Introduction

For a congruence subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ of the Siegel modular group of genus $n$, a starting weight $r_0 > 0$, $2r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and a starting multiplier system $\nu$ of weight $r_0$ one can consider the ring of modular forms (for definitions we refer to Sect. 1)

$$A(\Gamma) = A(\Gamma, r_0, r) = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} [\Gamma, rr_0, v^r].$$

This is a finitely generated algebra. In addition, let $\varrho : \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{GL}(\mathbb{Z})$ be a rational representation on a finite dimensional complex vector space $\mathbb{Z}$. We always will assume that $\varrho$ is irreducible and polynomial and does not vanish on the determinant surface $\det A = 0$. Then we consider the space $[\Gamma, rr_0, v^r, \varrho]$ of all vector-valued holomorphic modular forms $f : \mathbb{H}_n \to \mathbb{Z}$ of transformation type

$$f(MZ) = v^r(M) \det(CZ + D)^{r_0r} \varrho(CZ + D)f(Z).$$

We collect these spaces to the graded $A(\Gamma)$-module

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_\Gamma(r_0, \nu, \varrho) := \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} [\Gamma, rr_0, v^r, \varrho].$$

There are twisted variants of these modules [Wi]. For a character $\chi$ on $\Gamma$ one can consider

$$\mathcal{M}^\chi = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} [\Gamma, rr_0, \chi v^r, \varrho].$$

These modules are finitely generated. It is a natural task to look for examples where the structure of this module can be determined.
Meanwhile there appeared several papers getting results into this direction using different methods, [Ao, CG, Do, Ib, Sa, Sat, Wi]. Our method is a further development of Wieber’s geometric method [Wi] which he used to solve certain $\Gamma_2[2, 4]$-cases.

The geometric method of Wieber rests on the fact that vector valued modular forms sometimes can be interpreted as $\Gamma$-invariant tensors and hence as (usually rational) tensors on the Siegel modular variety $\mathbb{H}_n/\Gamma$. In some cases the structure of this variety is known which enables to study tensors on it in detail. A vector valued modular form can define a tensor only if the representation $\varrho$ up to a power of the determinant occurs in some tensor power of the representation $\text{Sym}^2$. This is not always the case. For example the standard representation of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ does not have this property. In this paper we describe a modification of Wieber’s method which allows to recover his main results in [Wi] in a quick way and which applies to more cases as for example the standard representation.

Recall that the principal congruence subgroup is defined as

$$\Gamma_n[q] = \text{kernel}(\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}))$$

and Igusa’s subgroup as

$$\Gamma_n[q, 2q] := \{ M \in \Gamma_n[q], \quad (C^tD)_0 \equiv (A^tB)_0 \equiv 0 \mod 2q \}.$$

Here $S_0$ denotes the column built of the diagonal of a square matrix $S$.

Besides Wieber’s known results we treat in this paper a new example that belongs to the group $\Gamma_2[4, 8]$. The starting weight is $1/2$, the starting multiplier system is the theta multiplier system $\nu_\theta$ and for $\varrho$ we take the standard representation. In this case we will determine the structure of $\mathcal{M}$ completely (Theorem 7.1). It will turn out that $\mathcal{M}$ can be generated by the $\Gamma_2$ orbits of two specific modular forms. We will describe the relations and – as a consequence – we will obtain the Hilbert function of this module (Theorem 7.2).

We want to thank Wieber for fruitful discussion and for his help with quite involved computer calculations.

1. Vector valued modular forms

We consider the Siegel modular group $\Gamma_n = \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ of genus $n$. It consists of all integral $2n \times 2n$-matrices $M$ such that $\;^tMIM = I$, where $I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E \\ E & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ($E$ denotes the unit matrix) is the standard alternating matrix. Let $\Gamma \subset \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ be a congruence subgroup, $r$ be an integer, $v$ a multiplier system of weight $r/2$ on $\Gamma$ and $\varrho : \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ a rational representation on a finite dimensional complex vector space $\mathcal{Z}$. We assume that $\varrho$ is reduced which means that it is polynomial and does not vanish along the determinant surface $\det(A) = 0$. Then we can consider the space $[\Gamma, r/2, v, \varrho]$. It consists of holomorphic functions $f : \mathbb{H}_n \to \mathcal{Z}$ on the Siegel upper half-plane

$$\mathbb{H}_n = \{ Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}; \quad \text{Im} Z > 0 \text{ (positive definit)} \}$$
with the transformation property
\[
f(MZ) = v(M) \sqrt{\det(CZ + D)^r} g(CZ + D) f(Z) \quad (M \in \Gamma).
\]

