

# 1 Energy

In this section the setting is as in the previous talk, i.e.  $M$  is a connected, closed manifold and  $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  Tonelli.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $L$  be a Tonelli Lagrangian on  $M$ . Recall the definition of the Hamiltonian

$$H : T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, H(x, p) := p(\text{Leg}^{-1}(x, p)) - L(\text{Leg}^{-1}(x, p)).$$

The energy associated to  $L$  is the function  $E : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, E := H \circ \text{Leg}$ , i.e.

$$E(x, v) := \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(x, v)(v) - L(x, v)$$

*Remark 1.2.* If  $L$  is Tonelli then its associated energy  $E$  is Tonelli as well.

*Example 1.3.* We consider the electromagnetic Lagrangians

$$L(x, v) = \frac{1}{2}g_x(v, v) + \theta_x(v) - U(x)$$

where  $g$  is a Riemannian metric on  $M$ ,  $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$  a 1-form and  $U \in C^\infty(M)$  a function on  $M$ . To compute the energy and Hamiltonian we first have to compute the conjugate momentum. For that we choose local coordinates on  $M$  and get:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(x, v) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i} dx^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} \left( \frac{1}{2} g_{jk} v^j v^k + \theta_j(x) v^j - U(x) \right) dx^i = g_x(v, \cdot) + \theta_x$$

Therefore the energy is given by:

$$E(x, v) = g(v, v) + \theta(v) - \left( \frac{1}{2} g_x(v, v) + \theta_x(v) - U(x) \right) = \frac{1}{2} g_x(v, v) + U(x).$$

This is just the sum of the kinetic and potential energy, the 1-form  $\theta$  doesn't affect the energy. Recall that the norm  $|\cdot|_x$  on  $T_x M$  induces a norm also denoted by  $|\cdot|_x$  on  $T_x^* M$ , which is given by  $|p|_x = \sup_{w \in T_x M, |w|_x \leq 1} |p(w)|$ . Now setting  $(x, p) = \text{Leg}(x, v)$  we compute the Hamiltonian:

$$H(x, p) = E(x, v) = \frac{1}{2} |v|_x^2 + U(x) = \frac{1}{2} |g_x(v, \cdot)|_x^2 + U(x) = \frac{1}{2} |p - \theta_x|_x^2 + U(x).$$

*Example 1.4.* Let  $L$  be a Tonelli Lagrangian,  $\theta$  a 1-form and  $U$  a function on  $M$ . Let  $\tilde{L}(x, v) := L(x, v) + \theta_x(v) - U(x)$ . For the associated energies  $E, \tilde{E}$  we get:

$$\tilde{E}(x, v) = E(x, v) + U(x).$$

## 2 Tonelli Theorem and action minimizers

In this section let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a < b$  and  $M$  a connected manifold.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be a connected, closed manifold,  $L$  a Tonelli Lagrangian. For each  $x_0, x_1 \in M$  and homotopy class  $h$  of curves connecting  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ , there is a  $\gamma_h \in C^2_{x_0, x_1}([a, b], M; h)$  minimizing the action in the set  $C^2_{x_0, x_1}([a, b], M; h)$ .*

Firstly we will consider absolutely continuous curves to get better compactness properties. Secondly, the idea is to lift the problem of finding action minimizers in a fixed homotopy class to the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$ . On  $\tilde{M}$  the task will then be to find action minimizers. So far we have only considered  $M$  compact. But we don't know whether the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  is compact as well. We therefore have to consider the non-compact case as well.

**Definition 2.2.** *Let  $d$  be the metric on  $M$  obtained by some fixed Riemannian metric on  $M$ .*

*A curve  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$  is called absolutely continuous if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any family of disjoint intervals  $(]a_i, b_i])_{i=1, \dots, n}$  all included in  $[a, b]$  and satisfying  $\sum_i (b_i - a_i) < \delta$ , we have  $\sum_i d(\gamma(b_i), \gamma(a_i)) < \epsilon$ . We denote by  $C^{ac}([a, b], M)$  the set of absolutely continuous curves  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ .*

*Remark 2.3.* For a curve  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$  the property of being absolutely continuous is independent of the chosen Riemannian metric, see [5, Proposition 3.18].

