.4].

Let  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  be an open set diffeomorphic to a ball and  $(x_0, T_0) \in \mathcal{U}$ . A local primitive  $S_k^\sigma : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $\eta_k$ , centered in  $(x_0, T_0)$ , is defined by

$$S_k^\sigma(x, T) = A_k(x, T) + \int_{S^1 \times [0, 1]} c_{x_0, x}^* \sigma. \quad (3.4)$$

Here,  $c_{x_0,x} : S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  is a cylinder which connects  $x_0$  and  $x$  such that  $c_{x_0,x}(\cdot, s) \in \pi_\Lambda(\mathcal{U})$  for every  $s$ . Indeed, the closedness of  $\eta_k$  makes the above definition independent from the choice of  $c_{x_0,x}$  and  $dS_k^\sigma = \eta_k$  on  $\mathcal{U}$ . It is obvious that, if  $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}(\eta_k)$ , then  $\gamma$  is a critical point for every primitive of  $\eta_k$ .

### 3.3 Hessian of $\eta_k$ and the index of a vanishing point

Let  $\gamma$  be a zero of  $\eta_k$  and  $S_k^\sigma$  be a local primitive of  $\eta_k$  centered at  $\gamma$ . If  $\zeta \in T_\gamma\mathcal{M}$ , we naturally identify the tangent space  $T_\zeta(T_\gamma\mathcal{M})$  with  $T_\gamma\mathcal{M}$  itself. Under this identification we can look at the second (Fréchet) derivative  $d_\gamma^2 S_k^\sigma$  of  $S_k^\sigma$  at the point  $\gamma$ , as a bilinear form on  $T_\gamma\mathcal{M}$ . Because the connection on  $M$  is the Levi-Civita connection, such a bilinear form is symmetric. The Hessian  $\text{Hess}_\gamma(S_k^\sigma)$  of  $S_k^\sigma$  at  $\gamma$  is the quadratic form associated with  $d_\gamma^2 S_k^\sigma$ . We refer the reader to [Cha93] for more details. The fact that two local primitives of  $\eta_k$  differ by a constant makes natural the following definition.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}(\eta_k)$ . The Hessian  $\mathbf{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)$  of  $\eta_k$  at  $\gamma$  is the quadratic form given by

$$\mathbf{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k) = \text{Hess}_\gamma(S_k^\sigma),$$

where  $S_k^\sigma$  is any primitive of  $\eta_k$  defined on a neighbourhood of  $\gamma$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}(\eta_k)$  and  $\zeta = (V, \tau) \in T_\gamma\mathcal{M}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)(V, \tau) = & \int_0^T \left\{ \langle \dot{V} - \Omega(V), \dot{V} \rangle - \langle R(V, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma} - \nabla_V \Omega(\dot{\gamma}), V \rangle - \frac{\langle \dot{V}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle^2}{|\dot{\gamma}|^2} \right\} dt \\ & + \int_0^T \left( \frac{\langle \dot{V}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle}{|\dot{\gamma}|} - \frac{\tau}{T} |\dot{\gamma}| \right)^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The computation follows by adopting [Gou97, Section 2] to a primitive of  $\eta_k$  as given by (3.4).  $\square$

If  $\gamma$  is a vanishing point, the signature of  $\mathbf{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)$  is well-defined because it is independent of the local coordinate chart. Therefore, there exist two vector subspaces  $H_\gamma, E_\gamma \subset T_\gamma\mathcal{M}$  such that the tangent space splits into

$$T_\gamma\mathcal{M} = H_\gamma \oplus E_\gamma,$$

and  $E_\gamma$  is the maximal subspace, in terms of dimension, for which the restriction  $\mathbf{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)|_{E_\gamma}$  is negative definite.

**Definition 3.** Let  $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}(\eta_k)$ . The *Morse index* of  $\gamma$ , which is denoted by  $\text{index}(\gamma)$ , is the non negative integer given by

$$\text{index}(\gamma) = \dim E_\gamma.$$

As argued in [AS09, Proposition 3.1], the self adjoint operator associated with  $d_\gamma^2 S_k^\sigma$  is a compact deformation of a Fredholm operator, which implies that the index of a vanishing point of  $\eta_k$  is always finite.

We end the section by constructing a system of local coordinates around a zeroes of  $\eta_k$  which isolates the direction of  $T_\gamma\mathcal{M}$  where  $\mathbf{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)$  is negative definite. Such construction is used in

the proof of Lemma 24 and Lemma 29 in Section 5.

Let  $\gamma$  such that  $\text{index}(\gamma) = d$  and consider  $(\mathcal{U}_\gamma, F_\mathcal{M})$  local coordinates centered at  $\gamma$  as given in Equation (3.3). By the assumption on the index and by the equivalence of the norms  $|\cdot|_0$  and  $|\cdot|_\mathcal{M}$  there exist two vector spaces  $H_\gamma, E_\gamma \subset H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \times (0, +\infty)$  and a positive constant  $D_\gamma$  such that

$$H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \times (0, +\infty) = H_\gamma \oplus E_\gamma,$$

the space  $E_\gamma$  is of dimension  $d$  and

$$Q_0(F_\mathcal{M}^* \eta_k)(\zeta, \tau) \leq -4D_\gamma |(\zeta, \tau)|_0^2, \quad \forall (\zeta, \tau) \in E_\gamma. \quad (3.5)$$

Hereafter, we denote by  $B_r^H$  and  $B_r^E$  respectively the open ball of radius  $r$  centered at the origin of  $H_\gamma$  and  $E_\gamma$ .

**Lemma 4.** *There exists a local chart  $(\Phi_\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_\gamma), \Phi_\gamma)$  centered at  $\gamma$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma = B_r^H \times B_r^E$ . Moreover, this system of local coordinates enjoys the following properties:*

- (i)  $\Phi_\gamma$  is bi-Lipschitz (with respect to  $d_0$  and  $d_\mathcal{M}$ ) and  $\Phi_\gamma(\mathcal{Z}(\eta_k)) \subseteq B_r^H \times \{0\}$ .
- (ii) If  $S_k^\sigma$  is an arbitrarily primitive of  $\eta_k$  on  $\Phi_\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_\gamma)$ , then for every  $(y_h, y_e) \in B_r^H \times B_r^E$  and for every  $0 < \lambda < r - |y_e|_0$  it holds

$$(S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})\left(y_h, y_e + \lambda \frac{y_e}{|y_e|_0}\right) \leq (S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})(y_h, y_e) - D_\gamma \lambda^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathcal{U}_\gamma, F_\mathcal{M})$ ,  $H_\gamma$  and  $E_\gamma$  as above and  $S_k^\sigma$  a local primitive defined on  $\mathcal{U}_\gamma$ . By (3.5) and by the smoothness of  $S_k^\sigma$  there exists  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma \subset \mathcal{U}_\gamma$  open neighborhood of  $\gamma$  such that

$$Q_\theta(F_\mathcal{M}^* \eta_k)(\zeta, \tau) \leq -2D_\gamma |(\zeta, \tau)|_0^2, \quad \forall \theta \in F_\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_\gamma), \quad \forall (\zeta, \tau) \in E_\gamma. \quad (3.6)$$

Without loss of generality, for a positive real  $R$ , we can assume  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma$  diffeomorphic through  $F_\mathcal{M}$  to  $B_R^H \times B_R^E$  with coordinates  $(y_h, y_e)$  centered in  $\gamma$ . Consider now the function  $G_\gamma : B_R^H \times B_R^E \rightarrow E_\gamma$  defined as

$$G_\gamma(y_h, y_e) = \partial_{y_e}(S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})(y_h, y_e).$$

As consequence of the Implicit Function Theorem, there exists a function  $g_\gamma : B_R^H \rightarrow B_R^E$  such that, over  $B_R^H \times B_R^E$ , the equality  $G_\gamma(y_h, y_e) = 0$  holds if and only if  $y_e = g_\gamma(y_h)$ . In particular, all the critical points of  $S_k^\sigma$  in this local coordinate chart belong to the graph of  $g_\gamma$  which we denote by  $\mathcal{G}(g_\gamma)$ . Consider the map  $T(y_h, y_e) = (y_h, y_e - g_\gamma(y_h))$  which pointwise translates  $\mathcal{G}(g_\gamma)$  to  $B_R^H \times \{0\}$  in the direction of  $y_e$ . Let  $r \in (0, R)$  such that  $B_r^H \times B_r^E$  is the image through  $T$  of an open neighbourhood of  $\mathcal{G}(g_\gamma)$  and set  $\Phi_\gamma = F_\mathcal{M} \circ T$  defined on  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma = \Phi_\gamma^{-1}(B_r^H \times B_r^E)$ . Observe that  $\Phi_\gamma$  is bi-Lipschitz because composition of bi-Lipschitz maps. Moreover, if  $\theta \in \mathcal{Z}(\eta_k)$ , then  $\Phi_\gamma(\theta) \in B_r^H \times \{0\}$  since  $F_\mathcal{M}$  sends zeroes of  $\eta_k$  to  $\mathcal{G}(g_\gamma)$  and  $T$  sends the graph of  $g_\gamma$  to  $B_r^H \times \{0\}$ .

Finally let  $\lambda < r - |y_e|_0$  and for simplicity write  $q(t) = \left(y_h, y_e + t\lambda \frac{y_e}{|y_e|_0}\right)$ . Up to shrinking  $r$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})(q(1)) - (S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})(q(0)) &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})(q(t)) dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 d_{q(t)} (S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})(\dot{q}(t)) dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 \int_0^t d(S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})_{(y_h, y_e)}(\dot{q}(0)) ds dt \\
 &\quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^t \left[ \frac{d}{ds} d(S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})_{q(t)}(\dot{q}(0)) \right] ds dt \\
 &\leq \int_0^1 \int_0^t \text{Hess}_{q(t)}(S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})(\dot{q}(0)) ds dt \\
 &\leq -D_\gamma \lambda^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the above inequalities we first use the fact that the first derivative of  $(S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})$  with respect to  $y_e$  is non positive for points close to  $B_r^H \times \{0\}$  and then the inequality pointed out in (3.6)  $\square$

### 3.4 Vanishing sequences

Our goal is to find zeroes of  $\eta_k$  via minimax methods. The next definition generalizes to the magnetic action form the notion of Palais-Smale sequence.

**Definition 5.** A *vanishing sequence* for  $\eta_k$  is a sequence  $(x_n, T_n) = (\gamma_n)$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$|\eta_k(\gamma_n)|_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Because  $\eta_k$  is continuous,  $\mathcal{Z}(\eta_k)$  coincides with the limit point set of vanishing sequence. Thus understanding whether a vanishing sequence admits or not a converging subsequence becomes of crucial importance. Generally if the sequence of periods  $T_n$  diverges to infinity or tends to zero there is no hope to find a limit point for  $\gamma_n$ . Nevertheless the next theorem shows that this is exactly the case to avoid in order to have compactness.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\gamma_n$  be a vanishing sequence on a given connected component of  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $T_n \in [T_*, T^*]$  for positive constants  $T_* < T^*$  then  $\gamma_n$  admits a limit point.*

### 3.5 Symplectic and nowhere vanishing magnetic forms

Let us evidence the expression in a basis for the magnetic Ricci curvare. Let  $v$  be a unit tangent vector and complete  $v$  to an orthonormal basis  $\{v, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Ric}_k^\Omega(v) &= 2k \text{Ric}(V) - \sqrt{2k} \text{trace}(\nabla \Omega(V)) + \text{trace}(A_\Omega^V) \\
 &= \sum_{i=2}^n \left\{ 2k \text{Sec}(v, e_i) - \sqrt{2k} \langle (\nabla_{e_i} \Omega)(v), e_i \rangle + \langle A^\Omega(v, e_i), e_i \rangle \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{i=2}^n \text{Sec}_k^\Omega(v, e_i).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, as in the Riemannian case,  $\text{Ric}_k^\Omega$  is the sum of the magnetic sectional curvature computed by fixing any orthonormal basis of the complement of  $v$ . A question which emerges naturally

is whereas a magnetic system shows up a  $k$ -level of the energy positively curved in terms of  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega$  or  $\text{Ric}_k^\Omega$ . For our purpose we are interested in magnetic systems positively curved and in a range of energy close to zero. This requirement is satisfied by two standard classes of magnetic systems: symplectic magnetic systems respect to the magnetic sectional curvature and nowhere vanishing magnetic systems respect to the magnetic Ricci curvature. We need the following relevant lemma.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $A^\Omega$  the operator defined in (2.2). Then the following statements hold*

- (i)  $\langle A^\Omega(v, w), w \rangle \geq 0$  for every  $(v, w) \in E^1$  and it is equal to zero if and only if  $\Omega(w) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{trace}(A^\Omega(v, \cdot)) \geq 0$  for every  $v \in SM$  and it is equal to zero if and only if  $\Omega_p = 0$ .

