

BERNSTEIN CENTER OF SUPERCUSPIDAL BLOCKS

MANISH MISHRA

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbf{G} be a tamely ramified connected reductive group defined over a non-archimedean local field k . We show that the Bernstein center of a tame supercuspidal block of $\mathbf{G}(k)$ is isomorphic to the Bernstein center of a depth zero supercuspidal block of $\mathbf{G}^0(k)$ for some twisted Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G}^0 of \mathbf{G} .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{G} be a connected reductive group defined over a non archimedean local field k . Assume that \mathbf{G} splits over a tamely ramified extension k^t of k . We will denote the group of k -rational points of \mathbf{G} by G and likewise for other algebraic groups. In [8], Jiu-Kang Yu gives a very general construction of a class of supercuspidal representations of G which he calls *tame*. A tame supercuspidal representation $\pi = \pi_\Sigma$ of G is constructed out of a depth zero supercuspidal representation π_0 of G^0 and some additional data, where \mathbf{G}^0 is a *twisted* Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G} . By twisted, we mean that $\mathbf{G}^0 \otimes k^t$ is a Levi factor of a parabolic subgroup of $\mathbf{G} \otimes k^t$. The additional data, together with \mathbf{G}^0 and π_0 is what we are denoting by Σ in the notation π_Σ . In [4], Kim showed that under certain hypothesis, which are met for instance when the residue characteristic is large, these tame supercuspidals exhaust all the supercuspidals of G .

The depth zero supercuspidal π_0 of G^0 is compactly induced from (K^0, ϱ_0) where K^0 is a compact mod center open subgroup of G^0 and ϱ_0 is a representation of K^0 . The constructed representation π_Σ is compactly induced from (K, ϱ) , where K is a compact mod center open subgroup of G containing K^0 and ϱ is a representation of K . The representation ϱ is of the form $\varrho_0 \otimes \kappa$, where ϱ_0 is seen as a representation of K by extending from K^0 “trivially” (see [8, Sec. 4]) and κ is a representation of K constructed out of the part of Σ which is independent of ϱ_0 .

Let \mathfrak{Z}^π (resp. $\mathfrak{Z}_0^{\pi_0}$) denote the *Bernstein center* of the *Bernstein block* (see Section 4 for these terms) of G (resp. G^0) containing π (resp. π_0). Under certain hypothesis $C(\vec{\mathbf{G}})$ [3, Page 47], we show that:

Theorem. $\mathfrak{Z}^\pi \cong \mathfrak{Z}_0^{\pi_0}$. Thus, the Bernstein center of a tame supercuspidal block of G is isomorphic to the Bernstein center of a depth zero supercuspidal block of a twisted Levi subgroup of G .

Let $\mathcal{H}(G, \varrho)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}(G^0, \varrho_0)$) denote the Hecke algebra of the type constructed out of (K, ϱ) (resp. (K^0, ϱ_0)) (see [1, Sec. 5.4]). As a consequence of the above theorem, we obtain

$$Z(\mathcal{H}(G, \varrho)) \cong Z(\mathcal{H}(G^0, \varrho_0)).$$

Under certain conditions on π ([1, Sec. 5.5]) which are satisfied quite often, for instance whenever π is generic, the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, \varrho)$ is commutative. Assuming these conditions, in Corollary 11 we prove a Conjecture of Yu [8, Conj. 0.2], which is a special case of his more general conjecture [8, Conj. 17.7].

2. NOTATIONS

Throughout this article, k denotes a non-archimedean local field. For an algebraic group \mathbf{G} defined over k , we will denote its k -rational points by G . We will follow standard abuses of notation and terminology and refer, for example, to parabolic subgroups of G in place of k -points of k -parabolic subgroups of \mathbf{G} . Center of \mathbf{G} will be denoted by $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{G}}$. The category of smooth representations of G will be denoted by $\mathfrak{R}(G)$.

3. YU'S CONSTRUCTION [8]

Let \mathbf{G} be a connected reductive group defined over a non-archimedean local field k . A twisted k -Levi subgroup \mathbf{G}' of \mathbf{G} is a reductive k -subgroup such that $\mathbf{G}' \otimes_k \bar{k}$ is a Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G} \otimes_k \bar{k}$. Yu's construction involves the notion of a generic \mathbf{G} -datum. It is a quintuple $\Sigma = (\vec{\mathbf{G}}, y, \vec{r}, \vec{\phi}, \rho)$ satisfying the following:

