

HOLOMORPHIC TENSORS ON SUBVARIETIES
OF THE SIEGEL MODULAR VARIETY
EBERHARD FREITAG (HEIDELBERG)

Introduction.

The Siegel modular variety

$$A_n = H_n / \Gamma_n, \quad \Gamma_n = \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$H_n = \text{Siegel upper half plane of genus } n$$

is for sufficiently high genus n of general type. This important result is due to Y. Tai [8]. His bound ($n \geq 9$) has been improved (see [3] for $n \geq 8$, [6] for $n \geq 7$).

It may be expected that similar structure theorems are true for subvarieties of A_n if they are not in too special position (in a sense which has to be made precise).

One promising method to investigate a subvariety $Y \subset A_n$ is to construct holomorphic tensors

$$T \in \Omega^{\otimes d}(\tilde{A}_n)$$

on a desingularization \tilde{A}_n of a compactification of A_n . The restriction of such a tensor to Y extends to a holomorphic tensor on any nonsingular variety \tilde{Y} which is birationally equivalent to Y . If the tensor T is a multicanonical form

$$T \in (A^N \Omega)^{\otimes r}(\tilde{A}_n), \quad N = n(n+1)/2,$$

the restriction to any proper subvariety vanishes.

For this reason we have to consider more general types of tensors. In this connection the following weakened form of the notion "general type" seems to be natural.

Definition: A nonsingular compact irreducible algebraic variety X is of type G ("general") if there exist $n = \dim X$ algebraically independent rational functions f_1, \dots, f_n and a holomorphic tensor

$$T \in \Omega^{\otimes d}(X), \quad d > 0, \quad T \neq 0,$$

such that the tensors $f_1 T, \dots, f_n T$ are holomorphic on X .

This notion is of course birational invariant. We call an arbitrary irreducible variety of type G if it is birational equivalent to a nonsingular compact variety with this property.

Of course varieties of type G are far away from being unirational.

Our main result is the following

Theorem: There is a certain bound n_0 such that for $n \geq n_0$ each irreducible subvariety

$$Y \subset A_n = H_n / \Gamma_n$$

of codimension 1 is of type G .

Let

$$\tilde{A}_n \xrightarrow{\pi} \bar{A}_n \supset A_n$$

be a desingularization of the Satake-compactification. By means of the explicit construction of such an \tilde{A}_n [1] we may deduce:

In contrast to the above theorem no irreducible subvariety Y of codimension 1 which is contained in the inverse image of the boundary

$$\pi(Y) \subset \bar{A}_n \setminus A_n$$

is of type G .

A remarkable consequence of this observation and the above theorem is the following (compare [5], Satz 7).

Corollary 1. There is no birational automorphism of A_n , $n \geq n_0$, besides the identity.

Equivalently: Each automorphism of the field $K(\Gamma_n)$ of modular functions which fixes \mathbb{C} is trivial.

Another consequence of the theorem is the following minimality property of A_n (compare [5], S. 33, Folgerung).

Corollary 2. Let $(A_n)_{reg}$ ($n \geq n_0$) be the regular locus of A_n and \tilde{A}_n a nonsingular compactification

$$\tilde{A}_n \supset (A_n)_{reg} .$$

Let

$$\pi : \tilde{A}_n \rightarrow X$$

be any birational everywhere holomorphic map. The restriction

$$\pi|_{(A_n)_{reg}} : (A_n)_{reg} \rightarrow X$$

is an open embedding.

The tensors we are considering are of the form

$$(1) \quad T = fT_0 .$$

Here f is a usual scalar-valued Siegel modular form and

$$(2) \quad T_0 \in Z_n^{\otimes d}$$

where $Z_n^{\otimes d}$ is the dual of the tangent space of H_n , i.e. the space of symmetric n -rowed matrices,

$$Z_n \cong \text{Symm}^2(\mathbb{C}^n) .$$

The "constant tensor" T_0 has to be invariant under the natural action of $Sl(n, \mathbb{C})$. For a suitable chosen weight of f the tensor T will then be invariant under the modular group Γ_n .