*Remark 2.4.* Let  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$  be an absolutely continuous curve. Then:  $\dot{\gamma} \in T_\gamma M$  exists almost everywhere on  $[a, b]$  and

$$d(\gamma(a), \gamma(b)) \leq \int_a^b \|\dot{\gamma}(s)\|_{\gamma(s)} ds$$

*Remark 2.5.* For a Tonelli Lagrangian  $L$  and an absolutely continuous curve  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$  the action  $A_L(\gamma)$  is well defined and in  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ . (Since  $L$  is bounded below)

**Definition 2.6.** *Let  $L$  be Tonelli,  $x_0, x_1 \in M$ . An absolutely continuous curve  $\gamma_L \in C^{ac}_{x_0, x_1}([a, b], M)$  is called Tonelli minimizer if*

$$A_L(\gamma_L) = \min_{\gamma \in C^{ac}_{x_0, x_1}([a, b], M)} A_L(\gamma)$$

**Theorem 2.7.** *(Tonelli theorem) Let  $M$  be a connected manifold,  $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a Tonelli Lagrangian bounded below by a complete Riemannian metric on  $M$ , i.e. there exist a complete Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  and some  $B \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $L(x, v) \geq |v|_g + B$ .*

*Then for each  $x_0, x_1 \in M$  there exists a Tonelli minimizer.*

*Remark 2.8.* If  $M$  is compact, then the assumption of  $L$  being Tonelli suffices.

*Proof.* We only sketch the proof for the Tonelli theorem. The main idea is to show that for each  $x_0, x_1 \in M, C \in \mathbb{R}$  the set

$$S_C^{x_0, x_1} := \{\gamma \in C_{x_0, x_1}^{ac}([a, b], M) \mid A_L(\gamma) \leq C\}$$

is a compact subset of  $C^{ac}([a, b], M)$  for the topology of uniform convergence. Using this fact one can proceed as follows: Set  $C := \inf_{\gamma \in C_{x_0, x_1}^{ac}([a, b], M)} A_L(\gamma)$  (exists since  $L$  is bounded below). Then the sets  $S_{C+\frac{1}{n}}^{x_0, x_1}$  form a decreasing sequence of non-empty compact sets. Therefore the intersection  $\bigcap_n S_{C+\frac{1}{n}}^{x_0, x_1}$  is nonempty. Each curve in this intersection is a minimizer.  $\square$

We now sketch how the compactness of the sets  $S_C^{x_0, x_1}$  in the Tonelli theorem can be proven when  $M$  is compact:

1. The sets  $S_C = \{\gamma \in C^{ac}([a, b], M) \mid A_L(\gamma) \leq C\}$  are absolutely equicontinuous, i.e for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for each disjoint family  $(]a_i, b_i])_{i=1, \dots, n} \subset [a, b]$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) < \delta$  we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n d(\gamma(a_i), \gamma(b_i)) < \epsilon$  for all  $\gamma \in S_C$ . (Here one needs superlinearity) This implies  $cl_{C^0} S_C \subset C^{ac}([a, b], M)$ .
2. If  $(\gamma_n)_n \subset S_C$  converges uniformly to  $\gamma$ , then  $A_L(\gamma) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_L(\gamma_n)$ .
3. Apply the Arzela-Ascoli theorem: By 1. and 2.,  $S_C$  is closed and equicontinuous. Since  $M$  is compact, the sets  $\{\gamma(t) \mid \gamma \in S_C\}$  are precompact for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . Thus  $S_C$  is compact in the  $C^0$  topology.  $S_C^{x_0, x_1} \subset S_C$  is compact as a closed subset of a compact set.

In the following we will always assume  $L \geq 0$ . This is possible by adding a constant, since  $L$  is bounded below.

*Proof.* of 1.:  
Set for  $r > 0$ :

$$K(r) := \inf \left\{ \frac{L(x, v)}{|v|_x} \mid (x, v) \in TM, |v|_x \geq r \right\}$$

By superlinearity of  $L$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} K(r) = +\infty.$$

Thus for given  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find  $r > 0$  with

$$\frac{C}{K(r)} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Let  $\gamma \in S_C, J := \bigcup_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]$  and  $E := J \cap \{|\dot{\gamma}|_\gamma > r\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} K(r) \sum_{i=1}^N d(\gamma(a_i), \gamma(b_i)) &\leq K(r) \int_E |\dot{\gamma}(s)|_{\gamma(s)} ds + K(r) \int_{J-E} |\dot{\gamma}(s)|_{\gamma(s)} ds \\ &\leq \int_E L(\gamma(s), \dot{\gamma}(s)) ds + K(r)r\mu(J) \\ &\leq C + K(r)r\mu(J) \quad (L \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by  $K(r)$  we obtain:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N d(\gamma(a_i), \gamma(b_i)) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + r\mu(J),$$

which proves that the set  $S_C$  is absolutely equicontinuous. Here  $\mu$  denotes the lebesgue measure. (This also implies  $cl_{C^0} S_C \subset C^{ac}$ , since the uniform limit of an absolutely equicontinuous family of absolutely continuous curves is absolutely continuous)  $\square$