(In the case \( n = 1 \) a condition at the cusps has to be added.) We can also consider meromorphic solutions \( f \) and call them meromorphic modular forms if they satisfy a meromorphicity condition at the cusps (which in most cases will be automatically true). What we demand is that there exists a non-vanishing holomorphic scalar valued form \( g \) that \( fg \) is holomorphic. We denote the space of meromorphic modular forms by \( \{\Gamma, r/2, v, \varrho\} \). It is a vector space of dimension \( \leq \text{Rank}(\varrho) \) over the field of modular functions
\[
K(\Gamma) = \{\Gamma, 0, \text{triv, triv}\},
\]
in fact the wedge product of \( k = \text{Rank}(\varrho) \) meromorphic vector valued modular forms is a, possibly zero, scalar modular form. When \( \varrho \) is the one-dimensional trivial representation, we simply write \( \{\Gamma, r/2, v\} \) instead of \( \{\Gamma, r/2, v, \varrho\} \) and similarly we skip \( v \) if \( r \) is even and \( v \) trivial. The same convention is used for the spaces of holomorphic modular forms.

## 2. Thetanullwerte

An element \( m \in \{0, 1\}^{2n} \) is called a theta characteristic of genus \( n \). Usually it is considered as column and divided into two columns \( a, b \) of length \( n \). It is called even if \( t^t ab \) is even and odd else. We use the classical theta series
\[
\vartheta[m](Z, z) = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \exp(\pi i(Z[g + a/2] + 2t(g + a/2)(z + b)/2)).
\]

We are interested in, the nullwerte
\[
\vartheta[m](Z) = \vartheta[m](Z, 0)
\]
and in the nullwerte of the derivatives
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \vartheta[m](Z, z) \bigg|_{z=0}.
\]

We collect them in a column which we denote by \( \text{grad}\vartheta(Z) \). We recall that the theta nullwerte are non-zero only for even and the gradients for odd characteristics.

Besides the nullwerte of first kind \( \vartheta[m] \) the nullwerte of second kind
\[
f_a(Z) := \vartheta\begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}(2Z), \quad a \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n,
\]
will play a role.
We recall that $\vartheta[0](Z)$ is a modular form of weight $1/2$ for the theta group

$$\Gamma_{n,\vartheta} := \Gamma_n[1, 2]$$

with respect to a certain multiplier system $v_\vartheta$ on this group. Since $\Gamma_{n,\vartheta} \supset \Gamma_n[2]$ we have in particular

$$\vartheta[0] \in [\Gamma_n[2], 1/2, v_\vartheta].$$

For each characteristic there exists a character $\chi_m$ on $\Gamma_n[2]$ which is trivial on $\Gamma_n[4, 8]$ and quadratic on the group $\Gamma_n[2, 4]$ such that

$$\vartheta[m] \in [\Gamma_n[2], 1/2, v_\vartheta \chi_m] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{grad} \vartheta[m] \in [\Gamma_n[2], 1/2, v_\vartheta \chi_m, \text{St}].$$

In particular, all thanulwerte $\vartheta[m]$ are contained in $[\Gamma_n[4, 8], 1/2, v_\vartheta]$. For details we refer to [SM].

Similar results hold for the thetas of second kind $f_\alpha$. They are modular forms for $\Gamma_n[2, 4]$ with respect to a certain multiplier system $v_\Theta$ on this group,

$$f_\alpha \in [\Gamma_n[2, 4], 1/2, v_\Theta].$$

We consider the rings

$$A(\Gamma_n[4, 8]) = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}[\Gamma_n[4, 8], r/2, v_\vartheta], \quad A(\Gamma_n[2, 4]) = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}[\Gamma_n[2, 4], r/2, v_\Theta].$$

So the starting weights are $1/2$ in both cases and the starting multiplier system is $v_\vartheta$ for $\Gamma_n[4, 8]$ but $v_\Theta$ for $\Gamma_n[2, 4]$. We mention that the two multiplier systems are different on $\Gamma_n[4, 8]$. The following results are basic. The first one has proved by Igusa 1964 [Ig] the second by Runge 1994 [Ru1, Ru2].

2.1 Theorem (Igusa, Runge).

$$A(\Gamma_n[4, 8]) = \mathbb{C}[\ldots, \vartheta[m], \ldots] \quad \text{for} \quad n \leq 2,$$

$$A(\Gamma_n[2, 4]) = \mathbb{C}[\ldots, f_\alpha, \ldots] \quad \text{for} \quad n \leq 3.$$

In the case $n = 2$ Runge obtained an even better result. It is known that the square of $v_\Theta$ is a non-trivial quadratic character on $\Gamma_2[2, 4]$. The kernel of $v_\Theta^2$ is a subgroup of index two of $\Gamma_2[2, 4]$. We use Runge’s notation $\Gamma_2^*[2, 4]$ for it.