*Proof.* By definition of  $A^\Omega$ , if  $(v, w) \in E^1$ , then

$$\langle A^\Omega(v, w), w \rangle = \frac{3}{4} \langle w, \Omega(v) \rangle^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\Omega(w)|^2, \quad (3.7)$$

The above formula immediately implies point (i). Moreover, if we extend  $v$  to an orthonormal basis  $\{v, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  then by (3.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(A^\Omega(v, \cdot)) &= \sum_{i=2}^n \langle A^\Omega(v, e_i), e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n \left\{ \frac{3}{4} \langle \langle e_i, \Omega(v) \rangle \Omega(v), e_i \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle \Omega(e_i), \Omega(e_i) \rangle \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{3}{4} \langle e_i, \Omega(v) \rangle^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{i,j=2}^n \langle \Omega(e_i), e_j \rangle^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \langle \Omega(e_i), v \rangle^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n \langle e_i, \Omega(v) \rangle^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=2}^n \langle \Omega(e_i), e_j \rangle^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

which is always non negative and equal to zero if and only if  $\Omega_p = 0$ . Thus, also point (ii) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $(M, g, \sigma)$  be a magnetic system. It holds that*

- (i) if  $\sigma$  is symplectic then there exists a  $k_0 > 0$  such that  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega > 0$  for every  $k \in (0, k_0)$ ;
- (ii) if  $\sigma$  is nowhere vanishing then there exists a  $k_0 > 0$  such that  $\text{Ric}_k^\Omega > 0$  for every  $k \in (0, k_0)$ .

*Proof.* First observe that, if  $\sigma$  is symplectic then by the compatibility condition (1.1) it follows that  $\Omega(w) \neq 0$  for every  $(p, w) \in TM$ . In particular, by Equation (3.7), this implies that  $\langle A^\Omega(v, w), w \rangle$  is strictly positive for every  $(v, w) \in E^1$ . Analogously, if  $\sigma$  is nowhere vanishing, then at least one term in (3.8) is different from zero which implies that  $\text{trace}(A^\Omega(v, \cdot))$  is strictly positive for every  $v \in SM$ . Since  $E^1$  and  $SM$  are compact and since the operator  $A^\Omega$  is independent of  $k$ , we deduce that, if  $\sigma$  is symplectic then for small values of  $k$

$$\langle R_k^\Omega(v, w) + A^\Omega(v, w), w \rangle > 0, \quad \forall (v, w) \in E^1.$$

If  $\sigma$  is nowhere vanishing, then for small values of  $k$

$$\text{trace}(R_k^\Omega(v, \cdot) + A^\Omega(v, \cdot)) > 0, \quad \forall v \in SM.$$

The statement follows.  $\square$

### 3.6 Symplectic magnetic forms in dimension 2: proof of Theorem C

In this subsection  $(M, g)$  is a closed oriented Riemannian surface and we denote by  $\mathcal{K} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  its Gaussian curvature. If  $\sigma$  is a closed two form on  $M$ , then there exists a smooth function  $b : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\sigma = b \cdot d\mu_g. \quad (3.9)$$

For simplicity we refer to a magnetic form  $\sigma$  and in case to its Lorentz operator  $\Omega$  through the function  $b$  given by (3.9). Observe that, due to dimensional reasons,  $\text{Ric}_k^b$  coincides with  $\text{Sec}_k^b$  and its expression in terms of  $b$  is pointed out by the next lemma.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $(M, g, b)$  be a magnetic system on a closed oriented surface. Then*

$$\text{Sec}_k^b(v) = 2k \cdot \mathcal{K} - \sqrt{2k} \cdot db(i \cdot v) + b^2,$$

where by  $i$  we denote the anticlockwise rotation of an angle  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ .

*Proof.* In any orthonormal basis we have that

$$\Omega = b \cdot i \text{ and } \nabla_v \Omega = db(v) \cdot i. \quad (3.10)$$

Therefore, by definition (2.7), by using (3.10) and an orthonormal basis  $\{v, e_2 = i \cdot v\}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sec}_k^b(v) &= 2k \text{Sec}(v, e_2) - \sqrt{2k} \langle (\nabla_{e_2} \Omega)(v), e_2 \rangle + \langle A^\Omega(v, e_2), e_2 \rangle \\ &= 2k \cdot \mathcal{K} - \sqrt{2k} \langle db(e_2) i \cdot v, e_2 \rangle + \frac{3}{4} b^2 + \frac{1}{4} b^2 \\ &= 2k \cdot \mathcal{K} - \sqrt{2k} \cdot db(i \cdot v) + b^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

In this setting, Theorem C is reformulated as follows

**Theorem C.** *Let  $(M, g, b)$  be a magnetic system on a closed oriented surface. If there exists a positive real constant  $k_0$  such that  $\text{Sec}_k^b > 0$  for every  $k \in (0, k_0)$ , then either  $b$  is nowhere zero or  $b$  is constantly zero and  $\mathcal{K} > 0$  (and  $M = S^2$ ).*

*Proof.* Suppose that for small values of  $k$  we have that  $\text{Sec}_k^b > 0$ . Denote by  $S$  the subset of  $M$  defined as

$$S = \{p \in M \mid b(p) = 0\}.$$

This subset is closed by definition. If we show that  $S$  is also open, then the statement follows. Let  $p \in S$  and for an arbitrary small radius  $r$ , let  $B_r(p)$  be an open ball of  $M$  centered at  $p$ . Let  $q \in B_r(p)$  be such that

$$|b(q)| = \max_{z \in B_r(p)} |b(z)|.$$

Assume that  $|b(q)| \neq 0$  and denote by  $\|db\|_\infty$  the uniform norm of  $db$ . In particular, by assumption, for small values of  $k$ , it yields

$$2k\mathcal{K} + b^2 > \sqrt{2k}\|db\|_\infty,$$

which implies that

$$|b(q) - b(p)| \leq \int_0^{d(x,y)} \|db\|_\infty dt \leq \frac{r}{\sqrt{2k}} (2k \max_{z \in B_r(p)} \mathcal{K}(z) + |b(q)|^2) = r\sqrt{2k} \max_{z \in B_r(p)} \mathcal{K}(z) + \frac{r}{\sqrt{2k}} |b(q)|^2.$$

Since  $b(p) = 0$ , up to shrinking  $r$ , we can choose  $k$  such that  $\sqrt{2k} = |b(q)|$ . Thus, from the above inequality, we can deduce that

$$|b(q)| \leq (r \max_{z \in B_r(p)} \mathcal{K}(z) + r)|b(q)|.$$

Up to shrinking again  $r$  and  $k$ , we also have that

$$r \max_{z \in B_r(p)} \mathcal{K}(z) + r < 1,$$

which implies that  $|b(q)| = 0$ . Therefore, for every  $p \in S$  we can find an open ball  $B_r(p)$  centered such that  $b|_{B_r(p)} = 0$  which concludes the proof.  $\square$

### 3.7 Magnetic exponential map and conjugate points

Let  $k \in (0, +\infty)$  and  $p \in M$ . We define the map  $\exp_k^\sigma(p) : T_p M \rightarrow M$  as

$$\exp_k^\sigma(p)(v) = \gamma_{\sqrt{2k}\hat{v}}(|v|) \text{ for } v \neq 0, \text{ and } \exp_k^\sigma(p)(0) = 0; \quad (3.11)$$

where  $\hat{v} = \frac{v}{|v|}$  and  $\gamma_{\sqrt{2k}\hat{v}}$  is the unique solution of (1.2) such that  $\gamma_{\sqrt{2k}\hat{v}}(0) = p$  and  $\dot{\gamma}_{\sqrt{2k}\hat{v}}(0) = \sqrt{2k}\hat{v}$ . As pointed out in [DPSU07],  $d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_0$  is the identity of  $T_p M$  and, in particular,  $\exp_k^\sigma(p)$  is a  $C^1$  local diffeomorphism at  $v = 0$ . Moreover,  $\exp_k^\sigma(p)$  is smooth on  $T_p M \setminus \{0\}$  and it is  $C^2$  on the whole  $T_p M$  if and only if  $\sigma = 0$ . The next lemma shows how conjugate points with respect to  $p$  correspond to the points where  $d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))$  is degenerate.

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $q = \exp_k^\sigma(p)(v)$  for some  $v \neq 0$ . Then  $d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_v$  is degenerate if and only if  $q$  is conjugate to  $p$ .*

*Proof.* We first point out that the differential of the magnetic exponential map is expressed by:

$$d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_v(w) = J(|v|) + \frac{1}{|v|} \langle w, v \rangle \dot{\gamma}_{\sqrt{2k}\hat{v}}(|v|), \quad (3.12)$$

where  $J$  is the unique solution of (1.4) along  $\gamma_{\sqrt{2k}\hat{v}}$  with initial conditions

$$J(0) = 0 \text{ and } \frac{D}{dt} J(0) = \frac{w}{|v|} - \frac{1}{|v|} \langle w, \hat{v} \rangle \hat{v}.$$

Indeed, let  $\alpha : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow T_p M \setminus \{0\}$  be such that  $\alpha(0) = v$  and  $\alpha'(0) = 0$  (here we write  $\alpha'(s) = \frac{d}{ds} \alpha(s)$ ). Denote by  $\widehat{\alpha}(s) = \frac{\alpha(s)}{|\alpha(s)|}$  and consider the two-parameter variation  $f : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow M$  defined as

$$f(s, t) = \exp_k^\sigma(p)(t\widehat{\alpha}(s)).$$

Because for a fixed  $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ ,  $f(s, \cdot)$  is a magnetic geodesic, the variational vector field  $\frac{df}{ds}(0, t) = J(t)$  is a magnetic Jacobi field along  $\gamma_{\sqrt{2k}\hat{v}}$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df}{ds} &= d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_{t\widehat{\alpha}(s)} \left( t \frac{\alpha'(s)^\perp}{|\alpha(s)|} \right) \\ &= \frac{t}{|\alpha(s)|} d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_{t\widehat{\alpha}(s)} (\alpha'(s)^\perp), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha'(s)^\perp = \alpha'(s) - \langle \alpha'(s), \widehat{\alpha(s)} \rangle \widehat{\alpha(s)}$ . The above equation implies that

$$J(t) = \frac{t}{|v|} d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_{t\hat{v}}(w^\perp). \quad (3.13)$$

Thus, we deduce that  $J(0) = 0$ . By deriving along  $\gamma_{\sqrt{2k}\hat{v}}$  expression (3.13), we obtain

$$\frac{D}{dt}J(t) = \frac{1}{v} d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_{t\hat{v}}(w^\perp) + \frac{t}{|v|} \frac{D}{dt} \left( d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_{t\hat{v}}(w^\perp) \right),$$

for which we also deduce that  $\frac{D}{dt}J(0) = \frac{w^\perp}{|v|}$ . Let us point out that, by (3.13), for  $t = |v|$  we get

$$J(|v|) = d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_v(w^\perp). \quad (3.14)$$

Moreover,

$$d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_v(v) = \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \exp_k^\sigma(p) \left( (1+t)v \right) \right]_{t=0} = |v| \dot{\gamma}_{\sqrt{2k}\hat{v}}(|v|). \quad (3.15)$$

Thanks to (3.14) and (3.15) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_v(w) &= d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_v \left( w^\perp + \frac{1}{|v|^2} \langle w, v \rangle v \right) \\ &= d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_v(w^\perp) + d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_v \left( \frac{1}{|v|^2} \langle w, v \rangle v \right) \\ &= J(|v|) + \frac{1}{|v|} \langle w, v \rangle \dot{\gamma}_{\sqrt{2k}\hat{v}}(|v|). \end{aligned}$$

Keeping in mind the expression (3.12), we can immediately conclude that if  $d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_v(w) = 0$  for some  $w \neq 0$ , then  $q = \exp_k^\sigma(p)(v)$  is a conjugate point with respect to  $p$ . Conversely, let  $J$  be a non trivial magnetic Jacobi field such that  $J(|v|) = \lambda \dot{\gamma}_{\sqrt{2k}\hat{v}}(|v|)$  for a real  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and observe again that

$$\sqrt{2k}\hat{v} = d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_0(v) = \dot{\gamma}_{\sqrt{2k}\hat{v}}(0),$$

so that, the normal condition on  $J$  implies that  $\langle \frac{D}{dt}J(0), v \rangle = 0$ . By computing

$$d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_v \left( |v| \frac{D}{dt}J(0) - \lambda v \right) = |v| J(|v|) - \lambda |v| \dot{\gamma}_{\sqrt{2k}\hat{v}}(|v|) = 0.$$

we deduce that  $d(\exp_k^\sigma(p))_v$  is degenerate which concludes the proof.  $\square$

### 3.8 Magnetic Jacobi fields in dimension 2

In this subsection we consider a magnetic system  $(M, g, b)$  on a closed oriented surface. Let  $\gamma$  be a magnetic geodesic with energy  $k$ . Consider the frame

$$\{ \dot{\gamma}_k = \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\sqrt{2k}}, e_2 \}, \quad (3.16)$$

where  $e_2$  satisfies  $\dot{e}_2 = \Omega(e_2)$ . We write  $J = J_1 \dot{\gamma}_k + J_2 e_2$  a vector field along  $\gamma$ , where  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are two smooth functions over  $\mathbb{R}$ . The next lemma gives a useful expression of the magnetic Jacobi equation evaluated on the frame (3.16).