*Proof.* of 2.: Let  $(\gamma_n) \subset S_C$  converge uniformly to  $\gamma$ . From the above discussion we know  $\gamma \in C^{ac}([a, b], M)$  and want to show  $A_L(\gamma) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_L(\gamma_n)$ . The main steps to show this are:

- Reduction to the case  $im\gamma \subset U$  where  $(U, \phi)$  is a chart on  $M$ .  
We cover  $im\gamma$  by finitely many charts  $U_i$  such that there is a subdivision  $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = b$  with  $\gamma([a_{i-1}, a_i]) \subset U_i$ . By uniform convergence of  $\gamma_n$  we can assume  $\gamma_n([a_{i-1}, a_i]) \subset U_i$ . If the assertion holds for  $im\gamma \subset U$ , where  $U$  is a chart on  $M$ , then:

$$A_L(\gamma) = \sum_i A_L(\gamma|_{[a_{i-1}, a_i]}) \leq \sum_i \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_L(\gamma_n|_{[a_{i-1}, a_i]}) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_L(\gamma_n).$$

By the identification  $U = \phi(U)$  we can from now on assume that  $im\gamma$  is contained in an open subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- Lemma: Let  $K \subset U$  be compact,  $r > 0, \epsilon > 0$ . There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x \in K, y \in K, |x - y| \leq \delta$  and  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n, |v| \leq r$ , then

$$L(x, v) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(x, v)(w - v) - \epsilon \leq L(y, w).$$

*Proof.* We define

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &:= \sup\left\{\left|\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(x, v)\right| \mid x \in K, |v| \leq r\right\}, \\ C_2 &:= \sup\left\{L(x, v) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(x, v)v \mid x \in K, |v| \leq r\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we choose  $s > 0$  such that for all  $R \geq s$ :

$$K(s) \cdot R \geq C_2 + C_1 \cdot R,$$

where  $K(s)$  is from the above proof. If  $|w| \geq s$ , then

$$L(y, w) \geq K(s)|w| \geq C_2 + C_1|w| \geq L(x, v) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(x, v)(w - v).$$

Hence we only have to find a  $\delta$  such that the asserted inequality holds if  $|w| \leq s$ . Since  $L$  is convex,

$$L(x, w) \geq L(x, v) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(x, v)(w - v).$$

By compactness of  $\{(x, w) \mid x \in K, |w| \leq s\}$  we obtain the desired  $\delta$ .  $\square$

- Apply this lemma with the compact (due to uniform convergence) set  $K = im\gamma \cup \bigcup_n im\gamma_n$  and set  $E_r := \{|\dot{\gamma}| \leq r\}$  to get for  $n$  big enough:

$$\int_{E_r} [L(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(\dot{\gamma}_n - \dot{\gamma}) - \epsilon] ds \leq \int_{E_r} L(\gamma_n, \dot{\gamma}_n) ds \leq A_L(\gamma_n).$$

- Show

$$\int_{E_r} [\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(\gamma, \dot{\gamma})(\dot{\gamma}_n - \dot{\gamma})] ds \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This follows from Lemma 1.3.3 in [1, Maz]. To apply this Lemma note that as in the proof of 1. we see that  $(\dot{\gamma}_n)_n$  is uniformly integrable and therefore  $\dot{\gamma}_n - \dot{\gamma}$  is uniformly integrable.

- Let  $r \rightarrow \infty$  and get:

$$A_L(\gamma) - \epsilon|b - a| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_L(\gamma_n).$$

- Let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then  $A_L(\gamma) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_L(\gamma_n)$ .

$\square$

This proof doesn't work for the noncompact case, since superlinearity holds only above compact subsets of  $M$ . Even if we could show that  $S_C$  is equicontinuous, we couldn't apply Arzela-Ascoli, because the sets  $\{\gamma(t) \mid \gamma \in S_C^{x_0, x_1}\}$  aren't necessarily precompact. But we can modify this proof to obtain that for  $K \subset M$  compact, the sets  $S_{C, K} := \{\gamma \in S_C \mid im\gamma \subset K\}$  are equicontinuous and therefore compact. Let's see how the fact that  $L$  is bounded below by a complete Riemannian metric can be used to show that  $S_C^{x_0, x_1}$  is compact. Let  $\gamma \in S_C^{x_0, x_1}$ , then for each  $t \in [a, b]$ :

