HERMITE'S IDENTITY

FRANZ LEMMERMEYER

Hermite's identity is the following distribution relation for the floor function:

Lemma 1. For all real values $x \ge 0$ and all natural numbers $m \ge 1$ we have

(1)
$$\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor x + \frac{1}{m} \rfloor + \ldots + \lfloor x + \frac{m-1}{m} \rfloor = \lfloor mx \rfloor.$$

In this note we collect a few proofs of Hermite's identity.

Hermite's techniques from [3] were studied by Giulini [2] and Basoco [1].

1. Hermite

Hermite [3] proved (1) using generating functions. Assume that a function f is given as a power series

$$f(x) = A_0 + A_1 x + A_2 x^2 + \dots;$$

then

$$\frac{f(x)}{1-x} = A_0 + (A_0 + A_1)x + (A_0 + A_1 + A_2)x^2 + \dots$$

as can be verified easily by multiplying through by 1 - x.

Next

$$f(x^a) = A_0 + A_1 x^a + A_2 x^{2a} + \dots,$$

hence

$$\frac{f(x^a)}{1-x} = \sum_{n \ge 0} (A_0 + A_1 + \ldots + A_\nu) x^n,$$

where $\nu = \lfloor \frac{n}{a} \rfloor$. For $f(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}$ this implies

(2)
$$\frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x^a)} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \left[1 + \left\lfloor\frac{n}{a}\right\rfloor\right] x^n.$$

This implies

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x^{ma})} = \sum_{n \ge 0} \left\lfloor \frac{n+ma}{ma} \right\rfloor x^n$$

since $1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{ma} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n+ma}{ma} \rfloor$. Multiplying this last equation through by x^{ka} we obtain

$$\frac{x^{ka}}{(1-x)(1-x^{ma})} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \left\lfloor \frac{n+ma}{ma} \right\rfloor x^{n+ka} = \sum_{n\geq ka} \left\lfloor \frac{n+(m-k)a}{ma} \right\rfloor x^n.$$

Observe that (1) is true for very small values of x; thus it is sufficient to prove the identity for *rational* values of x.

Now the identity

$$\frac{1-x^{ma}}{1-x^a} = 1 + x^a + x^{2a} + \dots + x^{(m-1)a}$$

implies

$$\frac{x^a}{(1-x)(1-x^a)} = \frac{x^a(1+x^a+a^{2a}+\ldots+x^{m-1})}{(1-x)(1-x^{ma})}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{x^{ka}}{(1-x)(1-x^{ma})}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{n \ge ka} \left\lfloor \frac{n+(m-k)a}{ma} \right\rfloor x^n.$$

Replacing the summation index k by m - k we find

$$\frac{x^a}{(1-x)(1-x^a)} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n \ge ka} \left\lfloor \frac{n+ka}{ma} \right\rfloor x^n.$$

On the other hand we know

$$\frac{x^a}{(1-x)(1-x^a)} = \sum_{n \ge 0} \left\lfloor \frac{n+a}{a} \right\rfloor x^{n+a} = \sum_{n \ge a} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \right\rfloor x^n.$$

Comparing the coefficient of x^n and setting $z = \frac{n}{ma}$ we find

$$\lfloor mz \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n}{a} \rfloor = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \lfloor \frac{n+ka}{ma} \rfloor = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \lfloor z + \frac{k}{m} \rfloor.$$

2. Weber

In his proof of the Theorem of Kronecker and Weber given in [9], Weber has to deal with the class numbers of cyclotomic number fields, and he uses a result on the greatest integer function that generalizes Hermite's identity.