In the paper [5] I used scalar-valued Hilbert-modular forms to prove similar results for Hilbert-modular varieties of high level. During my stay at Harvard 1981 I had the chance to discuss with D. Mumford the possibility of constructing holomorphic tensors on a nonsingular compact model \tilde{M}_n of the variety M_n (the moduli variety of curves of genus n).

D. Mumford gave an example of a constant $Sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant

tensor T_0 whose restriction to M_n does not vanish. It is possible to investigate the conditions which a modular form f must satisfy so that

$$fT_0^{\otimes m}$$

defines a holomorphic tensor on \tilde{M}_n . It is further possible to prove the existence of such f by means of the Mumford-Hirzebruch-proportionality theorem. (This method has been used by Tai [8] to prove the existence of many multicanonical tensors on \tilde{A}_n .) But it seems to be very hard to get concrete examples of such modular forms f which do not vanish identically on M_n .

I still believe that the indicated method is good enough to prove structure theorems for M_n and for many subvarieties of M_n .

The present paper is of course highly influenced by many conversations with D. Mumford.

§ 1. Γ_n -invariant tensors.

The symplectic group $Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$ acts on the Siegel upper half plane H_n by means of the well known formula

$$(3) \quad Z + MZ = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

The derivative of M at a point $Z_0 \in H_n$ is given by

$$(4) \quad (dM)(Z_0) : Z_n \rightarrow Z_n \\ W \rightarrow (CZ_0 + C)^{-1}W(CZ_0 + D)^{-1}.$$

Hereby Z_n denotes the tangent space of H_n ,

$$Z_n = \{Z = Z' = Z^{(n)}\}.$$

There is a natural action of $Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$ on Z_n , namely

$$W \rightarrow \rho(A)W = A'WA, \quad A \in Gl(n, \mathbb{C}).$$

The representation (Z_n, ρ) is isomorphic with the natural representation of $Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$ on $Symm^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. We denote by $Z'_n = \text{Hom}(Z_n, \mathbb{C})$ the dual space of Z_n and by ρ' the contra-variant representation.

A holomorphic tensor on H_n is a holomorphic map

$$T : H_n \rightarrow Z_n^{\otimes d} = Z_n^{\otimes \mathbb{C}} \otimes \dots \otimes Z_n^{\otimes \mathbb{C}} .$$

The tensor T is invariant under a symplectic substitution M if and only if

$$(5) \quad T(MZ) = \rho^{\otimes d} (CZ + D)T(Z) .$$

We are interested in tensors T which are invariant under some subgroup $\Gamma \subset Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$, commensurable with the modular group $\Gamma_n = Sp(n, \mathbb{Z})$. We want to construct such tensors by means of usual (scalar-valued) Siegel modular forms. A modular form of weight $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a holomorphic function

$$f : H_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

with the transformation property

$$(6) \quad f(MZ) = \det(CZ + D)^r f(Z) \quad , \quad M \in \Gamma .$$

If $n = 1$ a well known growth condition at infinity has to be added.

The Jacobian determinant of a symplectic substitution is

$$(7) \quad \det(CZ + D)^{-(n+1)} \quad ,$$

i.e. modular forms of weight $r(n+1)$ correspond to multi-canonical tensors of degree r .

It is a remarkable fact that scalar-valued modular forms also can be used to construct tensors of other types. The reason is, that in the tensor space

$$Z_n^{\otimes d} \quad , \quad d \text{ suitable} \quad ,$$

there exist tensors $T_0 \neq 0$ which are invariant under $Sl(n, \mathbb{C})$.

1.1 Lemma: Let

$$T_0 \in Z_n^{\otimes nr/2} = \text{Symm}^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes nr/2} \quad (nr \equiv 0 \pmod{2})$$

be a $Sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant tensor and f a modular form of weight r with respect to Γ . The tensor

$$T = fT_0$$

is Γ -invariant.

Proof. The $Sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariance of T_0 implies

$$T_0|A \quad (:= \rho^{\otimes nr/2} T_0) = (\det A)^m T_0 .$$

The exponent m can be determined if one specializes $A = aE$ ($E =$ unit matrix). One obtains $m = r$.