**Lemma 11.** *With respect to the frame (3.16), the magnetic Jacobi equation (1.4) with normal condition takes the form*

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{J}_2 + J_2 \mathcal{K}_k^b(\dot{\gamma}_k) = 0 \\ J_1(t) = \int_0^t J_2 b \, ds \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

*Proof.* First we compute

$$\frac{D}{dt} (J_1 \dot{\gamma}_k + J_2 e_2) = \dot{J}_1 \dot{\gamma}_k + J_1 \Omega(\dot{\gamma}_k) + \dot{J}_2 e_2 + J_2 \Omega(e_2), \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D^2}{dt^2} (J_1 \dot{\gamma}_k + J_2 e_2) &= \ddot{J}_1 \dot{\gamma}_k + 2\dot{J}_1 \Omega(\dot{\gamma}_k) + J_1 \left( \frac{D}{dt} \Omega \right) (\dot{\gamma}_k) + J_1 \Omega^2(\dot{\gamma}_k) + \ddot{J}_2 e_2 \\ &\quad + 2\dot{J}_2 \Omega(e_2) + J_2 \left( \frac{D}{dt} \Omega \right) (e_2) + J_2 \Omega^2(e_2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Then we also have

$$R(J_1 \dot{\gamma}_k + J_2 e_2, \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma} = J_2 2k \mathcal{K}(\dot{\gamma}_k) e_2, \quad (3.20)$$

$$(\nabla_{J\Omega})(\dot{\gamma}) = J_1 db(\dot{\gamma}) e_2 + J_2 db(\sqrt{2k} e_2) e_2, \quad (3.21)$$

and, by using (3.18)

$$\Omega(\dot{J}) = \dot{J}_1 \Omega(\dot{\gamma}_k) + J_1 \Omega^2(\dot{\gamma}_k) + \dot{J}_2 \Omega(e_2) + J_2 \Omega^2(e_2). \quad (3.22)$$

By substituting the equalities (3.19), (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) in (1.4), we obtain along the component of  $\dot{\gamma}_k$

$$\ddot{J}_1 - (\dot{J}_2 b + J_2 db(\dot{\gamma})) = 0, \quad (3.23)$$

which, by using the normal condition, implies

$$\dot{J}_1 = J_2 b. \quad (3.24)$$

Along the orthogonal component we have

$$\ddot{J}_2 + \dot{J}_1 b + 2k J_2 \mathcal{K} - \sqrt{2k} J_2 db(\dot{\gamma}_k^\perp) = \ddot{J}_2 + \mathcal{K}_k^b(\dot{\gamma}_k) = 0,$$

where in the last equality we substituted  $\dot{J}_1$  as in (3.24). By integrating (3.24) the proof follows.  $\square$

This lemma plays a central role in the next Subsection as well as in the proof of the Hopf's rigidity.

### 3.9 A Cartan-Hadamard Theorem for magnetic flows on closed surfaces

In this Subsection, with the setting acquired in Subsections 3.7 and 3.8, we show a weaker version of the magnetic analogue of the classical Cartan-Hadamard theorem on closed oriented surfaces.

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $(M, g, b)$  be a magnetic system on closed oriented surface, and let  $k \in (0, +\infty)$  be such that  $\mathcal{K}_k^b < 0$ . Then, for every  $p \in M$ ,  $\exp_k^\sigma(p)$  is a surjective local diffeomorphism.*

*Proof.* First observe that the condition  $\mathcal{K}_k^b < 0$  implies by [Woj00] that  $\varphi_\sigma^k$  is of Anosov type. By [Con06], we deduce that  $k > c$  so that for every point  $p \in M$ ,  $\exp_k^\sigma(p)$  is surjective. Now let  $\gamma(t) = \exp_k^\sigma(p)(t\hat{v})$  be a magnetic geodesic starting from  $p$  for some  $\hat{v} \in T_pM$  of unit norm. In accord with the splitting given in subsection 3.8, let  $J = (J_1, J_2)$  be a normal magnetic Jacobi field along  $\gamma$  and suppose that  $J_2(0) = 0$ . By Lemma 11 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \dot{J}_2 J_2 &= \ddot{J}_2 J_2 + (J_2)^2 \\ &= -\mathcal{K}_k^b (J_2)^2 + (J_2)^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\dot{J}_2 J_2$  is increasing. Finally observe that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} (J_2)^2 \right) = \dot{J}_2 J_2,$$

and because  $J_2(0) = 0$ ,  $J_2(t)$  vanishes for another time  $t \neq 0$  if and only if  $J_2$  is constantly zero. We conclude that every magnetic geodesic starting from  $p$  has no conjugate points. By Lemma 10, the map  $\exp_k^\sigma(p)$  is a local diffeomorphism for every  $v \in T_pM$ .  $\square$

We remark that to have a complete statement of the Cartan-Hadamard Theorem for magnetic systems, we would still need to prove that the magnetic exponential map is a covering map.

## 4 Magnetic curvature and Hessian of the magnetic form

Let  $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}(\eta_k)$  and consider the splitting  $\dot{\gamma} \oplus \{\dot{\gamma}\}^\perp$  of  $\gamma^*TM$ , where  $\{\dot{\gamma}\}^\perp$  is the orthogonal complement of  $\dot{\gamma}$ . We decompose a vector field along  $\gamma$  into  $V = V_1 + V_2$ , where  $V_1$  denotes its component along  $\dot{\gamma}$  and  $V_2$  its orthogonal component. To avoid any kind of confusion we adopt the following notations:  $\frac{D}{dt} V_1 = \dot{V}_1$  and  $(\dot{V})_1 = \langle \dot{V}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|^2}$ . Analogously,  $\frac{D}{dt} V_2 = \dot{V}_2$  while  $(\dot{V})_2$  indicates the orthogonal projection of  $\dot{V}$  on  $\{\dot{\gamma}\}^\perp$ . The next crucial lemma shows how such a splitting let us write the Hessian of  $\eta_k$  in terms of the sectional magnetic curvature.

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $V = V_1 + V_2$  be a variation along  $\gamma$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\gamma(\eta_k)(V, \tau) &= \int_0^T \left\{ \left| (\dot{V})_2 - \frac{1}{2} (\Omega(V_1) + \Omega(V))_2 \right|^2 + \left( \frac{\langle \dot{V}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle}{|\dot{\gamma}|} - \frac{\tau}{T} |\dot{\gamma}| \right)^2 \right\} dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T |V_2|^2 \text{Sec}_k^\Omega \left( \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}, \frac{V_2}{|V_2|} \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

*Proof.* Preliminarily, we need the following identities:

$$(\nabla_{V_1} \Omega)(\dot{\gamma}) = (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \Omega)(V_1), \quad (4.2)$$

$$\dot{V}_1 = (\dot{V})_1 - \Omega(V)_1 + \Omega(V_1), \quad (4.3)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \Omega(V_1), V \rangle = \langle (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \Omega)(V_1), V \rangle + \langle \Omega(\dot{V}_1), V \rangle + \langle \Omega(V_1), \dot{V} \rangle. \quad (4.4)$$

In the expression of  $Q_\gamma(\eta_k)$  in Lemma 2, we decompose  $V$  into its component  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  and we use the identity (4.2) to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)(V, \tau) &= \int_0^T P(V) dt - \int_0^T \left\{ \langle R(V_2, \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma} - (\nabla_{V_2} \Omega)(\dot{\gamma}), V_2 \rangle \right\} dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \left( \frac{\langle \dot{V}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle}{|\dot{\gamma}|} - \frac{\tau}{T} |\dot{\gamma}| \right)^2 dt, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where  $P(V) = |(\dot{V})_2|^2 + \langle (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \Omega)(V_1), V \rangle - \langle \dot{V}, \Omega(V) \rangle$ . With the help of identities (4.3) and (4.4) and a Stokes argument we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T P(V) dt &= \int_0^T \left\{ |(\dot{V})_2|^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \langle \Omega(V_1), V \rangle - \langle \Omega(\dot{V}_1), V \rangle - \langle \Omega(V_1), \dot{V} \rangle - \langle \dot{V}, \Omega(V) \rangle \right\} dt \\ &= \int_0^T \left\{ |(\dot{V})_2|^2 + \langle \dot{V}_1, \Omega(V) \rangle - \langle \Omega(V_1), (\dot{V})_2 \rangle - \langle (\dot{V})_2, \Omega(V) \rangle - \langle (\dot{V})_1, \Omega(V) \rangle \right\} dt \\ &= \int_0^T \left\{ |(\dot{V})_2|^2 - \langle \Omega(V_1) + \Omega(V)_2, (\dot{V})_2 \rangle + \langle \dot{V}_1 - (\dot{V})_1, \Omega(V) \rangle \right\} dt \\ &= \int_0^T \left\{ |(\dot{V})_2|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\Omega(V_1) + \Omega(V))_2|^2 - H(V) \right\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

where we write  $H(V) = \frac{1}{4} |\Omega(V_1) + \Omega(V)_2|^2 + \langle \Omega(V)_1, \Omega(V) \rangle - \langle \Omega(V_1), \Omega(V)_2 \rangle$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} H(V) &= \frac{1}{4} |2\Omega(V_1) + \Omega(V)_2|^2 + |\Omega(V)_1|^2 + \langle \Omega(V_1), \Omega(V)_1 \rangle - \langle \Omega(V_1), \Omega(V)_2 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} |\Omega(V)_2|^2 + |\Omega(V)_1|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} |\Omega(V)|^2 + \frac{3}{4} |\Omega(V)_1|^2 \\ &= |V_2|^2 \left\langle A^\Omega \left( \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}, \frac{V_2}{|V_2|} \right), \frac{V_2}{|V_2|} \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we use Definition (2.2) of  $A^\Omega$ . Therefore, we finally obtain that

$$\int_0^T P(V) dt = \int_0^T \left\{ |(\dot{V})_2|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\Omega(V_1) + \Omega(V))_2|^2 - |V_2|^2 \left\langle A^\Omega \left( \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}, \frac{V_2}{|V_2|} \right), \frac{V_2}{|V_2|} \right\rangle \right\} dt.$$

By substituting  $P(V)$  in (4.5), the statement follows.  $\square$

The next lemma shows how we can always construct variations along  $\gamma$  such that their evaluation in  $\mathbb{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)$  has no terms which depend on the tangential component.

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $V$  be a variation along  $\gamma$  such that  $\langle V, \dot{\gamma} \rangle = 0$ . Then there exists a periodic function  $g : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a real constant  $\tau$  depending linearly on  $V$  such that, if we write  $W = V + g\dot{\gamma}$ , then*

$$\mathbb{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)(W, \tau) = \int_0^T \left| (\dot{V})_2 - \frac{1}{2} \Omega(V)_2 \right|^2 dt - \int_0^T |V|^2 \text{Sec}_k^\Omega \left( \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}, \frac{V}{|V|} \right) dt. \quad (4.6)$$

*Proof.* Consider  $g$  and  $\tau$  defined as follows

$$g(t) = - \int_0^t \left\{ \frac{\langle \dot{V}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle}{|\dot{\gamma}|^2} - \frac{\tau}{T} \right\} dt, \quad \tau = \int_0^T \frac{\langle \dot{V}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle}{|\dot{\gamma}|^2} dt.$$

In particular, the couple  $(g, \tau)$  satisfies the differential problem along  $\gamma$  given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{g} + \frac{\langle \dot{V}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle}{|\dot{\gamma}|^2} - \frac{\tau}{T} = 0 \\ g(0) = g(T) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

Thus, if  $W = V + g\dot{\gamma}$ , then

$$\left( \frac{\langle \dot{W}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle}{|\dot{\gamma}|} - \frac{\tau}{T} |\dot{\gamma}| \right)^2 = |\dot{\gamma}|^2 \left( \frac{\langle \dot{V}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle}{|\dot{\gamma}|^2} + \dot{g} - \frac{\tau}{T} \right)^2 = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$(\dot{W})_2 - \frac{1}{2}(\Omega(W_1) + \Omega(W))_2 = (\dot{V})_2 + g\ddot{\gamma} - g\Omega(\dot{\gamma}) - \frac{1}{2}\Omega(V)_2 = (\dot{V})_2 - \frac{1}{2}\Omega(V)_2. \quad (4.9)$$

By using (4.8) and (4.9) in the expression (4.1) of Lemma 13 the statement follows.  $\square$

#### 4.1 Magnetic parallel transport

Along  $\gamma$ , with the same splitting as above, we define the operator  $\tilde{\Omega} : \gamma^*TM \rightarrow \gamma^*TM$  as

$$\tilde{\Omega}(V) = \Omega(V_1) + \Omega(V)_1 + \frac{1}{2}\Omega(V_2)_2. \quad (4.10)$$

Consider now the differential problem given by

$$\dot{V} = \tilde{\Omega}(V). \quad (4.11)$$

This is an ordinary linear system of first order differential equations which allows us to define a linear isomorphism  $P_\gamma : T_{\gamma(0)}M \rightarrow T_{\gamma(T)}M$  as follows

$$P_\gamma(v) = V(T),$$

where  $V(t)$  is the unique solution of (4.11) with initial vector  $v$ .