Recall that Igusa’s modular form $\chi_5$ is the unique cusp form of weight 5 for the full Siegel modular form. It can be defined as the product of the ten theta constants of first kind. Its character is trivial on $\Gamma_2[2]$.

We denote the 4 functions $f_\alpha$ in the ordering $(0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, $(1, 0)$, $(1, 1)$ by $f_0, \ldots, f_3$.

2.2 Theorem (Runge).

$$A(\Gamma_2^*[2, 4]) = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}[\Gamma_2^*[2, 4], r/2, v_\Theta^2] = \mathbb{C}[f_0, \ldots, f_3] \oplus \chi_5 \mathbb{C}[f_0, \ldots, f_3].$$
§3. A first example due to Wieber

From now on we shall assume $n = 2$. We consider the module $\mathcal{M}$ introduced in the introduction, for the group $\Gamma_2[2,4]$, starting weight $1/2$ and starting multiplier system $v_\Theta$ and for the representation $\text{Sym}^2$. We will write $\mathcal{M}^+$ instead of $\mathcal{M}$ since, in the next section, we shall treat a twisted variant $\mathcal{M}^-$.

The representation $\text{Sym}^2$ of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ can be realized on the space of symmetric $2 \times 2$-matrices and the action of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is given by $AW^tA$.

This means that we have to consider symmetric $2 \times 2$-matrices $f$ of holomorphic functions with the transformation property

$$f(MZ) = v_\Theta(M)^r \sqrt{CZ + D}^r (CZ + D) f(Z)^r(CZ + D).$$

They define a vector space

$$\mathcal{M}^+(r) = [\Gamma_2[2,4], r/2, v_\Theta^r, \text{Sym}^2].$$

We consider the direct sum

$$\mathcal{M}^+ = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}^+(r).$$

This is a graded module over the ring

$$A(\Gamma_2[2,4]) := \bigoplus [\Gamma, r/2, v_\Theta^r] = \mathbb{C}[f_0, \ldots, f_3].$$

Elements of $\mathcal{M}^+$ can be constructed as follows. Let $f, g \in [\Gamma, r/2, v_\Theta^r]$, $g \neq 0$. Then $f/g$ is a modular function and $d(f/g)$ is a meromorphic differential. It can be considered as element of $\{\Gamma_2[2,4], 0, \text{Sym}^2\}$. If we multiply it by $g^2$ we get a holomorphic form

$$\{f, g\} = g^2 d(f/g) \in \mathcal{M}^+(2r).$$

3.1 Theorem (Wieber). We have

$$\mathcal{M}^+ = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq 3} \mathbb{C}[f_0, \ldots, f_3] \{f_i, f_j\}.$$ 

Defining relations of this module are

$$f_k[f_i, f_j] = f_j[f_i, f_k] + f_i[f_k, f_j], \quad [f_i, f_j] + [f_j, f_i] = 0.$$ 

Proof. We give a new simple proof for this result. We consider $\{\Gamma[2,4], 1, \text{Sym}^2\}$ as vector space over the field of modular functions. The three forms $\{f_0, f_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq 3$, give a basis of this vector space.
3.2 Lemma. With some non-zero constant $C$ we have

$$f_0^4 d(f_1/f_0) \wedge d(f_2/f_0) \wedge d(f_3/f_0) = C \chi_5 dz_0 \wedge dz_1 \wedge dz_2,$$

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_0 & z_1 \\ z_1 & z_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Corollary. If we consider the three elements

$$\{f_0, f_1\}, \quad \{f_0, f_2\}, \quad \{f_0, f_3\}$$

as a $3 \times 3$-matrix, its determinant is up to a constant factor $f_0^2 \chi_5$.

This lemma is well-known. For sake of completeness we give the argument. The left hand side is holomorphic and it defines a modular form of weight 5 with respect to the full Siegel modular form. So it must be a constant multiple of $\chi_5$. $\Box$

We mentioned already in the introduction that $\{\Gamma, r/2, v, \varrho\}$ is a vector space of dimension $\leq \text{Rank}(\varrho)$ on the field of modular functions relative to $\Gamma$. We can get a basis of $\{\Gamma_2[2, 4], r/2, v_\varrho, \varrho\}$ if we multiply the three $\{f_0, f_i\}$ by $f_0^{r-2}$. Hence an arbitrary $T \in \{\Gamma, r/2, v, \varrho\}$ can be written in the form

$$T = g_1\{f_0, f_1\} + g_2\{f_0, f_2\} + g_3\{f_0, f_3\}$$

where $g_i$ are meromorphic modular forms in $\{\Gamma_2[2, 4], (r-2)/2, v_\varrho^{r-2}\}$. They are rational functions in the $f_i$. If $T$ is holomorphic then $f_0^2 \chi_5 g_i$ must be holomorphic. The form $f_0^2 \chi_5 g_i$ is contained in $A(\Gamma_2^*[2, 4])$ and $f_0^2 g_i$ are also rational in the $f_i$. From Runge’s result Theorem follows that $f_0^2 g_i$ is holomorphic. In other words

$$\mathcal{M}^+ \subset \frac{1}{f_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathbb{C}[f_0, \ldots, f_3]\{f_0, f_i\}.$$