Some examples of tensors T_0 .

1) A symmetric tensor.

Symmetric tensors in $Z_n^{\otimes d}$ can be identified with polynomials on Z_n . Let T_0 be the $Sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ W &\rightarrow \det W . \end{aligned}$$

If f is any modular form of even weight $2r$ with respect to Γ then

$$f \cdot T_0^{\otimes r}$$

is a holomorphic Γ -invariant symmetric tensor on H_n .

2) Multicanonical tensors.

If f is modular form of weight $r(n+1)$, the tensor

$$(8) \quad f \cdot T_0^r, \quad T_0 = \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} dz_{ik}$$

is Γ -invariant.

3) To get more complicated examples we consider polynomials $P(W_1, \dots, W_k)$ on $Z_n \times \dots \times Z_n$ with the property

$$a) \quad (9) \quad P(t_1 W_1, \dots, t_k W_k) = t_1^{d_1} \dots t_k^{d_k} P(W_1, \dots, W_k) .$$

There exists a unique multilinear form on $Z_n^{(d_1 + \dots + d_k)}$

$$M(W_1^{(1)}, \dots, W_1^{(d_1)}, \dots, W_k^{(1)}, \dots, W_k^{(d_k)})$$

with the property

$$i) \quad P(W_1, \dots, W_k) = M(\overbrace{W_1, \dots, W_1}^{d_1}, \dots, \overbrace{W_k, \dots, W_k}^{d_k})$$

$$ii) \quad M \text{ is symmetric in each } (W_v^{(1)}, \dots, W_v^{(d_v)}) .$$

We identify P with the tensor M

$$P \in Z_n^{\otimes (d_1 + \dots + d_k)} .$$

We impose on P a further condition:

b) $P(W_1, \dots, W_k)$ depends only on the Plücker-coordinates of (W_1, \dots, W_k) , i.e. on

$$W_1 \wedge \dots \wedge W_k \in \Lambda^k Z_n .$$

It is easy to be seen that this is equivalent with

$$P \in \text{Symm}^d(\Lambda^k Z_n) = \text{Symm}^d(\Lambda^k(\text{Symm}^2(\mathbb{C}^n))) ,$$

$$d = d_1 = \dots = d_k .$$

As we already mentioned we are interested in $Sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant polynomials P :

c) $P(A'W_1A, \dots, A'W_kA) = P(W_1, \dots, W_k)$, $A \in Sl(n, \mathbb{C})$

which implies

$$(10) \text{ c') } P(A'W_1A, \dots, A'W_kA) = (\det A)^{2kd/n} P(W_1, \dots, W_k) .$$

Such polynomials arise in the theory of Chow forms [7]. The idea to use Chow forms and especially the following example is due to Mumford.

The set of matrices

$$(11) \quad Z_n[h] = \{W \in Z_n, \text{rank}(W) \leq h\} , \quad 0 \leq h \leq n$$

is an irreducible algebraic variety, invariant under the action of $Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$. Each $W \in Z_n[h]$ can be written in the form

$$W = A'A , \quad A = A^{(h,n)} ,$$

A is unique up to left multiplication with an orthogonal matrix. The dimension of the orthogonal group $O(h, \mathbb{C})$ is $h(h-1)/2$. We therefore obtain

$$(12) \quad k := \dim Z_n[h] = hn - h(h-1)/2 .$$

To compute the Chow form of $Z_n[h]$ (more precisely of the corresponding projective variety in the projective space of Z_n) one has to consider intersections with hyperplanes. Any hyperplane can be written in the form

$$(13) \quad H_S = \{W \in Z_n, \sigma(WS) = 0\} , \quad S \in Z_n \setminus \{0\} \quad (\sigma = \text{trace}).$$

The Chow form is - up to a constant factor - the unique

irreducible polynomial $P(W_1, \dots, W_k)$ such that

$$(14) \quad H_{W_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{W_k} \cap Z_n[h] \neq \{0\} \iff P(W_1, \dots, W_k) = 0.$$

