

Theorem. *The sets S and S^* are (left and right) Ore sets, i.e. the localisations Λ_S and Λ_{S^*} of Λ exist and the following holds:*

- (i) *The category of all finitely generated S^* -torsion $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$ -modules coincides with $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{G})$.*
- (ii) *There is an long exact localisation sequence of K -groups*

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \Lambda(\mathcal{G})_{S^*} \\
 \downarrow \\
 K_1(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})) \longrightarrow K_1(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})_{S^*}) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_0(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{G})) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

and analogously for $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})_S$ and the category of finitely generated S -torsion modules.

- (iii) *There is a canonical way of evaluation an element $f \in K_1(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})_{S^*})$ at any continuous representation $\rho : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow GL_n(\mathcal{O})$ with $n \geq 1$ and \mathcal{O} the ring of integers of a finite extension (depending of ρ) of \mathbb{Q}_p :*

$$f(\rho) \in \mathbb{C}_p \cup \{\infty\},$$

i.e. f can be considered as a map on the set of such representations.

Conjecture 1 (Torsion-conjecture). *The dual of the Selmer group is S^* -torsion:*

$$X(E/F_\infty) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{G}).$$

$K(F_\infty)$ max. abelian ext. of \mathbb{Q} inside
 F_∞ in which p does *not* ramify
 $L = K(F_\infty)_{\mathfrak{P}}$ for some $\mathfrak{P}|p$, $[L : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$!
 $D = \mathcal{O}_L$

Conjecture 2 (p -adic L -function)

There is a (unique) $\mathcal{L}_E \in K_1(\Lambda(G)_{S^*})$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_E(\check{\varrho}) = \frac{L_R(E, \varrho, 1)}{\Omega_+^{d^+(\varrho)} \Omega_-^{d^-(\varrho)}} e_p(\check{\varrho}) \frac{P_p(\check{\varrho}, u^{-1})}{P_p(\varrho, w^{-1})} u^{-f_p(\check{\varrho})}$$

for all Artin representations ϱ of G , where

$$\Omega_{\pm} = \int_{\gamma^{\pm}} \omega, \quad \omega \text{ Neron differential}$$

$$R = \{q \text{ prime, } |j(E)|_q > 1\} \cup \{p\}$$

$$1 - a_p T + pT^2 = (1 - uT)(1 - wT), \quad u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$$

$p^{f_p(\varrho)}$ p -part of conductor of ϱ

$P_p(\varrho, T) = \det(1 - \text{Frob}_p^{-1} T | V_{\varrho}^{I_p})$ Euler-factor of ρ

$$d^{\pm}(\varrho) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\varrho}^{\pm}$$

$e_p(\varrho)$ local ϵ -factor of ϱ at p

($\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ are fixed)

Conjecture 2.

Conjecture 3 (Main Conjecture). *The p -adic L -function \mathcal{L}_E is a characteristic element of $X(E/F_\infty)$:*

$$\partial\mathcal{L}_E = [X(E/F_\infty)]_D.$$

Theorem (Manin-Višik, Katz, Yager, de Shalit, ...)

There is a unique $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\psi}} := \mu \in D[[G]]$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\psi}}(\bar{\chi}) = \int_G \bar{\chi} d\mu = \frac{\Omega_p}{\Omega} e_p(\bar{\chi}) P_p(\bar{\chi}, u^{-1}) P_{\bar{p}}(\chi, u^{-1}) L(\bar{\psi}\chi, 1)$$

for all Artin-character χ of G , where

$\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ complex period s.t. $\Lambda_E = \Omega \mathcal{O}_K$

$\Omega_p \in D^\times$ p -adic period

$u = \psi(\bar{p}) = \bar{\pi}$

$\mathfrak{p}^{f_p(\chi)}$ p -part of conductor of χ

$e_p(\chi)$ epsilon-factor of χ at p

$D = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p^{nr}}$

Remark

(i) $\frac{\Omega_p}{\Omega}$ is independent of choices

(ii) We do **not know** whether $\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\psi}}(\bar{\chi})}{\Omega_p} \in K_p(\chi)$ for all χ .

Theorem (Bouganis, V.). *Assume Conjecture 1. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}_E \in K_1(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathcal{G}]]_S)$$

and Conjecture 2 and 3 hold.

Remark. Similar results hold for Größencharacters ψ of type $(k, 0)$.

Lemma. *(Deligne's period conjecture, Blasius,)*

$$\mathcal{L}_E(\rho) \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\rho)$$

for all $\rho \in \text{Irr}\mathcal{G}$.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
L/\mathbb{Q}_p & \text{finite, unramified} \\
\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_L & \\
L^{nr} & \text{max. unramified ext. of } L \\
D := \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{L^{nr}}} &
\end{array}$$

$$\iota : K_1(\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{G})_{S^*}) \rightarrow K_1(\Lambda_D(\mathcal{G})_{S^*})$$

Theorem. *Assume that*

- (i) $L(\rho)/L$ is totally ramified (or trivial) for all $\rho \in \text{Irr}\mathcal{G}$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{L} \in K_1(\Lambda_D(\mathcal{G})_{S^*})$ is induced from an element in $\Lambda_D(\mathcal{G}) \cap (\Lambda_D(\mathcal{G})_{S^*})^\times$
- (iii) $\mathcal{L}(\rho) \in L(\rho)$ for all $\rho \in \text{Irr}\mathcal{G}$,
- (iv) there is an $F \in K_1(\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{G})_{S^*})$ such that

$$\partial(\mathcal{L} \cdot \iota(F)^{-1}) = 0$$

(e.g. if \mathcal{L} is the characteristic element of the base change from a module in $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{O},\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{G})$).

Then there exists $\mathcal{L}' \in K_1(\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{G})_{S^*})$ with

$$\mathcal{L}'(\rho) = \mathcal{L}(\rho) \text{ for all } \rho \in \text{Irr}\mathcal{G}$$

and

$$\partial(\mathcal{L}') = \partial(F),$$

in particular

$$\iota\partial(\mathcal{L}') = \partial(\mathcal{L}).$$

The proof needs a generalisation of a result of M. Taylor:

Theorem. (*Izychev, Snaith, V.*)

$$(i) \text{ Det}(K_1(\Lambda_D(\mathcal{G})))^{\text{Frob}_p=1} = \text{Det}(K_1(\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{G}))),$$

$$(ii) K_1(\Lambda_D(\mathcal{G}))^{\text{Frob}_p=1} = K_1(\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{G})).$$

work in progress!