The rest is just Wieber’s argument that we can permute the variables and obtain that $\mathcal{M}$ is contained in the intersection of 4 modules,

$$\mathcal{M}^+ \subset \bigcap_{i=0}^{3} \frac{1}{f_i^2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \mathbb{C}[f_0, \ldots, f_3]\{f_i, f_j\}.$$

Using the fact that $\mathcal{M}^+$ is contained in the free module generated by $df_i$ (as symbols), it is easy to show (compare [Wi]) that this intersection equals

$$\sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq 3} \mathbb{C}[f_0, \ldots, f_3]\{f_i, f_j\}.$$

which is Wieber’s result.
4. A second example of Wieber

Always with respect to the group $\Gamma_2[2,4]$, Wieber also considers the twist of $\mathcal{M}^+$ with the quadratic character $v^{-2}_\Theta$. To be precise, he introduces the spaces $\mathcal{M}^-(r)$ consisting of holomorphic forms of the type

$$f(MZ) = v_\Theta(M)^{r-2}\sqrt{CZ + D^2}(CZ + D)f(Z)(CZ + D).$$

They can be collected to

$$\mathcal{M}^- = \bigoplus \mathcal{M}^-(r)$$

which is also a graded module over $\mathbb{C}[f_0,\ldots,f_4]$. There are obvious inclusions

$$\chi_5\mathcal{M}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}^-, \quad \chi_5\mathcal{M}^- \subset \mathcal{M}^+.$$

Following more general constructions of Ibukiyama [Ib], Wieber defined elements of $\mathcal{M}^-$ in a different way. He considers three homogenous elements $f, g, h$ of $\mathbb{C}[f_0,\ldots,f_3]$ of degree $r$ and considers then the differential form

$$d(g/f) \wedge d(h/f) = h_0dz_1 \wedge dz_2 + h_1dz_0 \wedge dz_2 + h_2dz_0 \wedge dz_1.$$

Then

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc} h_2 & -h_1 \\ -h_1 & h_0 \end{array} \right) \in \{\Gamma_2[2,4],1,\text{Sym}^2\}.$$

(The multiplier system is trivial.) We set

$$\{f,g,h\} = f^3\left( \begin{array}{cc} h_2 & -h_1 \\ -h_1 & h_0 \end{array} \right).$$

It is easy to see that this is holomorphic. It is contained in

$$[\Gamma_2[2,4],(3r+2)/2,v_\Theta^3,\text{Sym}^2] = \mathcal{M}^-(3r+2).$$

If $f, g, h$ have weight $1/2$, then this form is contained in $\mathcal{M}^-(5)$. An arbitrary $T \in [\Gamma,(r+2)/2,v,\varrho]$ can be written in the form

$$T = g_1\{f_0,f_1,f_2\} + g_2\{f_0,f_1,f_3\} + g_3\{f_0,f_2,f_3\}$$

where $g_i$ are meromorphic modular forms in $\{\Gamma_2[2,4],r/2,v_\Theta\}$. They are expressible as quotients of homogenous polynomials in the variables $f_i$. We have to work out that this form, or equivalently

$$f_0^3(g_1d(f_1/f_0) \wedge d(f_2/f_0) + g_2d(f_1/f_0) \wedge d(f_3/f_0) + g_3d(f_2/f_0) \wedge d(f_3/f_0)),$$

is holomorphic. We take the wedge product with $f_0^3d(f_i/f_0)$ and obtain from Lemma that $f_0\chi_5g_i$ are holomorphic. Hence the argument of the previous section shows that $f_0g_i$ is a polynomial in the $f_i$. Similar to the previous section we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}^- \subset \bigcap_{i=0}^3 \frac{1}{f_i} \sum_{i<j<k} \mathbb{C}[f_0,\ldots,f_3]\{f_i,f_j,f_k\}.$$

A simple argument now gives the following result.
4.1 Theorem (Wieber). We have

\[ \mathcal{M}^- = \sum_{0 \leq i < j < k \leq 3} \mathbb{C}[f_0, \ldots, f_3] \langle f_i, f_j, f_k \rangle. \]

Defining relation of this module is

\[ f_3 \{ f_0, f_1, f_2 \} = f_0 \{ f_1, f_2, f_3 \} - f_1 \{ f_0, f_2, f_3 \} + f_2 \{ f_0, f_1, f_3 \}. \]

We observe that the relation is an immediate consequence of the fact that we have four generators and it is induced by the standard properties of the differentiation.