- (1) $\vec{\mathbf{G}} = (\mathbf{G}^0 \subsetneq \mathbf{G}^1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathbf{G}^d = \mathbf{G})$ is a tamely ramified twisted Levi sequence such that $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{G}^0}/\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{G}}$ is anisotropic.
- (2) y is a point in the extended Bruhat-Tits building of \mathbf{G}^0 over k .
- (3) $\vec{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{d-1}, r_d)$ is a sequence of positive real numbers with $0 < r_0 < \dots < r_{d-2} < r_{d-1} \leq r_d$ if $d > 0$, $0 \leq r_0$ if $d = 0$.
- (4) $\vec{\phi} = (\phi_0, \dots, \phi_d)$ is a sequence of quasi-characters, where ϕ_i is a G^{i+1} -generic quasi-character [8, Sec. 9] of G^i ; ϕ_i is trivial on G_{y, r_i}^i , but nontrivial on G_{y, r_i}^i for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. If $r_{d-1} < r_d$, ϕ_d is nontrivial on G_{y, r_d}^i and trivial on G_{y, r_d}^d . Otherwise, $\phi_d = 1$. Here $G_{y, r}^i$ denote the filtration subgroups of the parahoric at y defined by Moy-Prasad (see [6, Sec. 2.6]).
- (5) ρ is an irreducible representation of $G_{[y]}^0$, the stabilizer in G^0 of the image $[y]$ of y in the reduced building of \mathbf{G}^0 , such that $\rho|_{G_{y, 0+}^0}$ is isotrivial and $c\text{-Ind}_{G_{[y]}^0}^{G^0} \rho$ is irreducible and supercuspidal.

Let $K^0 = G_{[y]}^0$ and $K^i = G_{[y]}^0 G_{y, s_0}^1 \dots G_{y, s_{i-1}}^i$ where $s_j = r_j/2$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. In [8, Sec. 11], Yu constructs certain representation κ of $K^d = K^d(\Sigma)$ which is

independent of ρ and constructed only out of $(\vec{\mathbf{G}}, y, \vec{r}, \vec{\phi})$. Extend ρ “trivially” to a representation of K^d (see [8, Sec. 4]) and write $\rho_\Sigma := \rho \otimes \kappa$.

Theorem 1 (Yu). $\pi_\Sigma := c\text{-Ind}_{K^d}^G \rho_\Sigma$ is irreducible and thus supercuspidal.

The following theorem of Kim [4] says that under certain hypothesis (which are met for instance when the residue characteristic is sufficiently large), the representations π_Σ for various generic \mathbf{G} -datum Σ exhaust all the supercuspidal representations of G .

Theorem 2 (Ju-Lee Kim). Suppose the hypothesis (Hk), (HB), (HGT) and (HN) in [4] are valid. Then all the supercuspidal representations of G arise through Yu’s construction.

In [3, Theorem 6.6, 6.7] under certain hypothesis denoted by $C(\vec{\mathbf{G}})$ [3, Page 47], Hakim and Murnaghan determine when two supercuspidal representations are equivalent:

Theorem 3 (Hakim-Murnaghan). Let $\Sigma = (\vec{\mathbf{G}}, y, \vec{r}, \vec{\phi}, \rho)$ and $\Sigma' = (\vec{\mathbf{G}}', y', \vec{r}', \vec{\phi}', \rho')$ be two generic G -data. Set $\phi = \prod_{i=1}^d \phi_i |G^0$, $\phi' = \prod_{i=1}^{d'} \phi'_i |G^{0'}$, $\pi_0 = c\text{-Ind}_{G_{[y]}}^{G^0} \rho$ and $\pi'_0 = c\text{-Ind}_{G_{[y']}}^{G^{0'}} \rho'$. Then $\pi_\Sigma \cong \pi_{\Sigma'}$ if and only if there exists $g \in G$ such that $K^d(\Sigma) = {}^g K^{d'}(\Sigma')$ and $\rho_\Sigma = {}^g \rho_{\Sigma'}$ if and only if $G^0 = {}^g G^{0'}$ and $\pi_0 \otimes \phi \cong {}^g (\pi'_0 \otimes \phi')$.

4. BERNSTEIN DECOMPOSITION

Let $X_k(\mathbf{G}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{G}_m)$, the lattice of k -rational characters of \mathbf{G} . Let

$${}^\circ G := \{g \in G : \text{val}_k(\chi(g)) = 0, \forall \chi \in X_k(\mathbf{G})\}.$$

In [5, Section 7], Kottwitz defined a functorial homomorphism $\kappa'_G : G \rightarrow X_*(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{G}})_{I_k}^{\text{Fr}}$. Here $X_*(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{G}})$ denotes the cocharacter lattice of $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{G}}$, $(\cdot)^{\text{Fr}}$ (resp. $(\cdot)_{I_k}$) denotes taking invariant (resp. coinvariant) with respect to Frobenius Fr (resp. inertia subgroup I_k). The map κ'_G induces a functorial surjective map:

$$(4.1) \quad \kappa_G : G \rightarrow X_*(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{G}})_{I_k}^{\text{Fr}} / \text{torsion}$$

and $\ker(\kappa_G)$ is precisely ${}^\circ G$ (see [2, Sec. 3.3.1]).

Let $X_{\text{nr}}(G) := \text{Hom}(G/{}^\circ G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ denote the group of *unramified characters* of G . For a smooth representation π of G , the representations $\pi \otimes \chi$, $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G(k))$ are called the *unramified twists* of π .