**Lemma 15.** *The operator  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is antisymmetric with respect to the metric  $g$ . This in particular implies that the linear isomorphism  $P_\gamma$  is orthogonal.*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  and  $W$  be variations along  $\gamma$ . Then, by definition (4.10) of  $\tilde{\Omega}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\Omega}(V), W \rangle &= \langle \Omega(V_1) + \Omega(V)_1 + \frac{1}{2}\Omega(V_2)_2, W \rangle \\ &= -\langle V_1, \Omega(W) \rangle - \langle V, \Omega(W_1) \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle V_2, \Omega(W_2) \rangle \\ &= -\langle V, \Omega(W)_1 \rangle - \langle V, \Omega(W_1) \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle V, \Omega(W_2)_2 \rangle \\ &= -\langle V, \tilde{\Omega}(W) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that if  $V$  and  $W$  are solution of (4.11), then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle V, W \rangle = \langle \tilde{\Omega}(V), W \rangle + \langle V, \tilde{\Omega}(W) \rangle = 0.$$

By definition of  $P_\gamma$ , the statement follows.  $\square$

Thus  $P_\gamma$  is an orthogonal operator and in this sense the above construction generalizes the notion of Riemannian parallel transport to the magnetic case.

## 4.2 Proof of Theorem D

We can now proceed to the proof of Theorem D which is an immediate consequence of the next statement.

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $(M, g, \sigma)$  be a magnetic system over an oriented even dimensional manifold and  $\gamma$  a zero of  $\eta_k$ . If  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega > 0$ , then  $\text{index}(\gamma) \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega > 0$ . Consider  $G \subset \gamma^*TM$  the orthogonal subbundle respect to  $\dot{\gamma}$  together with its projection map  $p_G : \gamma^*TM \rightarrow G$ . Because  $\tilde{\Omega}(\dot{\gamma}) = \Omega(\dot{\gamma})$ ,  $\dot{\gamma}$  is a periodic solution of (4.11) and the map  $P_\gamma$  leaves invariant  $G(0)$ . Since  $P_\gamma$  is orthogonal and since  $M$  is oriented, we deduce that  $\tilde{P}_\gamma = P_\gamma \circ p_G$  is a special orthogonal isomorphism of  $G(0)$ . By assumption  $G(0)$  is odd dimensional so that 1 is eigenvalue of  $\tilde{P}_\gamma$  i.e. there exists  $v \in G$  such that  $\tilde{P}_\gamma(v) = v$ . By definition of  $\tilde{P}_\gamma$ , this is equal to say that there exists  $V$  periodic solution of (4.11), orthogonal to  $\dot{\gamma}$ . Let  $V$  such a solution and observe that  $\tilde{\Omega}(V)_2 = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(V)_2$ . Consider  $W = V + g\dot{\gamma}$  and  $\tau$  as in Lemma 14. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)(W, \tau) &= \int_0^T \left| (\dot{V})_2 - \frac{1}{2}\Omega(V)_2 \right|^2 dt - \int_0^T |V|^2 \text{Sec}_k^\Omega \left( \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}, \frac{V}{|V|} \right) dt \\ &= - \int_0^T |V|^2 \text{Sec}_k^\Omega \left( \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}, \frac{V}{|V|} \right) dt < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the index of  $\gamma$  is at least one.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem D.* As argued in [Abb13],  $\eta_k$  carries a minimizer in each non trivial free homotopy class of loops of  $M$  for  $k > c$ . If  $M$  is oriented and even dimensional and  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega > 0$ , then by Lemma 16,  $\pi_1(M)$  has to be necessarily trivial. In the case when  $M$  is closed oriented surfaces, as showed in [CMP04], if the magnetic form is exact then  $\eta_k$  carries a minimizer for every  $k \in (0, c]$ . Thus with the same argument one can deduce that if  $\text{Sec}_k^\Omega$  is positive on a level  $k$  then  $M = S^2$  and  $k > c$ .  $\square$

## 4.3 A magnetic Bonnet-Myers theorem

The next lemma gives an explicit formula of  $\mathbb{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)$  when evaluated on vector fields obtained by rescaling solutions of equation (4.11) with a  $T$ -periodic function.

**Lemma 17.** *Let  $V$  be a solution of (4.11) of unit norm and orthogonal to  $\dot{\gamma}$ . Consider  $V^f = fV$ , where  $f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $f(0) = f(T) = 0$ . There exists a variation  $W^f$  and a real number  $\tau$  such that*

$$\mathbb{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)(W^f, \tau) = \int_0^T \left\{ \dot{f}^2 - f^2 \text{Sec}_k^\Omega \left( \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}, V \right) \right\} dt. \quad (4.12)$$

*Proof.* Let  $V^f$  as in the statement. Then by Lemma 14, there exist  $g$  and  $\tau$  such that, writing  $W^f = V^f + g\dot{\gamma}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)(W^f, \tau) &= \int_0^T \left| (\dot{V}^f)_2 - \frac{1}{2}\Omega(V^f)_2 \right|^2 - |V^f|^2 \text{Sec}_k^\Omega \left( \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}, V \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^T \left| \dot{f}V + f(\dot{V})_2 - f\frac{1}{2}\Omega(V)_2 \right|^2 - |fV|^2 \text{Sec}_k^\Omega \left( \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}, V \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^T \left\{ \dot{f}^2 - f^2 \text{Sec}_k^\Omega \left( \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}, V \right) \right\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

□

With the previous lemma in one hand, we conclude the section by stating the magnetic version of the classical Bonnet-Myers theorem.

**Lemma 18.** *Let  $\gamma = (x, T)$  be a zero of  $\eta_k$  with  $\text{index}(\gamma) = m$ . If  $\text{Ric}_k^\Omega \geq \frac{1}{r^2} > 0$  for a positive constant  $r$ , then*

$$T \leq r\pi(m+1).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}\}$  be a family of linearly independent solutions for the differential problem (4.11), with unit norm and orthogonal to  $\dot{\gamma}$ . For  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  look at  $V_i^{f_j} = f_j V_i$ , where

$$f_j(t) = \begin{cases} \sin\left(\frac{(m+1)\pi t}{T}\right) & , t \in \left[\frac{jT}{m+1}, \frac{(j+1)T}{m+1}\right] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Assume  $\text{Ric}_k^\Omega \geq \frac{1}{r^2} > 0$  and suppose by contradiction  $T > \pi r(m+1)$ . Let  $W_i^{f_j} = V_i^{f_j} + g_{ij}\dot{\gamma}$  and  $\tau_{ij}$  given by Lemma 17, fix  $j$  and observe that the sum

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)(W_i^{f_j}, \tau_{ij}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{jT}{m+1}}^{\frac{(j+1)T}{m+1}} \frac{(m+1)^2 \pi^2}{T^2} \cos^2\left(\frac{(m+1)\pi t}{T}\right) dt \\ &\quad - \int_{\frac{jT}{m+1}}^{\frac{(j+1)T}{m+1}} \sin^2\left(\frac{(m+1)\pi t}{T}\right) \text{Sec}_k^\Omega\left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}, V_i\right) dt \\ &= (n-1) \left[ \frac{(m+1)^2 \pi^2}{2T(m+1)} - \int_{\frac{jT}{m+1}}^{\frac{(j+1)T}{m+1}} \left\{ \sin^2\left(\frac{(m+1)\pi t}{T}\right) \text{Ric}_k^\Omega\left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}\right) \right\} dt \right] \\ &\leq (n-1) \left[ \frac{(m+1)^2 \pi^2}{2T(m+1)} - \frac{T}{2r^2(m+1)} \right] \\ &= (n-1) \left[ \frac{(m+1)^2 \pi^2 r^2 - T^2}{2T(m+1)r^2} \right] < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for every  $j$  there exists  $i_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $\mathbf{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)(W_{i_j}^{f_j}, \tau_{i_j j}) < 0$ . Moreover, by construction, for every  $s \neq l$  the supports of  $f_{is}$  and  $f_{il}$  are disjoint which implies that  $W_{i_j}^{f_j}$  are linearly independent. For real coefficients  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m+1}$  define

$$V = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \lambda_j V_{i_j}^{f_j}, \quad g = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \lambda_j g_{i_j j} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \lambda_j \tau_{i_j j}.$$

Observe that, by linearity of Equation (4.7) and Equation (4.9),  $g$  and  $\tau$  are exactly the ones associated to  $V$  and  $W = V + g\dot{\gamma}$  in Lemma 14 in order to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} (W^{f_j}, \tau_{i_j j})\right) &= \int_0^T \left| (\dot{V})_2 - \frac{1}{2} \Omega(V)_2 \right|^2 dt - \int_0^T |V|^2 \text{Sec}_k^\Omega\left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}, \frac{V}{|V|}\right) dt \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \lambda_j^2 \int_{\frac{jT}{m+1}}^{\frac{(j+1)T}{m+1}} \left\{ f_j^2 - f_j^2 \text{Sec}_k^\Omega\left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}, V_{i_j}\right) \right\} dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

$$= \lambda_j^2 \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \mathbf{Q}_\gamma(\eta_k)(W^{f_j}, \tau_{i_j j}) \leq 0, \quad (4.14)$$

where in (4.13) we used again that the support of  $f_j$  are disjoint. Observe that, the equality in (4.14) holds if and only if  $\lambda_j = 0$  for every  $j$ . Summing up the family  $\{(W_{i_j}^j, \tau_{i_j j})\}$  is a family of linear independent vectors of  $T_\gamma \mathcal{M}$  which generate a vector subspace  $Z$ , of dimension  $m + 1$ , such that  $Q_\gamma(\eta_k)|_Z$  is negative definite. We conclude that the index of  $\gamma$  is equal or greater than  $m + 1$  in contradiction with the assumption.  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem B (and Theorem A)

### 5.1 Weakly exact case

#### Minimax geometry below $c(g, \sigma)$

In this paragraph we assume  $\sigma$  to be weakly exact. Let  $S_k^\sigma$  be the primitive of  $\eta_k$  defined on  $\mathcal{M}_0$  as given in (1.5). Below the critical value  $S_k^\sigma$  enjoys a minimax geometry on the set of loops with short length which we discuss now. Denote by  $M^+ = M \times (0, +\infty)$  the set of constant loops with free period and consider

$$\Gamma_0^k = \{\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0, \phi(0) \in M^+ \text{ and } S_\sigma^k(\phi(1)) < 0\}.$$

By definition (1.3) of  $c$ , if  $k < c$ , then  $\Gamma_0^k$  is non empty and the minimax value function is defined as follows

$$\mathbf{s} : (0, c) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{s}(k) = \inf_{\Gamma_0^k} \max_{[0,1]} S_k^\sigma(\phi(t)). \quad (5.1)$$

The minimax geometry of  $S_k^\sigma$  on  $\Gamma_0^k$  is highlighted by the next lemma.

**Lemma 19.** *Let  $I$  be an open interval with compact closure fully contained in  $(0, c)$ . Then there exists a positive  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(I)$  such that*

$$\mathbf{s}(k) \geq \varepsilon, \quad \forall k \in I. \quad (5.2)$$

*Proof.* For the proof we refer the reader to [AB16, Section 5].  $\square$

Consider the negative gradient vector field  $-\nabla S_k^\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{M}_0$  induced by the Riemannian metric  $g_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Since  $-\nabla S_k^\sigma$  is smooth, it admits a local flow for positive time which in general is not complete. Indeed, source of non-completeness mainly originates from the non-completeness of  $(0, +\infty)$  and the fact that  $|\nabla S_k^\sigma|_{\mathcal{M}}$  is not bounded on  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . One can avoid these situations by considering the pseudo gradient given by

$$X_k = (h \circ S_k^\sigma) \frac{\nabla S_k^\sigma}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla S_k^\sigma|_{\mathcal{M}}^2}}. \quad (5.3)$$

Here  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a cut-off function such that  $h^{-1}\{0\} = (-\infty, \frac{s(k)}{4}]$  and  $h^{-1}\{1\} = [\frac{s(k)}{2}, +\infty)$ . As argued in [Abb13, Section 8], because  $X_k$  has bounded norm and vanishes on the set  $\{S_k^\sigma < \frac{s(k)}{4}\}$ , it admits a positively complete flow  $\Psi_k : [0, +\infty) \times \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$ . The next lemma evidences two important properties of  $\Psi_k$ .

**Lemma 20.** *Let  $u = (x, T) : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$  be an integral curve of  $\Psi_k$ . Then for every  $s \in (0, +\infty)$  the following statements hold:*

$$(i) \quad S_k^\sigma(u(s)) \leq S_k^\sigma(u(0));$$

$$(ii) |T(s) - T(0)|^2 \leq s \left( S_k^\sigma(u(0)) - S_k^\sigma(u(s)) \right).$$

*Proof.* For the proof we refer the reader to [Mer10, Section 5].  $\square$

**Lemma 21.** *The set  $\Gamma_0^k$  is  $\Psi_k$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* Since for every  $(p, T) \in M^+$ ,  $\nabla S_k^\sigma(p, T) = k \frac{\partial}{\partial T}$  then  $\Psi_k(t, M^+) \subseteq M^+$  for every positive time  $t$ . This fact together with point (i) of Lemma 20 implies that  $\Gamma_0^k$  is  $\Psi_k$ -invariant.  $\square$

### Existence almost everywhere and index estimates

Hereafter we fix an interval  $I$  with compact closure fully contained in  $(0, c)$ . Because  $S_k^\sigma$  is monotone increasing with respect to the parameter  $k$ , one can easily deduce that also  $\mathbf{s}$  is monotone increasing. Thus, there exists  $J \subset I$  of full Lebesgue measure such that  $\mathbf{s}$  is differentiable on  $J$ . Let us point out that the almost every where differentiability of  $\mathbf{s}$  is a crucial assumption in the Struwe monotonicity argument. Indeed, when  $k \in J$ , we can recover compactness condition for vanishing sequences related to the minimax geometry pointed out in Section 5.1. For more details about this construction, we address the reader to [Abb13, Section 8] and [Mer10, Section 5]. Here we will need a more precise version of this statement (see [AMP15] and [AMMP17]), in order to prepare the ground to prove, in Lemma 24, that vanishing points of  $\eta_k$  coming from this minimax geometry have Morse index bounded by 1. We proceed by showing that at the values of the energy where  $\mathbf{s}$  is differentiable we can bound the period of points in the image of elements of  $\Gamma_0^k$  which almost realize the minimax value.