5. The standard representation

In this section we study the module \( \mathcal{M} \) for the group \( \Gamma_2[4,8] \), starting weight 1/2, starting multiplier system \( v_\vartheta \) and the standard representation \( St = \text{id} \),

\[ \mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} [\Gamma_2[4,8], r/2, v_\vartheta^r, St]. \]

This is a module over the ring \( A(\Gamma_2[4,8]) \) which, by Igusa’s result, is generated by the ten even theta nullwerte. We will order them as follows:

\[ (m^{(1)}, \ldots, m^{(10)}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

The associated theta series are denote by \( \vartheta_1, \ldots, \vartheta_{10} \) (in this ordering). They satisfy the quartic Riemann relations which are defining relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\vartheta_2^2 \vartheta_8^2 - \vartheta_4^2 \vartheta_6^2 + \vartheta_1^2 \vartheta_{10}^2 &= 0, \\
\vartheta_2^2 \vartheta_8^2 - \vartheta_2^2 \vartheta_8^2 + \vartheta_3^2 \vartheta_{10}^2 &= 0, \\
\vartheta_7^4 - \vartheta_3^4 - \vartheta_9^4 + \vartheta_{10}^4 &= 0, \\
\vartheta_2^6 \vartheta_7^2 - \vartheta_3^2 \vartheta_9^2 + \vartheta_2^2 \vartheta_{10}^2 &= 0, \\
\vartheta_2^2 \vartheta_7^2 - \vartheta_7^2 \vartheta_{10}^2 + \vartheta_2^2 \vartheta_{10}^2 &= 0, \\
\vartheta_3^4 - \vartheta_1^4 - \vartheta_9^4 + \vartheta_{10}^4 &= 0, \\
\vartheta_4^2 \vartheta_7^2 - \vartheta_3^2 \vartheta_9^2 - \vartheta_5^2 \vartheta_{10}^2 &= 0, \\
\vartheta_2^3 \vartheta_7^2 - \vartheta_4^2 \vartheta_8^2 - \vartheta_6^2 \vartheta_9^2 &= 0, \\
\vartheta_2^2 \vartheta_7^2 - \vartheta_1^2 \vartheta_9^2 - \vartheta_2^2 \vartheta_{10}^2 &= 0, \\
\vartheta_2^4 - \vartheta_1^4 - \vartheta_9^4 + \vartheta_{10}^4 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
We order the 6 odd characteristics as follows:

\[(n^{(1)}, \ldots, n^{(6)}) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \]

We use the notation

\[e(m) = (-1)^{t_{ab}} (m = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix})\]

Recall that a triplet \(m_1, m_2, m_3\) of characteristics is called azygetic if they are pairwise different and if

\[e(m_1)e(m_2)e(m_3)e(m_1 + m_2 + m_3) = -1.\]

The following result has been stated without proof by Rosenhain and proved by Thomae and Weber. A proof can be found in [Fi].

5.1 Lemma. For two different odd characteristics \(m, n\) there exist 4 even characteristics \(n_1, \ldots, n_4\) such that \(m, n, n_i\) is azygetic. If we consider the pair \((\text{grad} \vartheta[m], \text{grad} \vartheta[n])\) as a \(2 \times 2\) matrix then

\[\det(\text{grad} \vartheta[m], \text{grad} \vartheta[n]) = \pm \pi^2 \vartheta[n_1] \cdots \vartheta[n_4].\]

Since the signs are essential for us, we collect them in a table. This table can be found in [Fi]. (One sign in [Fi] had to be corrected.) We use the abbreviation

\[D(i, j) = \pi^{-2} \det(\text{grad} \vartheta[n^{(i)}], \text{grad} \vartheta[n^{(j)}]), \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 6.\]