$$d(\gamma(a), \gamma(t)) \leq \int_a^t |\dot{\gamma}|_\gamma ds \leq A_L(\gamma) - B \cdot (t - a) \leq C + B(b - a) =: R,$$

and hence  $S_C^{x_0, x_1} \subset S_{C, \bar{B}_{x_0}(R)}$ . Since the Riemannian metric is complete, closed metric balls in  $M$  are compact. Thus  $S_C^{x_0, x_1}$  is compact, because it is a closed subset of the compact set  $S_{C, \bar{B}_{x_0}(R)}$ . In the next talk we will see that for a Tonelli Lagrangian, Tonelli minimizers have the same regularity as the Lagrangian.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Suppose that  $L$  is a Tonelli Lagrangian on  $M$ . Let  $\gamma_L$  be a Tonelli minimizer. If  $L$  is  $C^r$ , then  $\gamma_L$  is  $C^r$  as well.*

Let us now return to Theorem 2.1, which stated the existence of action minimizers in a given homotopy class. Let  $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  be the universal cover. We fix  $\tilde{x}_0 \in \pi^{-1}(x_0)$ . Then we have a bijection  $f : [C_{x_0, x_1}] \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(x_1)$  between homotopy classes of curves connecting  $x_0, x_1$  and elements of the fiber of  $x_1$ . For  $[\gamma] \in [C_{x_0, x_1}]$  we choose a lift  $\tilde{\gamma}$  of  $\gamma$  and set  $f([\gamma]) = \tilde{\gamma}(b)$ . For each homotopy class  $h \in [C_{x_0, x_1}]$  we have a bijection  $h \rightarrow C_{\tilde{x}_0, f(h)}, \gamma \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma}$  where  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is the lift of  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma(a) = \tilde{x}_0$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}(b) = f(h)$ .

*Proof.* of 2.1

The idea is to apply the Tonelli theorem to the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$  and use the 1 : 1 correspondence between curves in  $h$  and curves in  $\tilde{M}$  with end point  $f(h)$ .

First we consider the universal cover  $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  and set  $\tilde{g} := \pi^*g$ . We now show the the Lagrangian  $\tilde{L} := L \circ d\pi$  on  $T\tilde{M}$  satisfies the assumptions of the Tonelli theorem.  $\tilde{L}$  Tonelli can easily be verified.. Since  $M$  is compact  $g$  is complete. Since  $\pi$  is a Riemannian covering,  $\tilde{g}$  is also complete. Since  $L$  is superlinear we can find  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $L(x, v) \geq |v|_{g, x} + C$  for all  $(x, v) \in TM$  and therefore  $\tilde{L}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{v}) = L(\pi\tilde{x}, d\pi\tilde{v}) \geq |d\pi\tilde{v}|_{g, \pi\tilde{x}} + C = |\tilde{v}|_{\tilde{g}, \tilde{x}} + C$ .

By the Tonelli theorem there is a  $\tilde{\gamma}_h \in C_{\tilde{x}_0, f(h)}^{ac}([a, b], \tilde{M})$  such that

$$A_{\tilde{L}}(\tilde{\gamma}_h) = \min_{\tilde{\gamma} \in C_{\tilde{x}_0, f(h)}^{ac}([a, b], \tilde{M})} A_{\tilde{L}}(\tilde{\gamma}) = \min_{\gamma \in C_{x_0, x_1}^{ac}([a, b], M; h)} A_L(\gamma).$$

In the second equation we used the bijection  $h \rightarrow C_{\tilde{x}_0, f(h)}, \gamma \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma}$  and the following two facts for a lift  $\tilde{\gamma}$  of some curve  $\gamma \in C([a, b], M)$ :

- 1)  $A_{\tilde{L}}(\tilde{\gamma}) = A_L(\gamma)$  if  $\gamma$  is absolutely continuous and
- 2)  $\gamma$  absolutely continuous iff  $\tilde{\gamma}$  absolutely continuous: Let  $\tilde{U}_\alpha \subset \tilde{M}, U_\alpha \subset M$  such that  $\pi : \tilde{U}_\alpha \rightarrow U_\alpha$  is a diffeomorphism,  $\tilde{U}_\alpha$  and  $U_\alpha$  are uniformly normal neighborhoods and the  $\tilde{U}_\alpha$  covering  $im\tilde{\gamma}$ . There is a lebesgue number  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for  $s, t \in [a, b], |s - t| < \delta_0$ , we have  $\tilde{\delta}([s, t]) \subset \tilde{U}_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha$ . For such  $s, t$  we have:  $d_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{\gamma}(s), \tilde{\gamma}(t)) = d_{\tilde{U}_\alpha}(\tilde{\gamma}(s), \tilde{\gamma}(t)) = d_{U_\alpha}(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)) = d_M(\gamma(s), \gamma(t))$  where the first and third equality follow from  $U_\alpha, \tilde{U}_\alpha$  being uniformly normal neighborhoods and the second from  $\pi : \tilde{U}_\alpha \rightarrow U_\alpha$  being an isometry. The stated equivalence now follows if we choose  $\delta < \delta_0$ . This can be shown more easily if we use the definition of absolute continuity using charts.