For 0 < x < 1 (and $0 \le t < m$) we have

$$\left\lfloor x + \frac{t}{m} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{t}{m} \right\rfloor &= 0 & \text{or} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{t}{m} \right\rfloor + 1 &= 1 \end{cases}$$

according as there is an integer between $\frac{t}{m}$ and $x + \frac{t}{m}$ or not. The first case holds if and only if $1 = \lfloor \frac{t}{m} \rfloor + 1 \le x + \frac{t}{m}$, i.e., if and only if

$$(3) m-t \le mx.$$

The number of values of $t \ge 0$ satisfying this inequality is clearly $\lfloor mx \rfloor$. Thus there are $\lfloor mx \rfloor$ values of t for which $\lfloor x + \frac{t}{m} \rfloor = 1$, and Hermite's identity follows.

3. Stern

Stern [8] determines an integer k satisfying

$$\lfloor x \rfloor + \frac{k}{m} \le x < \lfloor x \rfloor + \frac{k+1}{m}.$$

Multiplying through by m he finds

$$m|x| + k \le mx < m|x| + k + 1,$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

thus |mx| = m|x| + k. On the other hand we have

$$x + \frac{m-k-1}{m} < \lfloor x \rfloor + \frac{k+1}{m} + \frac{m-k-1}{m} = \lfloor x \rfloor + \frac{k}{m} + \frac{m-k}{m} \le x + \frac{m-k}{m},$$

and this implies

$$x + \frac{m-k-1}{m} < \lfloor x \rfloor \le x + \frac{m-k}{m}.$$

Thus each term

$$\lfloor x \rfloor, \ \lfloor x + \frac{1}{m} \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor x + \frac{m-k-1}{m} \rfloor$$

has the value |x|, whereas each term

$$\left\lfloor x + \frac{m-k}{m} \right\rfloor, \dots, \left\lfloor x + \frac{m-1}{m} \right\rfloor$$

has the value |x| + 1. The sum of these terms thus equals

$$(m-k)\lfloor x\rfloor + k(\lfloor x\rfloor + 1) = m\lfloor x\rfloor + k = \lfloor mx\rfloor.$$

4. Polya & Szegö

The proof by Polya & Szegö [6] is very short. Clearly it suffices to consider the case $0 \le x < 1$. Determine k such that

$$x + \frac{k-1}{m} < 1 \le x + \frac{k}{m},$$

i.e., -k = [mx - m] = [mx] - m. Both sides are = m - k.

5. Matsuoka

The elementary proof given by Matsuoka [5] was included (without attribution) in [7, Ch. 12].

Proof. Consider the function

$$f(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor x + \frac{1}{m} \rfloor + \ldots + \lfloor x + \frac{m-1}{m} \rfloor - \lfloor mx \rfloor.$$

It is immediately seen that $f(x + \frac{1}{m}) = f(x)$ and that f(x) = 0 for $0 \le x < \frac{1}{m}$. Thus f(x) = 0 for all real values of x, and this proves the claim.

References

- [1] M. A. Basoco, On the greatest integer function, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 42 (1936), 720-726
- [2] J. Giulini, Contributo alla teoria della funzione numerica E(x), G. Mat. 42 (1904), 103–108
- [3] Ch. Hermite, Sur quelques conséquences arithmétiques des formules de la théorie des fonctions elliptiques, Extrait du Bulletin de l'Acad. Sci. St. Pétersb. XXIX., Acta Math. 5 (1884), 297-330; Oeuvres 4 (1917), 138-168
- [4] F. Lemmermeyer, Hermite's Identity and the Quadratic Reciprocity Law, Elem. Math. (2022)
- [5] Y. Matsuoka, On a proof of Hermite's identity, Amer. Math. Monthly **71** (1964), 1115
- [6] G. Polya, G. Szegö, Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis, Springer Verlag Berlin, 1934
- [7] S. Savchev, T. Andreescu, Mathematical miniatures, MAA 2003
- [8] M. Stern, Sur un théorème de M. Hermite relatif à la function E(x), Acta Math. 8 (1886), 93–96
- [9] H. Weber, Theorie der Abel'schen Zahlkörper, Acta Math. 8 (1886), 193–263

MÖRIKEWEG 1, 73489 JAGSTZELL Email address: hb3@uni-heidelberg.de