\[
D(1, 2) = \vartheta_7 \vartheta_8 \vartheta_9 \vartheta_{10}, \quad D(1, 3) = \vartheta_2 \vartheta_3 \vartheta_5 \vartheta_7, \quad D(1, 4) = \vartheta_1 \vartheta_4 \vartheta_5 \vartheta_8, \\
D(1, 5) = -\vartheta_3 \vartheta_4 \vartheta_6 \vartheta_{10}, \quad D(1, 6) = \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2 \vartheta_6 \vartheta_9, \quad D(2, 3) = \vartheta_1 \vartheta_4 \vartheta_6 \vartheta_7, \\
D(2, 4) = \vartheta_2 \vartheta_3 \vartheta_6 \vartheta_8, \quad D(2, 5) = -\vartheta_1 \vartheta_2 \vartheta_5 \vartheta_{10}, \quad D(2, 6) = \vartheta_3 \vartheta_4 \vartheta_5 \vartheta_9, \\
D(3, 4) = -\vartheta_5 \vartheta_6 \vartheta_9 \vartheta_{10}, \quad D(3, 5) = -\vartheta_1 \vartheta_3 \vartheta_8 \vartheta_9, \quad D(3, 6) = \vartheta_2 \vartheta_4 \vartheta_8 \vartheta_{10}, \\
D(4, 5) = -\vartheta_2 \vartheta_4 \vartheta_7 \vartheta_9, \quad D(4, 6) = \vartheta_1 \vartheta_3 \vartheta_7 \vartheta_{10}, \quad D(5, 6) = \vartheta_5 \vartheta_6 \vartheta_7 \vartheta_8.
\]

We describe 20 relations between the 6 generators \(\text{grad}_i\) of the module \(\mathcal{M}\). We use the notation

\[\text{grad}_i = \text{grad} \vartheta[n^{(i)}], \quad 1 \leq i \leq 6.\]

5.2 Lemma. For \(1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6\) the relation

\[D(i, j)\text{grad}_k = D(i, k)\text{grad}_j - D(j, k)\text{grad}_i\]

holds. Each of them is divisible by one of the \(\vartheta_i\). Hence we obtain 20 relations where a typical one is

\[\vartheta_1 \vartheta_4 \vartheta_6 \text{grad}_1 - \vartheta_2 \vartheta_3 \vartheta_5 \text{grad}_2 - \vartheta_8 \vartheta_9 \vartheta_{10} \text{grad}_3 = 0.\]
The proof is trivial. Just notice that the occurring $D$-s are just the $2 \times 2$ sub-
determinants of the $2 \times 3$-matrix $(\text{grad}_i, \text{grad}_j, \text{grad}_k)$. Now Lemma 5.2 is just a
consequence of the known fact that the cross product $a \times b$ of two vectors in $\mathbb{C}^3$ is
orthogonal to both $a, b$. \hfill \square

We fix two odd characteristics $m, n$. Every homogenous element of $\mathcal{M}^+$ can be
written in the form

$$T = g_m \text{grad} \vartheta[m] + g_n \text{grad} \vartheta[n]$$

with two meromorphic modular forms from $A(\Gamma[4, 8])$. From Lemma 5.1 we can
deduce that $g_i \vartheta[n_1] \cdots \vartheta[n_4]$ are holomorphic. Hence $\mathcal{M}$ is contained in

$$\mathcal{M}(m, n) := \frac{1}{\vartheta[n_1] \cdots \vartheta[n_4]} (A(\Gamma[4, 8]) \text{grad} \vartheta[m] + A(\Gamma[4, 8]) \text{grad} \vartheta[n]).$$

We can vary $m, n$ and obtain

$$\mathcal{M} \subset \bigcap_{m, n} \mathcal{M}(m, n).$$

The elements in the right hand side have no poles outside the zeros of the forms
$\vartheta[n_1] \cdots \vartheta[n_4]$. These 15 forms have no joint zero in $\mathbb{H}_2$, in fact they are, relatively to
the genus 2 case, the Plucker’s coordinates of the map studied in [GSM], cf. [Fi] also.
Hence the elements of the intersection are holomorphic. So we obtain the following
proposition.

5.3 Proposition. We have

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigcap_{m, n} \mathcal{M}(m, n).$$

We consider the submodule $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ that is generated by all $\text{grad}_i$ over $A(\Gamma[4, 8])$. 
Proposition 5.3 shows that $\mathcal{M}$ is a submodule of $(1/\chi_5)\mathcal{N}$. It is described as finite
intersection of certain submodules which are defined by means of finitely many gen-
erators. As soon as we understand the structure of $(1/\chi_5)\mathcal{N}$, or equivalently, of $\mathcal{N}$, 
we have a chance to determine this intersection. In the next section we shall describe
all relations between the six $\text{grad}_i$.