Therefore the curve  $\gamma_h := \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}_h$  has the desired property. By the preceding regularity theorem,  $\gamma_h$  is  $C^2$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.10.* Let  $M$  be compact and  $L$  Tonelli. Fix  $x_0, x_1 \in M$ . Then

$$C_{x_0, x_1}^2([a, b], M) = \coprod_{h \in [C_{x_0, x_1}]} C_{x_0, x_1}^2([a, b], M; h).$$

For  $h \in [C_{x_0, x_1}]$  there exists a minimizer  $\gamma_h$  for  $A_L$  in  $C_{x_0, x_1}^2([a, b], M; h)$ . Moreover there exists a minimizer  $\gamma_L$  for  $A_L$  in  $C_{x_0, x_1}^2([a, b], M)$ . In particular there exists a homotopy class  $h \in [C_{x_0, x_1}]$  such that  $\gamma_L = \gamma_{h_L}$  and

$$A_L(\gamma_L) = \min_{h \in [C_{x_0, x_1}]} A_L(\gamma_h).$$

Now consider a closed 1-form  $\theta$ . By talk 1  $\gamma_h$  is still a minimizer for  $A_{L+\theta}$  in  $C_{x_0, x_1}^2([a, b], M; h)$ , with  $A_{L+\theta}(\gamma_h) = A_L(\gamma_h) + C_h$  for some constant  $C_h$ . If  $\theta$  is exact, then  $C_h$  is independent of  $h$  and

$$\{\gamma_L \in C_{x_0, x_1}^{ac}([a, b], M)\} = \{\gamma_{L+\theta} \in C_{x_0, x_1}^{ac}([a, b], M)\}.$$

However, if  $\theta$  is not exact, then it might happen that this is false because

$$\begin{aligned} A_{L+\theta}(\gamma_{L+\theta}) &= \min_{h \in [C_{x_0, x_1}]} (A_L(\gamma_h) + C_h), \\ A_{L+\theta}(\gamma_L) &= \min_{h \in [C_{x_0, x_1}]} A_L(\gamma_h) + C_{[\gamma_L]} \end{aligned}$$

If  $c \in H_{deRham}^1(M)$ , we can then consider  $Ton^c := \{\gamma_{L+\theta} \in C_{x_0, x_1}^{ac}([a, b], M)\}$ , where  $[\theta] = c$ . By the discussion above  $Ton^c$  does not depend on the representative  $\theta$ . We will see the role of  $H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$  and of  $H_1(M; \mathbb{R})$  in more details when we will consider minimizing measures.

## 2.1 Appendix

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $(M, d)$  be a metric space and  $(K_n)$  a family of decreasing nonempty compact subsets of  $M$ . Then  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_i$  is nonempty.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_n \in K_n$ . Since  $(x_n)_n$  is contained in the compact set  $K_1$ , there is a subsequence  $(x_{n_j})$  converging to some  $x \in K_1$ . For each  $n$  and  $j$  with  $n_j > n$ ,  $x_{n_j} \in K_n$ . Since  $K_n$  is compact this implies  $x \in K_n$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Marco Mazzucchelli, *Critical point theory for Lagrangian systems*, Progress in Mathematics, 293. Birkhuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012.
- [2] Albert Fathi, *Weak KAM Theorem in Lagrangian Dynamics*, Preliminary Version, June 2008.
- [3] Gonzalo Contreras and Renato Iturriaga, *Global minimizers of autonomous Lagrangians*, 22 Coloquio Brasileiro de Matematica, IMPA, 1999.

- [4] Alfonso Sorrentino, *Action-minimizing methods in Hamiltonian dynamics. An introduction to Aubry-Mather theory.*, Mathematical Notes, 50. Princeton University Press, 2015.
- [5] Burtscher Annegret, *Length structures on manifolds with continuous Riemannian metrics*, New York Journal of Mathematics 21, 2015. <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2015/21-12v.pdf>