**Lemma 22.** *Let  $k \in J$ . Then there exists a constant  $A$  such that for every small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by writing  $k_\varepsilon = k + \varepsilon$ , if  $\phi \in \Gamma_0^k$  and  $\max S_{k_\varepsilon}^\sigma(\phi) \leq \mathbf{s}(k_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon$ , then for every  $t \in [0, 1]$  satisfying also  $S_k^\sigma(\phi(t)) > \mathbf{s}(k) - \varepsilon$ , the inequality  $T_{\phi(t)} \leq A + 2$  holds.*

*Proof.* Because  $\mathbf{s}$  is differentiable at  $k$ , there exists a positive constant  $A = A(k)$  such that for every positive  $\varepsilon$

$$|\mathbf{s}(k_\varepsilon) - \mathbf{s}(k)| \leq A \cdot |k_\varepsilon - k|. \quad (5.4)$$

Thus, if  $\phi \in \Gamma_0^k$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$  are as in the statement, then

$$T_{\phi(t)} = \frac{S_{k_\varepsilon}^\sigma(\phi(t)) - S_k^\sigma(\phi(t))}{k_\varepsilon - k} \leq \frac{\mathbf{s}(k_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon - \mathbf{s}(k) + \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \leq A + 2.$$

$\square$

Let  $T^* > \sqrt{2\mathbf{s}(k)} + 3(A + 1)$  for which the role is clarified later. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \left\{ S_k^\sigma \geq \frac{\mathbf{s}(k)}{2} \right\} \cap \{T < T^*\}.$$

By Lemma 19,  $\mathbf{s}(k)$  is positive and, as argued in [Mer10, Proposition 5.8],  $S_k^\sigma$  restricted to  $\mathcal{B}_k$  satisfies Palais-Smale. Therefore the set  $\mathcal{C}_k = \text{Crit}(S_k^\sigma) \cap \mathcal{B}_k = \mathcal{Z}(\eta_k) \cap \mathcal{B}_k$  is compact. The next statement shows that  $\mathcal{C}_k$  is non empty and that we can always find an element of  $\Gamma_0^k$  which passes arbitrarily close to  $\mathcal{C}_k$ .

**Lemma 23.** *Let  $k \in J$ . For every  $V$  open neighborhood of  $\mathcal{C}_k$  and for every small  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an element  $\varphi_\varepsilon \in \Gamma_0^k$  such that*

$$\varphi_\varepsilon([0, 1]) \subset \{S_k^\sigma < \mathbf{s}(k)\} \cup (\{\mathbf{s}(k) \leq S_k^\sigma < \mathbf{s}(k) + \varepsilon\} \cap V).$$

*In particular,  $\mathcal{C}_k$  is non empty.*

*Proof.* Let  $k$  be a point of differentiability for  $\mathbf{s}$  and let  $V$  be an open neighborhood of  $\mathcal{C}_k$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}_k$  consists of fixed points for  $\Psi_k$  and  $S_k^\sigma$  satisfies Palais-Smale on  $\mathcal{B}_k$ , there exists an open set  $V'$  and a positive  $\delta = \delta(V')$  such that  $V'$  still contains  $\mathcal{C}_k$ ,  $\Psi_k([0, 1] \times V') \subset V \cap \mathcal{B}_k$  and

$$|\nabla S_k^\sigma|_{\mathcal{M}} \geq \delta > 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \forall (x, T) \in \mathcal{B}_k \setminus V'. \quad (5.5)$$

Fix a positive  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon < \delta^2$ . By definition of  $\mathbf{s}$ , for every  $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, \varepsilon)$  there exists  $\phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \in \Gamma_0^{k_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}}$  such that

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} S_{k_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}}^\sigma(\phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t)) \leq \mathbf{s}(k_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}) + \tilde{\varepsilon}.$$

Moreover, up to shrinking  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ , we can assume that  $\tilde{\varepsilon}(A + 1) < \varepsilon$  and that  $\phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \in \Gamma_0^k$ . By using (5.4) in Lemma 22, we deduce that

$$S_k^\sigma(\phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t)) < S_{k_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}}^\sigma(\phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t)) \leq \mathbf{s}(k_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}) + \tilde{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{s}(k) + \mathbf{s}(k) \leq \mathbf{s}(k) + (A + 1)\tilde{\varepsilon} < \mathbf{s}(k) + \varepsilon.$$

We claim that the element  $\varphi_\varepsilon \in \Gamma_0^k$  defined by

$$\varphi_\varepsilon = \Psi_k(1, \phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t)), \quad (5.6)$$

is the desired one. Indeed, first observe that because  $S_k^\sigma$  decreases along the flow lines of  $\Psi_k$ , if  $t \in [0, 1]$  is such that  $S_k^\sigma(\phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t)) < \mathbf{s}(k)$  then  $S_k^\sigma(\varphi_\varepsilon(t)) < \mathbf{s}(k)$ . By the choice of  $T^*$ , by Lemma 22 and by Lemma 20, if  $S_k^\sigma(\phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t)) \in (\mathbf{s}(k), \mathbf{s}(k) + \varepsilon)$ , then either  $S_k^\sigma(\varphi_\varepsilon(t)) < \mathbf{s}(k)$  or  $\Psi_k(s, \phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t)) \in \mathcal{B}_k \cap (\mathbf{s}(k), \mathbf{s}(k) + \varepsilon)$  for every  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Let us focus on the second case. If there exists a time  $s_0 \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\Psi_k(s_0, \phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t)) \in V'$  then, by the assumptions on  $V'$ , we deduce that  $\Psi_k(1, \phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t)) \in V'$ . Suppose by contradiction that for every  $t$  such that  $\Psi_k(s, \phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t)) \in \mathcal{B}_k \cap (\mathbf{s}(k), \mathbf{s}(k) + \varepsilon)$  and for every  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\Psi_k(s, \phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t))$  does not enter  $V'$ . Then, by using (5.5), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} S_k^\sigma(\varphi_\varepsilon(t)) &= S_k^\sigma(\phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t)) + \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} S_k^\sigma(\Psi_k(s, \phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t))) ds \\ &\leq \mathbf{s}(k) + \varepsilon - \int_0^1 |\nabla S_k^\sigma|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 ds \\ &\leq \mathbf{s}(k) + \varepsilon - \delta^2 \\ &< \mathbf{s}(k). \end{aligned}$$

In this way we find an element of  $\Gamma_0^k$  such that  $\varphi_\varepsilon([0, 1]) \subset \{S_k^\sigma < \mathbf{s}(k)\}$  which contradicts the definition of  $\mathbf{s}(k)$ . We can conclude that  $\varphi_\varepsilon$ , as defined in (5.6), is such that either  $S_k^\sigma(\varphi_\varepsilon(t)) < \mathbf{s}(k)$  or  $S_k^\sigma(\varphi_\varepsilon(t)) \in (\mathbf{s}(k), \mathbf{s}(k) + \varepsilon)$  and  $\varphi_\varepsilon(t) \in V' \subset V$ . The claim is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 24.** *Let  $k \in J$ . There exists  $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}(\eta_k)$  such that  $\text{index}(\gamma) \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 23 the set  $\mathcal{C}_k$  is not empty. Let  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_k$  and consider  $(\mathcal{V}_\gamma, \Phi_\gamma)$  and  $D_\gamma$  as in Lemma 4. Let  $\rho_\gamma^t : B_{r_\gamma}^H \times (B_{r_\gamma}^E \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow B_{r_\gamma}^H \times B_{r_\gamma}^E$  be the deformation given by

$$\rho_\gamma^t(y_h, y_e) = \left( y_h, y_e + \min\{t, r_\gamma - |y_e|_0\} \frac{y_e}{|y_e|_0} \right).$$

Observe that  $\rho_\gamma^t$  pushes points of  $B_{r_\gamma}^H \times (B_{r_\gamma}^E \setminus \{0\})$  towards the boundary, in the direction of  $E$ . In particular, if  $t < r_\gamma - |y_e|_0$ , then by point (ii) of Lemma 4, it holds

$$(S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})(\rho_\gamma^t(y_h, y_e)) \leq (S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_\gamma^{-1})(y_h, y_e) - D_\gamma t^2. \quad (5.7)$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{W}_\gamma = \Phi_\gamma^{-1}(B_{r_\gamma/4}^H \times B_{r_\gamma/4}^E)$  and by  $\mathcal{U}_\gamma = \Phi_\gamma^{-1}(B_{r_\gamma/2}^H \times B_{r_\gamma/2}^E)$ . Let  $\chi_\gamma$  be a bump function on  $\mathcal{M}_0$  supported in  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma$  such that  $\chi_\gamma(\mathcal{U}_\gamma) = 1$ . By contradiction suppose that every  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_k$  has index greater than 1. Since  $\mathcal{C}_k$  is compact, there exist  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \mathcal{C}_k$  and local charts  $(\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_i}, \Phi_{\gamma_i})$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_k \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_i}$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $M^+ \cap \Phi_{\gamma_i}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_i}) = \emptyset$  for every  $i$ . Let us point out that the change of coordinates is bi-Lipschitz because composition of bi-Lipschitz map. We will denote by  $C_{ij}$  the Lipschitz constant of the change of coordinates  $\Phi_{\gamma_j} \circ \Phi_{\gamma_i}^{-1}$  and by  $|\cdot|_{0,i}$  the norm on  $\Phi_{\gamma_i}(\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_i})$  induced by the chart  $\Phi_{\gamma_i}$ . Let  $\delta = \min_i D_{\gamma_i}$ ,  $R = \min_i r_{\gamma_i}$ ,  $C = \max_{i \neq j} C_{ij}$  and fix

$$\varepsilon \in \left( 0, \min \left\{ \frac{R}{4nC}, \frac{R}{4n} \right\} \right).$$

For  $j = 1, \dots, n$  consider the set

$$\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_i, j} = \Phi_{\gamma_i}^{-1} \left( \bigcup_{z \in \Phi_{\gamma_i}(\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_i})} B(z, j\varepsilon C) \right),$$

where  $B(z, j\varepsilon C)$  is the ball in  $\Phi_{\gamma_i}(\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_i})$  (with respect to the norm  $|\cdot|_{0,i}$ ) centered at  $z$  with radius  $j\varepsilon C$ . The following inclusions hold

$$\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_i} \subset \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_i, 1} \subset \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_i, 2} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_i, j} \subset \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_i, j+1} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_i, n} \subset \mathcal{U}_{\gamma_i} \subset \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_i}.$$

By Lemma 23, there exists an element  $\phi \in \Gamma_0^k$  such that

$$\phi([0, 1]) \subset \{S_k^\sigma < \mathbf{s}(k)\} \cup \left( \{\mathbf{s}(k) \leq S_k^\sigma < \mathbf{s}(k) + \varepsilon^2 \delta\} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_i} \right). \quad (5.8)$$

Up to refining the cover, we can assume that the endpoints  $\phi(0), \phi(1) \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_i}$ . By point (i) of Lemma 4,  $\Phi_{\gamma_i}(\mathcal{C}_k \cap \Phi_{\gamma_i}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_i})) \subseteq B_{r_{\gamma_i}}^H \times \{0\}$  for every  $i$ , and by contradiction we are assuming that  $B_{r_{\gamma_i}}^H \times \{0\}$  has codimension bigger than one. Since the domain of  $\phi$  has dimension one, with the help of the transversality theorem [GP74, Section 5], we can find an element  $\phi_0$  arbitrarily  $C^0$  close to  $\phi$  such that its image  $\phi_0([0, 1])$  does not intersect  $B_{r_\gamma}^H \times \{0\}$  and it still contained in the right-hand term of the inclusion (5.8).