6. Relations

In Lemma 5.2 we described some of the relations between the $\text{grad}_i$. It will turn out
they do not generate all relations. To describe all relations we introduce the free
module of rank 6 over $A(\Gamma[4, 8])$. We denote the generators by $T_1, \ldots, T_6$. We have
to describe the kernel of the natural homomorphism

$$\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}, \quad T_i \mapsto \text{grad}_i.$$
We denote by $\mathcal{K}$ the submodule of $\mathcal{F}$ that is generated by the 20 elements which arise in Lemma 5.2. A typical example is

$$\vartheta_4 \vartheta_6 T_1 - \vartheta_2 \vartheta_3 \vartheta_5 T_2 - \vartheta_8 \vartheta_9 T_3$$

6.1 Lemma. Let $T$ be an element of the kernel of $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{N}$. Then $\chi_5 T$ is contained in $\mathcal{K}$, and the kernel of $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{N}$ equals the kernel of

$$\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\chi_5} \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{K}.$$ 

Proof. Let $P_1 \text{grad}_1 + \cdots + P_6 \text{grad}_6 = 0$ be a (homogenous) relation. After multiplication by $\chi_5$ we can use the relations in Lemma 5.2 to eliminate in this relation all $\text{grad}_i$, $i > 2$. Then we obtain a relation between $\text{grad}_1, \text{grad}_2$. But these two forms are independent due to Lemma 5.1. Hence the above relation, after multiplication by $\chi_5$, is a consequence of the relations in Lemma 5.2. $\square$

In principle, Lemma 6.1 is a complete description of the module $\mathcal{N}$. We can use it to work out a finite generating system of relations. For this, we describe some extra relations between the $\text{grad}_i$.

6.2 Lemma. For each ordered pair of two different odd characteristics there is a relation which is determined by this pair up to the sign. The relation that belongs to pair $(5, 6)$ in our numbering is

$$\vartheta_5 D(1,5) \text{grad}_1 - \vartheta_3 D(2,5) \text{grad}_2 - \vartheta_8 D(3,5) \text{grad}_4 + \vartheta_7 D(4,5) \text{grad}_4 = 0.$$ 

The full modular group acts transitively on these 30 relations (counted up to the sign). In general the relation for a pair $(\alpha, \beta)$ is the sum of four $\pm \vartheta_k D(i, \alpha) \text{grad}_i$, $i \neq \alpha, \beta$, where $\vartheta_k$ is the only theta that divides $D(i, \alpha)$ and $D(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. Along the lines of the proof of Lemma 6.1, one multiplies the claimed relation by $\chi_5$ and eliminates $\text{grad}_i$, $i > 2$. Then one obtains an expression $P_1 \text{grad}_1 + P_2 \text{grad}_2$ with explicitly given polynomials in the $\vartheta_i$. One has to show $P_1 = P_2 = 0$. We omit the straightforward calculation and mention only that for this one has to use the Riemann relations. $\square$

There is a second kind of extra relations between the $\text{grad}_i$. To explain them, we need some facts about theta characteristics. In [GS] it has been proved that each odd characteristic $n$ can written in 12 different ways (up to ordering) as a sum of five pairwise different even characteristics

$$n = m_1 + \cdots + m_5.$$ 

The full modular group acts transitively on the set of all $\{n, m_1, \ldots, m_5\}$. For each of them we define the modular form

$$S := S(n, m_1, \ldots, m_5) = \vartheta[m_1] \cdots \vartheta[m_5] \text{grad} \vartheta[n].$$ 

Hence we obtain 72 modular forms.

As we mentioned, the forms $\vartheta[m]$ and $\text{grad} \vartheta[n]$ are modular forms with respect to the group $\Gamma_2[2, 4]$. As a consequence, we get

$$S \in [\Gamma_2[2, 4], 3, \chi_S, \text{St}]$$ 

with a certain quadratic character $\chi_S$ on $\Gamma_2[2, 4]$. The information about these characters which we need can be taken from the paper [SM].
6.3 Lemma. The 72 forms $S$ are modular forms with respect to the group $\Gamma_2[2, 4]$ and a certain quadratic character $\chi_S$. In this way there arise 12 different characters and to the each associated space of modular forms belong six of the forms $S$. Each of the 72 forms $S$ is uniquely determined by its odd characteristic $n$ and the character $\chi_S$.

We denote the form $S$ which belongs to $n$ and $\chi$ by $S(n, \chi)$.

6.4 Lemma. We fix one of the 12 characters $\chi$. Let $S_i = S(i, \chi)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$ be the six functions $S(n, \chi)$. If one cancels one of the six, say $S_6$, one gets a relation between the other five of the following type.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} \pm \vartheta[m_i]^2 S_i = 0.$$ 

Here $m_i$ are certain even characteristics which are uniquely determined and also the signs (up to a common sign change) are uniquely determined.

The rule how the $m_i$ can be found is a little complicated. We explain how $m_1$ can be found.

1) There are three even characteristics $q_1, q_2, q_3$ that occur in $S_1$ but not in $S_6$ (the form which has been cancelled).