1.2 Lemma: There exists (up to a constant factor) a unique irreducible polynomial

$$P(W_1, \dots, W_k), \quad k = nh - h(h-1)/2 \quad (0 < h \leq n)$$

such that the following two conditions are equivalent:

a) $P(W_1, \dots, W_k) = 0.$

b) There exists a matrix $S \in Z_n[h]$, $S \neq 0$, with the property

$$\sigma(W_1 S) = \dots = \sigma(W_k S) = 0.$$

This polynomial defines a tensor

$$P \in \text{Symm}^d(\Lambda^k Z_n)$$

which is invariant under $Sl(n, \mathbb{C})$.

A dual construction yields

1.3 Lemma: There exists (up to a constant factor) a unique irreducible polynomial

$$P(W_1, \dots, W_k), \quad k = n(n+1)/2 - nh + h(h-1)/2$$

such that the following two conditions are equivalent:

a) $P(W_1, \dots, W_k) = 0.$

b) $(\mathbb{C}W_1 + \dots + \mathbb{C}W_k) \cap Z_n[h] \neq \{0\}.$

This polynomial defines a tensor

$$P \in \text{Symm}^d(\Lambda^k Z_n)$$

which is invariant under $Sl(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Let $H \subset Z_n$ be a linear subspace. By means of the natural map $Z_n \rightarrow H$ we obtain a restriction map

$$Z_n^{\odot d} \rightarrow H^{\odot d}$$

$$T \rightarrow T|_H.$$

1.4 Lemma: Let $H \subset Z_n$ be any linear subspace of co-dimension 1. Let $P = P_h$ be the tensor defined in 1.3.

Assume

$$h = 1, \quad n > 1.$$

Then

$$P|_H \neq 0.$$

Proof. Let

$$H = \{W \in Z_n, \sigma(WS) = 0\}, \quad S = S' \neq 0.$$

The invariance of P under $Sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ allows us to replace

$$S \rightarrow S[A] = A'SA, \quad A \in Gl(n, \mathbb{C}).$$

Therefore we may assume

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & \cdot & & & & & & & & & \\ & & \cdot & & & & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & & & & & \\ & & & & 0 & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \cdot & & & & & \\ & & & & & & 0 & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \cdot & & & \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & & & & & & 0 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

The space

$$\{W = W', w_{11} = \dots = w_{nn} = 0\}$$

is contained in H . Its dimension is $n(n-1)/2$. Take any

basis $W_1, \dots, W_{n(n-1)/2}$ of this space. We claim

$$P(W_1, \dots, W_{n(n-1)/2}) \neq 0.$$

This means precisely that no non trivial linear combination of the W_j -s has rank ≤ 1 and this follows from the simple fact that no symmetric matrix with zero-diagonal is of rank 1.

Let $Y \subset H_n/\Gamma$ be an algebraic subvariety, T a tensor on H_n . We say that T vanishes on Y if

$$(15) \quad T|_{[p^{-1}(Y)]_{\text{reg}}} = 0.$$

Here $[p^{-1}(Y)]_{\text{reg}}$ is the regular locus of the inverse image of Y under the natural projection $p : H_n \rightarrow H_n/\Gamma$.

From 1.1 and 1.4 we obtain

1.5 Lemma: Let

a) $P = P_1 \in \text{Symm}^d(\Lambda^{n(n-1)/2} \mathbb{Z}'_n)$

be the tensor defined in 1.3 ($h = 1$),

b) f a scalar-valued modular form of an arbitrary weight r ,

c) $Y \subset H_n/\Gamma$ an irreducible subvariety of codimension 1,

d) m a natural number such that $m' = mr/d(n-1)$ is integral.

The Γ -invariant tensor $f^{m'} \cdot P^{m'}$ vanishes on Y if and only if the modular form f vanishes (as a function) on Y (i.e. on $p^{-1}(Y)$).

§ 2. Extension of holomorphic tensors to smooth compactifications.