Now define

$$\phi_1(t) = \begin{cases} \Phi_{\gamma_1}^{-1} \left( \rho_{\gamma_1}^{\varepsilon \chi_{\gamma_1}(\phi_0(t))}(\Phi_{\gamma_1}(\phi_0(t))) \right) & \text{if } \phi_0(t) \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_1}, \\ \phi_0(t) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

Observe that  $\phi_1 \in \Gamma_0^k$  because it is obtained by deforming continuously the segment of  $\phi_0$  contained in  $V_{\gamma_1}$  by keeping the endpoints fixed. Moreover, if  $\phi_0(t) \in \{\mathbf{s}(k) \leq S_k^\sigma < \mathbf{s}(k) + \varepsilon^2 \delta\} \cap \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1}$ , then the choice of  $\varepsilon$  and (5.7) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} S_k^\sigma(\phi_1(t)) &\leq S_k^\sigma(\phi_0(t)) - D_{\gamma_1} \varepsilon^2 \\ &\leq \mathbf{s}(k) + \delta \varepsilon^2 - D_{\gamma_1} \varepsilon^2 \\ &< \mathbf{s}(k). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, because  $\rho_{\gamma_1}^{\varepsilon \chi_{\gamma_1}}$  pushes points of  $\Phi_{\gamma_1}(\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1})$  at distance at most  $\varepsilon$ , if  $\phi_0(t) \in \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_i}$  then

$$\left| \Phi_{\gamma_i}(\phi_1(t)) - \Phi_{\gamma_i}(\phi_0(t)) \right|_{0,i} \leq C_{1i} \left| \Phi_{\gamma_1}(\phi_1(t)) - \Phi_{\gamma_1}(\phi_0(t)) \right|_{0,1} \leq C\varepsilon, \quad (5.10)$$

so that  $\phi_1(t) \in \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{i,1}}$ .

Summing up the element  $\phi_1$  enjoys that

$$\phi_1([0, 1]) \subset \{S_k^\sigma < \mathbf{s}(k)\} \cup \left( \{\mathbf{s}(k) \leq S_k^\sigma < \mathbf{s}(k) + \varepsilon^2 \delta\} \cap \bigcup_{i=2}^n \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{i,1}} \right).$$

We repeat the construction as follows. Define  $\phi_2 \in \Gamma_0^k$  as

$$\phi_2(t) = \begin{cases} \Phi_{\gamma_2}^{-1} \left( \rho_{\gamma_2}^{\varepsilon \chi_{\gamma_2}(\phi_1(t))}(\Phi_{\gamma_2}(\phi_1(t))) \right) & \text{if } \phi_1(t) \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_1}, \\ \phi_1(t) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By using again the inequality (5.7), if  $\phi_1(t) \in \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{2,1}}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} S_k^\sigma(\phi_2(t)) &\leq S_k^\sigma(\phi_1(t)) - D_{\gamma_2} \varepsilon^2 \\ &\leq \mathbf{s}(k) + \delta \varepsilon^2 - D_{\gamma_2} \varepsilon^2 \\ &< \mathbf{s}(k), \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if  $\phi_1(t) \in \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{1,1}} \cap \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{i,1}}$  for some  $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ , then

$$\left| \Phi_{\gamma_i}(\phi_2(t)) - \Phi_{\gamma_i}(\phi_1(t)) \right|_{0,i} \leq C_{2i} \left| \Phi_{\gamma_2}(\phi_2(t)) - \Phi_{\gamma_2}(\phi_1(t)) \right|_{0,2} \leq C\varepsilon,$$

which implies that  $\phi_2(t) \in \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{i,2}}$ . Thus the element  $\phi_2$  enjoys that

$$\phi_2([0, 1]) \subset \{S_k^\sigma < \mathbf{s}(k)\} \cup \left( \{\mathbf{s}(k) \leq S_k^\sigma < \mathbf{s}(k) + \varepsilon^2 \delta\} \cap \bigcup_{i=3}^n \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{i,2}} \right).$$

Iterating the process, at the step  $m$  we find  $\phi_m$  such that

$$\phi_m([0, 1]) \subset \{S_k^\sigma < \mathbf{s}(k)\} \cup \left( \{\mathbf{s}(k) \leq S_k^\sigma < \mathbf{s}(k) + \varepsilon^2 \delta\} \cap \bigcup_{i=m+1}^n \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{i,m}} \right),$$

at the step  $n$ , we find an element  $\phi_n$  such that

$$\phi_n([0, 1]) \subset \{S_k^\sigma < \mathbf{s}(k)\},$$

in contradiction with the definition of  $\mathbf{s}(k)$ . □

## 5.2 Non-weakly exact case

### Variation of $\eta_k$ along paths

If  $\sigma$  is not weakly exact, then  $c(g, \sigma) = +\infty$  and  $\eta_k$  does not admit a primitive globally defined on  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . Despite that, if  $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$  is a continuous path the action variation  $\Delta\eta_k(u) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $\eta_k$  along  $u$  is always well defined and it is given by

$$\Delta\eta_k(u)(t) = \int_0^t u^* \eta_k.$$

Moreover, as argued in [AB16, Section 2.3], if  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_0$  is an open subset and  $u([0, 1]) \subset \mathcal{U}$ , then for every local primitive  $S_k^\sigma : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\Delta\eta_k(u)(t) = S_k^\sigma(u(t)) - S_k^\sigma(u(0)), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \quad (5.11)$$

Let  $u : [0, 1] \times [0, R] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$  be a homotopy of  $u$  with the starting point fixed and write  $u_s = u(\cdot, s)$  and by  $u^t = u(t, \cdot)$ . The fact that  $\eta_k$  is closed implies the following formula

$$\Delta\eta_k(u_s)(t) = \Delta\eta_k(u_0)(t) + \Delta\eta_k(u^t)(R). \quad (5.12)$$

### Minimax geometry (non-weakly exact case)

Henceforth, we take into account  $M^+$  and  $\mathcal{V}_\delta$  as in the paragraph 5.1. Observe that, if  $\delta$  is small enough, then we can restrict definition (1.5) of  $S_k^\sigma$  to set of short loops  $\mathcal{V}_\delta \subset \mathcal{M}_0$ . Moreover, as argued in [AB16, Lemma 3.2], such a primitive also enjoys that

$$\inf_{\mathcal{V}_\delta} S_k^\sigma = 0.$$

By identifying the north and the south pole of the unit sphere  $S^2$  with the end points of the interval  $[0, 1]$ , we have a 1-1 correspondence between

$$\{f : S^2 \rightarrow M\} \xrightarrow{F} \{\phi : ([0, 1], \{0, 1\}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_0, M^+)\}.$$

It is a well known fact that  $F$  descends to the homotopy quotient (see for instance [Kli78]). Because  $\sigma$  is not weakly exact,  $\pi_2(M) \neq \{0\}$  so that given a non trivial element  $\mathbf{u} \in \pi_2(M)$  one can consider the following set:

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{u}} = \{\phi : ([0, 1], \{0, 1\}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_0, M^+), F^{-1}(\phi) \in \mathbf{u}\}.$$

For  $\phi \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{u}}$ , we can define a primitive  $S_k^\sigma(\phi) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $\eta_k$  along  $\phi$  by

$$S_k^\sigma(\phi)(t) = \Delta\eta_k(\phi)(t) + T_{\phi(0)}k; \quad (5.13)$$

in this setting, the minimax value function is given by

$$\mathbf{s}^u : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty), \quad \mathbf{s}^u(k) = \inf_{\phi \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{u}}} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \Delta S_k^\sigma(\phi)(t).$$

In analogy with the weakly exact case, the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 25.** *Let  $I$  be an open interval with compact closure fully contained in  $(0, +\infty)$ . There exists a positive  $\varepsilon$  such that*

$$\mathbf{s}^u(k) \geq \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in I. \quad (5.14)$$

Moreover  $\mathbf{s}^u$  is monotone increasing on  $I$ .

*Proof.* The proof is contained in [AB16, Section 4]. □

Let  $N_k = \{(x, T) \in \mathcal{V}_\delta \mid S_k^\sigma(x, T) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\}$  and  $h$  a cut-off function such that  $h^{-1}(1) = [\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, +\infty)$  and  $h^{-1}(0) = (-\infty, \frac{\varepsilon}{4}]$ . Define  $\tilde{h} : \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows

$$\tilde{h}(x, T) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x, T) \in \mathcal{M}_0 \setminus N_k \\ h \circ S_k^\sigma & \text{if } (x, T) \in N_k \end{cases}.$$

Let  $X_k$  be the vector field on  $\mathcal{M}_0$  given by

$$X_k = \tilde{h} \cdot \frac{\eta_k^\#}{\sqrt{1 + |\eta_k^\#|_{\mathcal{M}}^2}},$$

where  $\eta_k^\#$  is the dual vector field of the 1-form  $\eta_k$  obtained through the Riemannian metric  $g_{\mathcal{M}}$ . In accordance with the weakly exact case, the pseudo gradient  $X_k$  enjoys the following properties

**Lemma 26.** *The flow  $\Psi_k$  of  $X_k$  is positively complete and  $\Gamma_u$  is a  $\Psi_k$ -invariant set. Moreover, if  $u = (x, T) : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$  is a flow line of  $\Psi_k$ , then for every  $s \in (0, +\infty)$  it yields that*

- (i)  $\Delta\eta_k(u)(s) \leq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $|T(s) - T(0)|^2 \leq -s\Delta\eta_k(u)(s)$ .

*Proof.* The details of the proof are in [AB16, Proposition 2.8 and Lemma 2.9]. □

### Existence almost everywhere and index estimates

As pointed out in Lemma 25,  $\mathbf{s}^u$  is monotone increasing. Therefore there exists  $J \subset I$  of full Lebesgue measure such that  $\mathbf{s}^u$  is differentiable on  $J$ . In the following, we readapt Lemma 22, Lemma 23 and Lemma 24 to the minimax geometry of  $\eta_k$  on  $\Gamma^u$ .

**Lemma 27.** *Let  $k \in J$ . Then there exists a constant  $A > 0$  such that  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , by writing  $k_\varepsilon = k + \varepsilon$ , if  $\phi \in \Gamma_u$  is such that  $S_k^\sigma(\phi)(t) \leq \mathbf{s}^u(k_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then when  $\phi(t)$  satisfies also  $S_k^\sigma(\phi)(t) > \mathbf{s}^u(k) - \varepsilon$ , the inequality  $T_{\phi(t)} \leq A$  holds.*

*Proof.* The fact that  $k$  is a point of differentiability for  $\mathbf{s}^u$  implies the existence of a positive constant  $A = A(k)$  such that

$$|\mathbf{s}^u(k_\varepsilon) - \mathbf{s}^u(k)| \leq A \cdot |k_\varepsilon - k|, \quad \forall k_\varepsilon \in I.$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\phi \in \Gamma_u$ . If  $\phi(t)$  is taken as in the statement, then

$$T_{\phi(t)} = \frac{S_{k_\varepsilon}^\sigma(\phi)(t) - S_k^\sigma(\phi)(t)}{k_\varepsilon - k} \leq \frac{\mathbf{s}^u(k_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon - \mathbf{s}^u(k) + \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \leq A + 2.$$

□

Let  $T^* = \sqrt{2\mathbf{s}^u(k)} + 3(A + 1)$  and define the set

$$\mathcal{B}_k = (\mathcal{M}_0 \setminus N_k) \cap \{T \leq T^*\}.$$

Since every vanishing sequence of  $\eta_k$  contained in  $\mathcal{B}_k$  is compact the set  $\mathcal{C}_k = \mathcal{B}_k \cap \mathcal{Z}(\eta_k)$  is compact.

**Lemma 28.** *Let  $k \in J$ . Then for every  $V$  open neighborhood of  $\mathcal{C}_k$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an element  $\varphi_\varepsilon \in \Gamma_u$  such that,  $\forall t \in [0, 1]$  either  $S_k^\sigma(\varphi_\varepsilon)(t) < \mathbf{s}^u(k)$  or  $S_k^\sigma(\varphi_\varepsilon)(t) \in [\mathbf{s}^u(k), \mathbf{s}^u(k) + \varepsilon]$  and  $\varphi_\varepsilon(t) \in V$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{C}_k$  is non empty.*

*Proof.* Because  $\mathcal{C}_k$  consists of zeros for  $\eta_k$  and because vanishing sequences of  $\mathcal{B}_k$  converge, there exists  $V' \subset V$  and a positive  $\delta = \delta(V')$  such that  $V'$  still contains  $\mathcal{C}_k$ ,  $\Psi_k([0, 1] \times V') \subset V \cap \mathcal{B}_k$  and

$$|\eta_k^\#|_{\mathcal{M}_0} \geq \delta, \quad \forall (x, T) \in \mathcal{B}_k \setminus V'. \quad (5.15)$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < \delta^2$ . By definition of  $\mathbf{s}^u$ , for every  $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, \varepsilon)$  there exists  $\phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \in \Gamma_u$  such that

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} S_k^\sigma(\phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}})(t) < \mathbf{s}^u(k_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}) + \tilde{\varepsilon}.$$

For  $s \in [0, 1]$  define

$$\varphi_\varepsilon^s(t) = \Psi_k(s, \phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t)), \quad (5.16)$$

and observe that, by (5.12)

$$S_k^\sigma(\varphi_\varepsilon^s)(t) = S_k^\sigma(\phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}})(t) + \Delta\eta_k(\Psi_k(\cdot, \phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(t)))(s). \quad (5.17)$$