2) There is one pair in $\{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$, say $\{q_2, q_3\}$, such that $\vartheta[q_2]\vartheta[q_3]$ does not occur in any of the four $S_2, \ldots, S_5$.

Then one has to use $m_1 := q_1$ in Lemma 6.4.

We explain this in an example. The six forms

$$S_1 = \vartheta_3\vartheta_5\vartheta_6\vartheta_8\vartheta_9\text{grad}_1, \quad S_2 = \vartheta_1\vartheta_2\vartheta_4\vartheta_8\vartheta_9\text{grad}_2, \quad S_3 = \vartheta_2\vartheta_5\vartheta_7\vartheta_8\vartheta_{10}\text{grad}_4,$$

$$S_4 = \vartheta_4\vartheta_6\vartheta_7\vartheta_9\vartheta_{10}\text{grad}_6, \quad S_5 = \vartheta_1\vartheta_3\vartheta_4\vartheta_5\vartheta_{10}\text{grad}_3, \quad S_6 = \vartheta_1\vartheta_2\vartheta_3\vartheta_6\vartheta_7\text{grad}_5$$

belong to the same character. The characteristic that occur in $S_1$ but not in $S_6$ are $m_5, m_8, m_9$. The pair $m_5, m_9$ does not occur in $S_2, \ldots, S_5$. Hence the theta square which has to be added is $\vartheta[m_8]^2$. The whole relation will be

$$\vartheta[m_8]^2 S_1 - \vartheta[m_9]^2 S_2 + \vartheta[m_5]^2 S_3 - \vartheta[m_4]^2 S_4 + \vartheta[m_{10}]^2 S_5.$$ 

The determination of the signs needs some extra work.

We do not give details of the proof of Lemma 6.4. We only mention that it is similar to the proof of Lemma 6.2.

The relations that we described so far are defining relations.

6.5 Proposition. The module of relations between the six forms $\text{grad}_i$, i.e. the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, is generated by the 20 relations described in Lemma 5.2, the 30 relations described in Lemma 6.2 and the 72 relations described in Lemma 6.4.

Using Lemma 6.1, the proof can be given with the help of a computer. \qed
§7. A structure theorem

Now we have the possibility to determine the structure of $\mathcal{M}$. From Proposition 5.3 we get

$$\chi_5 \mathcal{M} = \bigcap_{m,n} \vartheta[n_1] \cdots \vartheta[n_4] (A(\Gamma[4,8]) \vartheta[m] + A(\Gamma[4,8]) \vartheta[n]).$$

The right-hand side is a submodule of $\mathcal{N}$ which we understand completely (Proposition 6.5). Hence it is possible to compute the intersection with the help of a computer. We did this by means of the computer algebra system SINGULAR. In this way we could determine a finite system of generators of $\mathcal{M}$ and we also could get the Hilbert function. We mention that $\mathcal{M}$ is bigger than $\mathcal{N}$. We have to describe now the extra generators.

7.1 Proposition. The modular form

$$\frac{(\vartheta_4 \vartheta_6^4 \vartheta_5 + \vartheta_4 \vartheta_8 \vartheta_9^4) \vartheta_1 - \vartheta_1 \vartheta_5 \vartheta_9 \vartheta_{10}^3 \vartheta_5}{\vartheta_2 \vartheta_5}$$

is holomorphic, hence contained in $[\Gamma[4,8],5/2,\text{St}]$. It is not contained in the submodule $\mathcal{N}$. The orbit under the full modular form consists up to constant factors of 360 modular forms.

By means of SINGULAR one can verify that the $A(\Gamma[4,8])$-module generated by the six $\vartheta_i$ and the $\Gamma_2$-orbit of the form described in Proposition 7.1 equals the module $\mathcal{M}$. SINGULAR also gives the Hilbert function.

7.2 Theorem. The $A(\Gamma[4,8])$-module

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus [\Gamma[4,8], r/2, v_5^r, \text{St}]$$

is generated by the six $\vartheta_i$ and the $\Gamma_2$-orbit of the form described in Proposition 7.1. The Hilbert function is

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} [\Gamma[4,8], r/2, v_5^r, \text{St}] t^r = \frac{60t^9 - 60t^8 - 318t^7 + 252t^6 + 606t^5 + 316t^4 + 126t^3 + 36t^2 + 6t}{(1-t)^4} = 6t + 60t^2 + 330t^3 + 1300t^4 + 4060t^5 + 9952t^6 + 20000t^7 + 35168t^8 + \cdots$$

We conclude remarking as the referee correctly pointed out, that the 360 modular forms satisfy relations that mainly are induced by Riemann relations. We will not state them.
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