In this section we assume $n \geq 3$. The set of elliptic fixed points $\text{Fix}(\Gamma_n)$ of the modular group $\Gamma_n = \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is of dimension ≥ 2 . Therefore

$$(16) \quad H_n^{\circ}/\Gamma_n, \quad H_n^{\circ} := H_n - \text{Fix}(\Gamma_n)$$

is the regular locus of H_n/Γ_n . We denote by $\widetilde{H_n/\Gamma_n}$ a smooth compactification of H_n°/Γ_n which lies over the Satake-compactification $\overline{H_n/\Gamma_n}$,

$$(17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_n^{\circ}/\Gamma_n & \hookrightarrow & \widetilde{H_n/\Gamma_n} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \overline{H_n/\Gamma_n} & \end{array} .$$

It is possible to investigate the conditions that a holomorphic tensor on H_n°/Γ_n extends holomorphically to $\widetilde{H_n/\Gamma_n}$ without making use of an explicit construction of a smooth compactification. The method is described in detail in [3], [4] for the main-congruence-subgroup of level $l \geq 3$ (in this case no elliptic fixed-points occur) and rather sketchily for Γ_n and multicanonical tensors in [3], pp. 195.

We now give the calculations in some detail for tensors of the type

$$T = fP, \quad P \in \text{Symm}^d(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{Z}'_n)) .$$

We have to consider commutative diagrams of holomorphic maps

$$(18) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (z, w) & H \times E^{N-1} & \xrightarrow{\Psi} H_n^O \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ (e^{2\pi iz}, w) & E^* \times E^{N-1} & \xrightarrow{\psi} H_n^O / \Gamma_n \\ & \cap & \cap \\ & E^N & \rightarrow \overline{H_n / \Gamma_n} \quad (\text{Satake-compactification}) \end{array}$$

A holomorphic tensor T on H_n^O / Γ_n extends to $\widetilde{H_n / \Gamma_n}$ iff for each such diagram $\psi^*(T)$ extends to E^N . A suitable lift Ψ of ψ is of the form

$$(19) \quad \Psi(z, w) = S_0 z + \Psi_0(q_m, w), \quad q_m = e^{2\pi iz/m},$$

$$S_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S^{(n-j)} \end{pmatrix}$$

where m is a natural number and Ψ_0 is holomorphic in $q_m = 0$ (compare [3], III 5.7, 5.8 and the remarks on p. 199).

We define $Z_1 = Z_1^{(j)} = Z_1(w)$ by

$$(20) \quad \Psi_0(0, w) = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

The imaginary part of Z_1 is positive definite and not only semipositive! This follows from the fact that each holomorphic map $\varphi: E \rightarrow Z_n$ with the property

$$\varphi(E) \subset \overline{H_n}, \quad \varphi(E) \cap \partial H_n \neq \emptyset$$

is constant. The point $Z_1 \in H_j$ represents the limit point

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \psi(q, w)$$

in the Satake-compactification. We further know

$$(21) \quad \Psi(z+1, w) = M\Psi(z, w).$$

Hereby M is contained in the subgroup $\Gamma_{n,j} \subset \Gamma_n$, i.e.

$$(22) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & D_2 \\ 0 & D_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The image of $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ under the natural homomorphism

$$(23) \quad \Gamma_{n,j} \rightarrow \Gamma_j, \quad M \rightarrow M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \\ C_1 & D_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

fixes Z_1 , $M_1 Z_1 = Z_1$ and therefore is of finite order. A suitable power of M is of the form

$$M^l = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad l > 0.$$

But then it follows easily [3], III 5.8

$$M^h = \begin{pmatrix} E & * \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}, \quad h > 0 \text{ suitable multiple of } m.$$

From (19), (21) we obtain

$$(24) \quad M^h = \begin{pmatrix} E & hS_0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now distinguish two cases:

Case I.