As in the weakly exact case, we claim that the element  $\varphi_\varepsilon = \varphi_\varepsilon^1 \in \Gamma_u$  is the desired one. Indeed, if  $t \in [0, 1]$  is such that  $S_k^\sigma(\phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}})(t) < \mathbf{s}^u(k)$ , because  $\Delta\eta_k$  is non positive along flow lines of  $\Psi_k$  (point (i) of Lemma 26), by (5.17) we deduce that  $S_k^\sigma(\varphi_\varepsilon)(t) < \mathbf{s}^u(k)$ . On the other hand, if  $S_k^\sigma(\phi_{\tilde{\varepsilon}})(t) \in [\mathbf{s}^u(k), \mathbf{s}^u(k) + \varepsilon]$ , by the choice of  $T^*$ , by Lemma 27 and Lemma 26, it follows that, either  $S_k^\sigma(\varphi_\varepsilon)(t) < \mathbf{s}^u(k)$  or  $S_k^\sigma(\varphi_\varepsilon)(t) \in [\mathbf{s}^u(k), \mathbf{s}^u(k) + \varepsilon]$  and  $\varphi_\varepsilon^s(t) \in \mathcal{B}_k$  for every  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Let us focus on the second case. By the assumptions on  $V'$ , if for some time  $s_0 \in [0, 1]$  we have that  $\varphi_\varepsilon^{s_0}(t) \in V'$ , then  $\varphi_\varepsilon(t)$  also belongs to  $V'$ . Suppose by contradiction that  $\varphi_\varepsilon^s(t)$  does not enter  $V'$  for every  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Write  $\varphi_\varepsilon(s, t) = \varphi_\varepsilon^s(t)$  and observe that by (5.15), it yields

$$\Delta\eta_k(\varphi_\varepsilon(\cdot, t))(1) = \int_0^1 \Psi_k(\cdot, \phi_0(t))^* \eta_k \leq - \int_0^1 |\nabla\eta_k|_{\mathcal{M}_0}^2 \leq -\delta^2. \quad (5.18)$$

From (5.17) and (5.18), we conclude that

$$S_k^\sigma(\varphi)(t) \leq S_k^\sigma(\phi_0)(t) - \delta^2 \leq \mathbf{s}^u(k) + \varepsilon - \delta^2 < \mathbf{s}^u(k).$$

Summing up the element  $\varphi_\varepsilon \in \Gamma_u$  is such that for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $S_k^\sigma(\varphi_\varepsilon)(t) < \mathbf{s}^u(k)$  in contradiction with the definition of  $\mathbf{s}^u(k)$ . Therefore, the element  $\varphi_\varepsilon$  is such that either  $S_k^\sigma(\varphi_\varepsilon)(t) < \mathbf{s}^u(k)$  or  $S_k^\sigma(\varphi_\varepsilon)(t) \in [\mathbf{s}^u(k), \mathbf{s}^u(k) + \varepsilon]$  and  $\varphi_\varepsilon(t) \in V' \subset V$ . The claim holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 29.** *Let  $k \in J$ . There exists  $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}(\eta_k)$  such that  $\text{index}(\gamma) \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by contradiction by following the same scheme and by adopting the same setting and the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 24. By Lemma 28 and by transversality theorem [GP74, Section 5], we can find an element  $\phi_0 \in \Gamma_u$  such that its image does not intersect  $B_{r_{\gamma_i}}^H \times \{0\}$  for every  $i$  and it satisfies that for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , either  $S_k^\sigma(\phi_0)(t) < \mathbf{s}^u(k)$  or  $S_k^\sigma(\phi_0)(t) \in [\mathbf{s}^u(k), \mathbf{s}^u(k) + \varepsilon^2\delta)$  and  $\phi_0(t) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_i}$ . Define  $\phi_1$  by (5.9) and look at  $\phi_0(t) \in \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1}$ . Let  $S_k^\sigma$  be a primitive of  $\eta_k$  defined on  $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1}$  and  $\alpha(\phi_0(t)) : [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1}$  given by

$$\alpha(\phi_0(t))(s) = \Phi_{\gamma_1}^{-1} \left( \rho_{\gamma_1}^{s\varepsilon\chi_{\gamma_1}(\phi_0(t))} (\Phi_{\gamma_1}(\phi_0(t))) \right).$$

By (5.11) and by point (ii) of Lemma 4

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\eta_k(\alpha(\phi_0(t))(1)) &= S_k^\sigma(\alpha(\phi_0(t))(1)) - S_k^\sigma(\alpha(\phi_0(t))(0)) \\ &\leq \left( S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_{\gamma_1}^{-1} \right) \left( \rho_{\gamma_1}^{s\varepsilon\chi_{\gamma_1}(\phi_0(t))} (\Phi_{\gamma_1}(\phi_0(t))) \right) - \left( S_k^\sigma \circ \Phi_{\gamma_1}^{-1} \right) \left( \Phi_{\gamma_1}(\phi_0(t)) \right) \\ &\leq -\varepsilon^2 D_{\gamma_1}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} S_k^\sigma(\phi_1)(t) &= S_k^\sigma(\phi_0)(t) + \Delta\eta_k(\alpha(\phi_0(t))(1)) \\ &\leq \mathbf{s}^u(k) + \varepsilon^2\delta - \varepsilon^2 D_{\gamma_1} \\ &< \mathbf{s}^u(k). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if  $\phi_0(t) \in \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_i}$  for some  $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ , due to (5.10), we can deduce that  $\phi_1(t) \in \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{i,1}}$ . Thus the element  $\phi_1$  enjoys that for every  $t \in [0, 1]$  either  $S_k^\sigma(\phi_1)(t) < \mathbf{s}^u(k)$  or  $S_k^\sigma(\phi_1)(t) \in [\mathbf{s}^u(k), \mathbf{s}^u(k) + \varepsilon^2\delta)$  and  $\phi_1(t) \in \bigcup_{i=2}^n \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{i,1}}$ . Iterating the procedure, at the step  $n$  we find an element  $\phi_n \in \Gamma_u$  such that for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $S_k^\sigma(\phi_n)(t) < \mathbf{s}^u(k)$  which contradicts the definition of  $\mathbf{s}^u$ .  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem B (and Theorem A)

Let  $k < c$  such that  $\text{Ric}_k^\Omega > 0$ . By continuity of  $\text{Ric}_k^\Omega$ , there exists an open interval  $I_k \subset (0, c)$  centered at  $k$  and a positive constant  $r$  such that  $\text{Ric}_s^\Omega \geq \frac{1}{r^2}$ , for all  $s \in I_k$ . With the help of Lemma 24 or Lemma 29, let  $\{k_n\}$  be a sequence of energies such that  $k_n \rightarrow k$  and let  $\{\gamma_n = (x_n, T_n)\}$  be a sequence of  $\mathcal{M}_0$  such that  $\gamma_n$  is a contractible zero of  $\eta_{k_n}$  with index smaller or equal than 1. By applying Lemma 18 to  $\{\gamma_n\}$  we deduce that  $T_n \leq 2\pi r$ , for every  $n$ . Moreover,

$$|\eta_k(\gamma_n)|_{\mathcal{M}} = |(\eta_k - \eta_{k_n})(\gamma_n)|_{\mathcal{M}} + |\eta_{k_n}(\gamma_n)|_{\mathcal{M}} = |k_n - k|T_n \leq |k_n - k|2\pi r.$$

Thus  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is a vanishing sequence for  $\eta_k$  and  $T_n$  is bounded from above. Because for every energy  $k$  the magnetic flow  $\varphi_\sigma^k$  does not have rest points, by [HZ12, Proposition 1, Section 4.1], up to subsequence  $\gamma_n$  converges in  $\mathcal{M}$  to a point  $\gamma$  which is a contractible zero of  $\eta_k$ . Finally, if  $\sigma$  is nowhere vanishing, by point (ii) of Lemma 8, the magnetic Ricci curvature is positive for values close to zero and Theorem A follows.

## 6 The Hopf's rigidity: proof of Theorem E

We conclude this manuscript by giving the proof of the magnetic version of the Hopf's rigidity theorem. First we introduce or reintroduce some notation. In this final subsection  $(M, g, b)$  is

a magnetic system on a closed oriented surface. We denote by  $X^\sigma$  the magnetic vector field on  $TM$  which is always tangent to the  $k$ -energy level

$$\Sigma_k = \{(p, v) \in TM, |v| = \sqrt{2k}\}.$$

Observe that the set  $\Sigma_k$  is the sphere bundle of radius  $\sqrt{2k}$  over  $M$ . We write  $P : \Sigma_k \rightarrow SM$  the map  $P_k(v) = \frac{v}{|v|}$ . Again,  $\varphi_\sigma^k : \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma_k \rightarrow \Sigma_k$  is the restriction of the magnetic flow  $\varphi^\sigma$  to  $\Sigma_k$ . By  $\mu_{Gk}$  we denote the Riemannian measure of  $\Sigma_k$  which disintegrates as the product of the Riemannian measure  $\mu_g$  induced by  $g$  on  $M$  and the Lebesgue measure of the fiber circles of  $\Sigma_k$ . In particular, if  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an integrable function for  $\mu_g$ , then

$$\int_{\Sigma_k} f d\mu_{Gk} = \sqrt{2k} 2\pi \int_M f \mu_g.$$

It is a classical fact that the magnetic flow  $\varphi_\sigma^k$  leaves the measure  $\mu_{Gk}$  invariant (see for instance [Pat06]); so that if  $u : \Sigma_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function differentiable with respect to  $X^\sigma$  such that  $X^\sigma u$  is integrable, then

$$\int_{\Sigma_k} (X^\sigma u) d\mu_{Gk} = 0. \quad (6.1)$$

For the proof of Theorem E we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 30.** *Let  $k \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $\varphi_\sigma^k$  is without conjugate points. Then the (magnetic) Riccati equation on  $\Sigma_k$*

$$X^\sigma u + u^2 + (\mathcal{K}_k^b \circ P) = 0, \quad (6.2)$$

*admits a measurable solution  $u : \Sigma_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in M$  and consider along a magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_v(t) = \varphi_\sigma^k(t, p, v)$  the orthogonal component with respect to  $\dot{\gamma}_v$  of the magnetic Jacobi equation, which by Lemma 11, is given by

$$\ddot{q} + \mathcal{K}_k^b(P(\dot{\gamma}_{(p,v)}(t)))q = 0. \quad (6.3)$$

The absence of conjugate points for  $\varphi_\sigma^k$  is equivalent to the fact that any non trivial solution of (6.3) vanishes at most at one point; more generally, for all times  $t_1 < t_2$  and for all reals  $c_1, c_2$ , there exists a unique solution  $a$  of (6.3) such that  $a(t_1) = c_1$  and  $a(t_2) = c_2$ . Let  $s \neq 0$  and denote by  $a_s^v$  the solution of (6.3) such that  $a_s^v(0) = 1$  and  $a_s^v(s) = 0$ . Observe that the absence of conjugate points implies that for every  $s \neq \tilde{s}$  we have that  $\dot{a}_s^v(0) \neq \dot{a}_{\tilde{s}}^v(0)$  as well as  $a_s^v(t) \neq a_{\tilde{s}}^v(t)$ . From these considerations it follows that

$$\dot{a}_{s_2}^v(0) < \dot{a}_{s_3}^v(0) < \dot{a}_{s_1}^v(0), \quad \forall s_1 < 0 < s_2 < s_3. \quad (6.4)$$

Indeed, if  $\dot{a}_{s_2}^v(0) > \dot{a}_{s_3}^v(0)$  for  $s_3 > s_2 > 0$ , because  $a_{s_3}^v$  vanishes at the point  $s_3$ , we would find a time  $\tau \in (0, s_2]$  such that  $a_{s_3}^v(\tau) = a_{s_2}^v(\tau)$ . Analogously, if  $\dot{a}_{s_3}^v(0) > \dot{a}_{s_1}^v(0)$  for some  $s_3 > 0 > s_1$ , we would find a time  $\tau \in [s_1, 0)$  such that  $a_{s_1}^v(\tau) = a_{s_3}^v(\tau)$ . In particular, the inequality (6.4) together with the absence of conjugate points implies also

$$a_{s_2}^v(t) < a_{s_3}^v(t) < a_{s_1}^v(t), \quad \forall s_1 < 0 < s_2 < s_3, \quad \forall t \in (0, +\infty), \quad (6.5)$$

and equivalently

$$-a_{s_2}^v(t) < -a_{s_3}^v(t) < -a_{s_1}^v(t), \quad \forall s_1 < 0 < s_2 < s_3, \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, 0). \quad (6.6)$$

By (6.4),  $\dot{a}_s^v$  is monotone increasing and bounded from above which implies that the limit

$$u(v) := \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \dot{a}_s^v(0), \quad (6.7)$$

exists and it is finite. The function  $u$  on  $\Sigma_k$  given by (6.7) is measurable, being the pointwise limit of the function  $\dot{a}_s^v(0)$  depending smoothly on  $(p, v) \in \Sigma_k$ . Let  $a^v$  be the solution of (6.3) such that  $a^v(0) = 1$  and  $\dot{a}^v(0) = u(v)$ . By (6.4), we can deduce that

$$a^v(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} a_s^v(t). \quad (6.8)$$