$$(25) \quad M = \pm \begin{pmatrix} E & T \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain

$$S_0(z+1) + \psi_0(e^{2\pi i/m} q_m) = S_0 z + \psi_0(q_m) + T$$

and therefore

$$S_0 = T \quad (\text{integral!})$$

$$(26) \quad \psi_0 \text{ depends only on } q = q_m^m.$$

So we may write (new notation)

$$\Psi(z, w) = S_0 z + \psi_0(q, w), \quad S_0 \text{ integral}.$$

We now consider a Γ_n -invariant tensor of the form fP , where f is a modular form of weight r and

$$P \in \text{Symm}^d(\Lambda^k Z_n'), \quad 2dk = nr$$

is a polynomial with the properties a) - c) (§ 1). We compute

$$\Psi^*(fP) = (f \circ \Psi) \cdot \Psi^*(P),$$

$$\Psi^*(P)(z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(k)}) = P(d\Psi(z^{(1)}), \dots, d\Psi(z^{(k)})).$$

The differential $d\Psi$ of Ψ (in a point (z, w)) is a linear map

$$d\Psi : \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow Z_n.$$

If we denote by $z = (\xi, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_N)$ the coordinates of \mathbb{C}^N we have

$$(27) \quad d\Psi(z) = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z} \xi + \sum_{\nu=2}^N \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial w_\nu} \eta_\nu .$$

We obtain

$$(28) \quad \psi^*(P)(z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(k)}) = \\ P(S_0 \xi^{(1)} + W_1(q, w), \dots, S_0 \xi^{(k)} + W_k(q, w)) , \\ W_j(q, w) = W_j(q, w, \xi, \eta) .$$

This tensor on $H \times E^{N-1}$ is invariant under $(z, w) \mapsto (z+1, w)$. It therefore defines a tensor on $\dot{E} \times E^{N-1}$ namely

$$(29) \quad P\left(\frac{1}{q} \tilde{S}_0 + \tilde{W}_1(q, w), \dots, \frac{1}{q} \tilde{S}_0 + \tilde{W}_k(q, w)\right) , \quad \tilde{S}_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} S_0 .$$

This function has in $q = 0$ a pole of order $\leq d$. Hereby we make use of the fact that $P(W_1, \dots, W_k)$ depends only on the Plücker-coordinates of W_1, \dots, W_k . (Otherwise we would obtain only the estimate " $\leq kd$ ".) The tensor $\psi^*(fP)$ is holomorphic in $q = 0$ if either $S_0 = 0$ or if the function

$$(30) \quad f(S_0 z + \Psi_0(q, w)) , \quad S_0 \neq 0 , \quad S_0 \geq 0 , \quad S_0 \text{ integral} , \\ \text{vanishes in } q = 0 \text{ of order } \geq d .$$

We express this as a condition for the Fourier-coefficients of

$$(31) \quad f(z) = \sum_{H=H' \geq 0} a(H) e^{\pi i \sigma(Hz)}$$

(H even, i.e. H is integral with even diagonal). We obviously have to demand

$$a(H) \neq 0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sigma(HS_0) \geq d \\ S_0 = S'_0 \geq 0 \text{ integral, } \neq 0 .$$

By a result of Barnes and Cohn [2]

$$\min \sigma(HS_0) = \min_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} g'Hg =: \min(H) .$$

The minimum $\min(H)$ is invariant under $H \rightarrow U'HU$, $U \in \text{Sl}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ as well as $a(H)$. Each unimodular class $\{U'HU, U \in \text{Sl}(n, \mathbb{Z})\}$ contains a representative H with the property

$$\min H = h_{11} .$$

replace m by h and therefore assume $m = h$. We diagonalize U

$$(43) \quad A'UA = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i a_1/m} & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & e^{2\pi i a_n/m} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$0 \leq a_v < m \quad (1 \leq v \leq n).$$

The polynomial $P(W_1, \dots, W_k)$ is - up to a constant factor - invariant under $W_v \rightarrow A'W_v A$. We therefore may assume

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i a_1/m} & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & e^{2\pi i a_n/m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The most general solution of (42) is of the form

$$W_v(q_m) = (w_{ij}^{(v)}(q_m))$$

$$(44) \quad w_{ij}^{(v)}(q_m) = q^{[a_i + a_j]/m} \hat{w}_{ij}^{(v)}(q)$$

$$(\hat{w}_{ij}^{(v)}(q) \text{ holomorphic in } |q| < 1, q = q_m^m = e^{2\pi i z}).$$

Here $[a_i + a_j] = [a_i + a_j]_m$ is defined by

$$0 \leq [a_i + a_j] < m$$

$$(45) \quad [a_i + a_j] \equiv a_i + a_j \pmod{m}.$$

2.3 Résumé of the second case: If the vanishing order of

$$q_m \rightarrow P(W_1(q_m), \dots, W_k(q_m))$$

$$W_v(q_m) \text{ as in (42)}$$

in $q_m = 0$ is of order $> md$, the tensor $f \cdot P$ has the desired extension-property.

This vanishing order of course depends on the polynomial P .

In the next section we give a very rough estimate which

depends only on n and k and a_1, \dots, a_n .

§ 3. Estimations for vanishing orders.

We have to consider certain roots of unity

$$\xi_1 = e^{2\pi i a_1/m}, \dots, \xi_n = e^{2\pi i a_n/m}$$

$$0 \leq a_\nu < m, (a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0).$$

The only information we want to use is that

$\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \overline{\xi_1}, \dots, \overline{\xi_n}$ are the eigenvalues of an integral matrix (namely M (21)). This has the following consequence:

Denote by P_1 the set of primitive roots of unity of order 1. If $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ contains one element of P_1 (especially $1|m$), then

$$P_1 \subset \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \overline{\xi_1}, \dots, \overline{\xi_n}\}.$$

A complete half-system of primitive roots of unity of order 1 is a set of representatives

$$\eta_1, \dots, \eta_t$$

of $P_1 \bmod \eta + \overline{\eta}$. We have

$$(46) \quad t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 = 1, 2 \\ \varphi(1)/2 & \text{if } 1 > 2. \end{cases}$$

With this notation we obtain: The system

$\{e^{2\pi i a_1/m}, \dots, e^{2\pi i a_n/m}\}$ is a disjoint union of complete half-systems.

We now estimate the zero-order (in $q_m = 0$) of the function

$$q_m \rightarrow P(W_1(q_m), \dots, W_k(q_m)).$$

Here $P(W_1, \dots, W_k)$ is a polynomial as in § 1, i.e.

$$"P \in \text{Symm}^d(\Lambda^k Z_n)",$$

the functions $W_\nu(q_m)$ are as described in (42), (44). Obviously such a function $W(q_m) = W_\nu(q_m)$ can be written in the following manner

$$(47) \quad W(q_m) = \tilde{W}(q) \begin{bmatrix} q_m^{a_1} & & 0 \\ & \dots & \\ 0 & & q_m^{a_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(35) \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & O & B_0 & O \\ O & E & O & O \\ \hline C_0 & O & D_0 & O \\ O & O & O & E \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$$

maps H_n onto a domain $H_{n,j}$

$$(36) \quad N : H_n \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{n,j} \subset Z_n$$

$$(H_{n,0} = H_n, H_{n,n} = E_n) .$$

We consider

$$(37) \quad \tilde{\Psi} = N \cdot \Psi : H \times E^{N-1} \rightarrow H_{n,j}$$

instead of Ψ . We have

$$(38) \quad \tilde{\Psi}(z+1, w) = \tilde{M} \tilde{\Psi}(z, w) .$$

Obviously \tilde{M} is of the form

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} E & T \\ O & E \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U & O \\ O & U^{-1} \end{pmatrix} , \quad U \neq \pm E .$$

From (24) we deduce

$$(39) \quad \tilde{M}^h = \begin{pmatrix} E & * \\ O & E \end{pmatrix} ,$$

i.e. U is of finite order! We have

$$\tilde{\Psi}(z, w) = S_0 z + \tilde{\Psi}_0(q_m, w) , \quad S_0 = \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ O & S \end{pmatrix}$$

(the same S_0 as in (24)!))

and

$$(40) \quad d\tilde{\Psi}(z+1, w) = U' d\tilde{\Psi}(z, w) U .$$

We now consider the pullback $\Psi^*(P)(z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(k)})$