Moreover, with the help of (6.5) and (6.6), we also deduce that  $a^v$  is everywhere strictly positive on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, we define the function  $z^v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  as

$$z^v(t) = \frac{\dot{a}^v(t)}{a^v(t)}. \quad (6.9)$$

By differentiating (6.9) along  $\gamma_v$ , using the fact that  $a^v$  is a solution of (6.3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{z}^v &= \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{\dot{a}^v(t)}{a^v(t)} \right] \\ &= \frac{\ddot{a}^v(t)}{a^v(t)} - \left( \frac{\dot{a}^v(t)}{a^v(t)} \right)^2 \\ &= -\mathcal{K}_k^b(P(\dot{\gamma}_v)) - (z^v)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

Thus,  $z^v$  satisfies the Riccati equation (6.2) along  $\gamma_v$ . Now denote by  $v(t) = \varphi_\sigma^k(t, p, v)$  and observe that, by the uniqueness of the solution of (6.3) with prescribed boundary condition, we have that

$$a_s^{v(t)}(\tau) = \frac{a_{s+t}^v(\tau + t)}{a_{s+t}^v(t)},$$

which implies

$$a^{v(t)}(\tau) = \frac{a^v(\tau + t)}{a^v(t)}. \quad (6.11)$$

By differentiation of (6.11) at  $\tau = 0$ , we obtain

$$\dot{a}^{v(t)}(0) = \frac{\dot{a}^v(t)}{a^v(t)}. \quad (6.12)$$

By using (6.12), we can deduce that

$$z^{v(t)}(0) = \frac{\dot{a}^{v(t)}(0)}{a^{v(t)}(0)} = \dot{a}^{v(t)}(0) = \frac{\dot{a}^v(t)}{a^v(t)} = z^v(t). \quad (6.13)$$

Finally, notice that  $u(v) = z^v(0)$  and, with the help of (6.10) and (6.13), we can conclude that

$$X^\sigma u(v) = \left( \frac{D}{dt} z^{v(t)} \right)(0) = -\left( z^v(0) \right)^2 - \mathcal{K}_k^b(P(\dot{\gamma}_v(0))) = -u(v)^2 - \mathcal{K}_k^b(P(v)).$$

Thus  $u$  is a measurable solution of (6.2).  $\square$

**Lemma 31.** *Let  $u$  be the measurable solution given by Lemma 30, then  $u$  satisfies that*

$$\sup |u| \leq \sqrt{-\max\{0, \min\{\mathcal{K}_k^b \circ P\}\}}$$

*Proof.* We prove the lemma by using a variation of the classical Sturm's argument (see for instance [dC15]). Let  $r > 0$  such that

$$\min \mathcal{K}_k^b \geq -r^2 \quad (6.14)$$

Consider the differential problem along  $\gamma_v$  given by

$$\ddot{x} - r^2 x = 0. \quad (6.15)$$

For  $s \neq 0$ , the function  $x$  given by

$$x_s(t) = \frac{e^{r(s-t)} + e^{r(t-s)}}{e^{rs} - e^{-rs}},$$

is the unique solution of (6.15) with boundary condition  $x_s(0) = 1$  and  $x_s(s) = 0$ . Observe that

$$\dot{x}_s(0) = -r \frac{e^{rs} + e^{-rs}}{e^{rs} - e^{-rs}},$$

so that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \dot{x}_s(0) = -r$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \dot{x}_s(0) = +r$ . Let  $a^v$  be as defined by (6.8) and consider the function

$$h_s = x_s \dot{a}^v - \dot{x}_s a^v.$$

In particular, it satisfies  $h_s(s) = -\dot{x}_s(s) \dot{a}^v(s)$  and because  $a^v$  is strictly positive we also get that

$$\dot{h}_s(t) = \dot{x}_s(t) \ddot{a}^v(t) - \ddot{x}_s a^v(s) = -(\mathcal{K}_k^b(P(\dot{\gamma}_v)) + r^2) x_s(t) a^v(t) < 0, \quad \forall |t| < |s|. \quad (6.16)$$

If  $s > 0$ , then  $\dot{x}_s(s) < 0$  and  $h_s(s) > 0$  which, by (6.16), implies that  $h_s(0) > 0$ . Therefore, we get the lower bound

$$u(v) = \dot{a}^v(0) > \dot{x}_s(0) \rightarrow -r \quad \text{for } s \rightarrow -\infty.$$

In the same manner, if  $s < 0$ , then  $\dot{x}_s(s) > 0$  and  $h_s(s) < 0$  which, by (6.16), implies that  $h_s(0) < 0$  and

$$u(v) = \dot{a}^v(0) < \dot{x}_s(0) \rightarrow r \quad \text{for } s \rightarrow +\infty.$$

□

### Proof of Theorem E

By Lemma 30 and Lemma 31, there exists a measurable and bounded function  $u : \Sigma_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  solution of the Riccati equation (6.2). Then  $u^2$  and  $X^\sigma u$  are integrable functions on  $\Sigma_k$  and we get

$$\int_{\Sigma_k} [X^\sigma u + u^2 + (\mathcal{K}_k^b \circ P)] d\mu_{Gk} = 0. \quad (6.17)$$

By (6.1) and by the fact that  $d\mu_{Gk} = \sqrt{2k} d\mu_{G\frac{1}{2}}$ , we get that

$$\sqrt{2k} \int_{SM} \mathcal{K}_k^b d\mu_{G\frac{1}{2}} = \int_{\Sigma_k} (\mathcal{K}_k^b \circ P) d\mu_{Gk} = - \int_{\Sigma_k} u^2 d\mu_{Gk} \leq 0. \quad (6.18)$$

The equality in (6.18) holds if and only if  $u = 0$  which implies that  $\mathcal{K}_k^b = 0$ . By looking at the definition (2.9) of  $\mathcal{K}_k^b$ , it follows immediately that if  $\mathcal{K}_k^b = 0$ , then  $db = 0$ , i.e. the function  $b$  is constant. By the Gauss-Bonnet Theorem, either  $(M, g)$  is the flat torus and  $b = 0$  or  $(M, g)$  is a closed surface of genus  $h > 1$  with  $\mathcal{K} = -\frac{b^2}{2k}$ . By [Pat06], if  $(M, g, b)$  is a magnetic system on a closed oriented surface of genus bigger than one such that  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $b$  are constants, then the Mañé critical value  $c(g, b) = \frac{b^2}{2\mathcal{K}}$  and  $\varphi_{c(g,b)}^\sigma$  is a horocycle flow.

## Bibliography

- [AB16] Luca Asselle and Gabriele Benedetti. The Lusternik-Fet theorem for autonomous Tonelli Hamiltonian systems on twisted cotangent bundles. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 8(3):545–570, 2016.
- [Abb13] Alberto Abbondandolo. Lectures on the free period Lagrangian action functional. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 13(2):397–430, 2013.
- [Ada11] Toshiaki Adachi. Kähler magnetic fields on Kähler manifolds of negative curvature. *Differ. Geom. Appl.*, 29:s2–s8, 2011.
- [Ada12] Toshiaki Adachi. A theorem of Hadamard-Cartan type for Kähler magnetic fields. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 64(3):969–984, 2012.
- [AM19] Luca Asselle and Marco Mazzucchelli. On Tonelli periodic orbits with low energy on surfaces. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 371(5):3001–3048, 2019.
- [AMMP17] Alberto Abbondandolo, Leonardo Macarini, Marco Mazzucchelli, and Gabriel P. Paternain. Infinitely many periodic orbits of exact magnetic flows on surfaces for almost every subcritical energy level. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 19(2):551–579, 2017.
- [AMP15] Alberto Abbondandolo, Leonardo Macarini, and Gabriel P Paternain. On the existence of three closed magnetic geodesics for subcritical energies. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 90(1):155–193, 2015.
- [AS09] Alberto Abbondandolo and Matthias Schwarz. A smooth pseudo-gradient for the Lagrangian action functional. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, 9(4):597–623, 2009.
- [BC91] Abbas Bahri and Jean-Michel Coron. The scalar-curvature problem on the standard three-dimensional sphere. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 95(1):106–172, 1991.
- [Ben16] Gabriele Benedetti. Lecture notes on closed orbits for twisted autonomous Tonelli Lagrangian flows. *arXiv preprint, arXiv:1605.00258*, 2016.
- [BG89] V. Benci and F. Giannoni. Periodic bounce trajectories with a low number of bounce points. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire*, 6(1):73–93, 1989.
- [BI94] D. Burago and S. Ivanov. Riemannian tori without conjugate points are flat. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 4(3):259–269, 1994.
- [Bia00] M. L. Bialy. Rigidity for periodic magnetic fields. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 20(6):1619–1626, 2000.
- [BT98] Abbas Bahri and Iskander A. Taimanov. Periodic orbits in magnetic fields and Ricci curvature of Lagrangian systems. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 350(7):2697–2717, 1998.

- [Cha93] Kung-ching Chang. *Infinite dimensional Morse theory*. Springer, 1993.
- [CMP04] Gonzalo Contreras, Leonardo Macarini, and Gabriel P. Paternain. Periodic orbits for exact magnetic flows on surfaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2004(8):361–387, 2004.
- [Con06] Gonzalo Contreras. The Palais-Smale condition on contact type energy levels for convex Lagrangian systems. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 27(3):321–395, 2006.
- [CZEL90] Vittorio Coti Zelati, Ivar Ekeland, and Pierre-Louis Lions. Index estimates and critical points of functionals not satisfying Palais-Smale. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci., IV. Ser.*, 17(4):569–581, 1990.
- [dC15] Manfredo Perdigão do Carmo. *Geometria Riemanniana*. Projeto Euclides. Rio de Janeiro: Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), 5th edition, 2nd printing edition, 2015.
- [DPSU07] Nurlan S. Dairbekov, Gabriel P. Paternain, Plamen Stefanov, and Gunther Uhlmann. The boundary rigidity problem in the presence of a magnetic field. *Adv. Math.*, 216(2):535–609, 2007.
- [EM02] Y. Eliashberg and N. Mishachev. *Introduction to the h-principle*, volume 48 of *Grad. Stud. Math.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2002.
- [GG08] Viktor L. Ginzburg and Başak Z. Gürel. The generalized Weinstein-Moser theorem. *Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci.*, 14:20–29, 2008.
- [Gin96] Viktor L. Ginzburg. On closed trajectories of a charge in a magnetic field. An application of symplectic geometry. In *Contact and symplectic geometry*, pages 131–148. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [Gin04] VL Ginzburg. A charge in a magnetic field: Arnold’s problems 1981–9, 1982–24, 1984–4, 1994–14, 1994–35, 1996–17, 1996–18. *Arnold’s problems*, pages 395–401, 2004.
- [Gou97] Norio Gouda. Magnetic flows of Anosov type. *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)*, 49(2):165–183, 1997.
- [Gou98] Norio Gouda. The theorem of E. Hopf under uniform magnetic fields. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 50(3):767–779, 1998.
- [GP74] Victor Guillemin and Alan Pollack. *Differential topology*. 1974.
- [Gre58] L. W. Green. A theorem of E. Hopf. *Mich. Math. J.*, 5:31–34, 1958.
- [Gro99] Stéphane Grognet. Magnetic flow on negative curvature. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 19(2):413–436, 1999.
- [Hop48] Eberhard Hopf. Closed surfaces without conjugate points. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 34:47–51, 1948.
- [HZ12] Helmut Hofer and Eduard Zehnder. *Symplectic invariants and Hamiltonian dynamics*. Birkhäuser, 2012.

- 
- [Kli59] Wilhelm Klingenberg. Contributions to Riemannian geometry in the large. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 69:654–666, 1959.
- [Kli78] Wilhelm Klingenberg. *Lectures on closed geodesics*, volume 230 of *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* Springer, Cham, 1978.
- [Mer10] Will J. Merry. Closed orbits of a charge in a weakly exact magnetic field. *Pac. J. Math.*, 247(1):189–212, 2010.
- [MR23] James Marshall Reber. Deformative magnetic marked length spectrum rigidity. (*To appear*) *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 2023.
- [NT84] S. P. Novikov and I. A. Taimanov. Periodic extremals of many-valued or not-everywhere-positive functionals. *Sov. Math., Dokl.*, 29:18–20, 1984.
- [Osu05] Osvaldo Osuna. Periodic orbits of weakly exact magnetic flows. *preprint*, 2005.
- [Pat06] Gabriel P. Paternain. Magnetic rigidity of horocycle flows. *Pac. J. Math.*, 225(2):301–323, 2006.
- [PP97] Gabriel P. Paternain and Miguel Paternain. First derivative of topological entropy for Anosov geodesic flows in the presence of magnetic fields. *Nonlinearity*, 10(1):121–131, 1997.
- [Str90] Michael Struwe. Existence of periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems on almost every energy surface. *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat., Nova Sér.*, 20(2):49–58, 1990.
- [Tai92] I. A. Taimanov. Closed extremals on two-dimensional manifolds. *Russ. Math. Surv.*, 47(2):1, 1992.
- [Tai10] I. A. Taimanov. Periodic magnetic geodesics on almost every energy level via variational methods. *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.*, 15(4-5):598–605, 2010.
- [Ush09] Michael Usher. Floer homology in disk bundles and symplectically twisted geodesic flows. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 3(1):61–101, 2009.
- [Woj00] Maciej P. Wojtkowski. Magnetic flows and Gaussian thermostats on manifolds of negative curvature. *Fundam. Math.*, 163(2):177–191, 2000.