$(z^{(v)} \in \mathbb{C}^N)$ as a function of z . A similar consideration as in the first case shows that this function is of the form

$$(41) \quad q^{-d} P(W_1(q_m), \dots, W_k(q_m)) .$$

Here $W_v(q_m)$ ($1 \leq v \leq k$) are holomorphic functions in $|q_m| < 1$ with the property

$$(42) \quad W_v(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} q_m) = U' W_v(q_m) U .$$

If $U \neq \pm E$ the function $P(W_1(q_m), \dots, W_k(q_m))$ will have a zero of a certain order at $q_m = 0$. We want to estimate this order. The matrix U is of finite order h , $m|h$. We may

is also $> 1/(n-1)$ if m is big enough!

This simple observation completes the proof of our theorem.

Construction of f_1, \dots, f_t .

We use the wellknown "Thetanullwerte"

$$\vartheta_{a,b}(z) = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{\pi i (z[g + \frac{1}{2}a] + b'g)}$$

$$a, b \in \{0, 1\}^n, \quad a'b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

The functions

$$f_l = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta)} \prod_{(a,b) \neq (\alpha, \beta)} \vartheta_{a,b}(z)^{8l}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots$$

are modular forms with respect to the full modular group. Their vanishing order (relative to the weight) has been computed [3], p. 204. One obtains the value

$$\geq \frac{(n+1)(2^{2(n-1)} - 1)}{8[2^{(n-2)}(2^{n+1} - 1)]} > \frac{1}{n-1} \quad \text{if } n \geq 10.$$

So the vanishing order of f_l ($l = 1, 2, \dots$) is sufficiently high. But we still have to show that the set of common zeros of all f_l , i.e. the union of the sets

$$\{z; \vartheta_{a,b}(z) = \vartheta_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = 0; (a,b) \neq (\alpha,\beta)\}$$

is of codimension ≥ 2 . It is easy to see that two Theta-nullwerte are linearly independent if their characteristics are different. The assertion (and therefore our theorem) now follows from

3.3 Proposition: Let f be a modular form of weight $1/2$ (and some multiplier system) with respect to some subgroup $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_n$ of finite index. Assume $n \geq 4$. The zero-divisor of f in H_n/Γ is irreducible.

Proof. If $n \geq 4$ each divisor in H_n/Γ is the zero divisor of a modular form. It is therefore sufficient to prove:

Assume $n \geq 2$. There is no nonvanishing modular form of weight

$$r, \quad 0 < r < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Such a modular form has to be singular (this follows from

[3], A 4.1.2). But the weight of a nonvanishing singular modular form is always a multiple of $\frac{1}{2}$ (this shows the proof of A 4.1 in [3]). Hence proposition 3.3 and therefore our theorem has been proved.

References.

- [1] Ash, A., Mumford, D., Rapoport, M., Tai, Y.: Smooth Compactification of Locally Symmetric Varieties. Math. Sci. Press, Brookline, Massachusetts (1975).
- [2] Barns, E.S., Cohn, M.J.: On the inner product of positive quadratic forms. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 12 (1975).
- [3] Freitag, E.: Siegelsche Modulfunktionen. Grundlehren 254, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1983).
- [4] Freitag, E., Pommerening, K.: Reguläre Differentialformen des Körpers der Siegelschen Modulfunktionen. Journal für die reine und angewandte Math. 331, 207-220 (1982).
- [5] Freitag, E.: Eine Bemerkung zur Theorie der Hilbertschen Modulmannigfaltigkeiten hoher Stufe. Math. Z. 171, 27-35 (1980).
- [6] Mumford, D.: On the Kodaira dimension of the Siegel modular variety (in Algebraic Geometry - Open Problems Proceedings, Rave 220). Lecture Notes in Mathematics 997, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo (1982).
- [7] Safarevic, I.R.: Basic Algebraic Geometry, Grundlehren 213, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1974).
- [8] Tai, Y.: On the Kodaira Dimension of the Moduli Space of Abelian Varieties. Invent. Math. 68, 425-439 (1982).

Universität Heidelberg
6900 Heidelberg
West Germany