Consider the collection of all cuspidal pairs (L, σ) consisting of a Levi subgroup L of G and an irreducible cuspidal representation σ of L . Define an equivalence relation \sim on the class of all cuspidal pairs by

$$(L, \sigma) \sim (M, \tau) \text{ if } {}^g L = M \text{ and } {}^g \sigma \cong \tau \nu,$$

for some $g \in G$ and some $\nu \in X_{\text{nr}}(M)$. Write $[L, \sigma]$ for the equivalence class of (L, σ) and $\mathfrak{B}(G)$ for the set of all equivalence classes. The set $\mathfrak{B}(G)$ is called the *Bernstein spectrum* of G . We say that a smooth irreducible representation π has *inertial support* $\mathfrak{s} := [L, \sigma]$ if π appears as a subquotient of a representation parabolically induced from some element of \mathfrak{s} . Define a full subcategory $\mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ of $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ as follows: a smooth representation π belongs to $\mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ iff each irreducible subquotient of π has inertial support \mathfrak{s} . The categories $\mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G), \mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{B}(G)$, are called the *Bernstein Blocks* of G .

Theorem 4 (Bernstein). *We have*

$$\mathfrak{R}(G) = \prod_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{B}(G)} \mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G).$$

Definition 5. The endomorphism ring of the identity functor of $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{R}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$) is called the *Bernstein center* of $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{R}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$).

The following result of Roche [7, Theorem 1.10.3.1] relates the Bernstein center of a block with the center of the corresponding Hecke algebra.

Theorem 6 (Roche). *Let e be an idempotent in the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(G)$. View \mathcal{H} as a smooth G -module via the left regular representation, and write $e = \sum_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{S}} e_{\mathfrak{s}}$ according to the Bernstein decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{S}_e} \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{s}}$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_e = \{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{B}(G) : e_{\mathfrak{s}} \neq 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}(G)^{\mathfrak{S}_e} = \prod_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{S}_e} \mathfrak{Z}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$, where $\mathfrak{Z}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the Bernstein center of the block $\mathfrak{R}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$. Let $\mathcal{Z}(e\mathcal{H}e)$ denote the center of the algebra $e\mathcal{H}e$.*

Then the map $z \mapsto z(e)$ defines an algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{Z}(G)^{\mathfrak{S}_e} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Z}(e\mathcal{H}e)$.

5. MAIN RESULT

We use the notations of Section 3. So \mathbf{G} is a connected reductive group over k , $\Sigma = (\vec{\mathbf{G}}, y, \vec{r}, \vec{\phi}, \rho)$ is a generic \mathbf{G} -datum, $K^0 = G_{[y]}^0$ and $K^i = G_{[y]}^0 G_{y, s_0}^1 \cdots G_{y, s_{i-1}}^i$ where $s_j = r_j/2$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Then in [8], Yu constructs a representation ρ_{Σ} of K^d such that $\pi_{\Sigma} := c\text{-Ind}_{K^d}^G \rho_{\Sigma}$ is irreducible and thus supercuspidal. The representation $\pi_0 = c\text{-Ind}_{K^0}^{G^0} \rho$ is depth zero supercuspidal. Write ${}^{\circ}K^d := K^d \cap {}^{\circ}G$ (resp. ${}^{\circ}K^0 := K^0 \cap {}^{\circ}G^0$) and ${}^{\circ}\rho_{\Sigma} := \rho_{\Sigma}|_{{}^{\circ}K^d}$ (resp. ${}^{\circ}\rho = \rho|_{{}^{\circ}K^0}$). Here ${}^{\circ}G$ is as defined in Section 4. Then $({}^{\circ}K^d, {}^{\circ}\rho_{\Sigma})$ (resp. $({}^{\circ}K, {}^{\circ}\rho)$) is an $\mathfrak{s} := [G, \pi_{\Sigma}]_G$ (resp. $\mathfrak{s}_0 := [G^0, \pi_0]_{G^0}$) type [8, Corr. 15.3].

Let $\mathfrak{Z}(G)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{Z}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$, resp. $\mathfrak{Z}(G^0)^{\mathfrak{s}_0}$) be the Bernstein center of the category $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{R}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$, resp. $\mathfrak{R}(G^0)^{\mathfrak{s}_0}$). Assume the hypothesis $C(\vec{\mathbf{G}})$ in [3, Page 47].

Theorem 7. $\mathfrak{Z}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \mathfrak{Z}(G^0)^{\mathfrak{s}_0}$.

Proof. By functoriality of the map (4.1), the inclusion $\mathbf{G}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}$ induces a map $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G) \mapsto \chi|_{G^0} \in X_{\text{nr}}(G^0)$. For an irreducible representation τ of G , define

$$\mathfrak{S}^G(\tau) = \{\nu \in X_{\text{nr}}(G) : \tau\nu \cong \tau\}.$$

Similarly define $\mathfrak{S}^{G^0}(\mu)$ for an irrep μ of G^0 . Given $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G)$, define a new quintuple $\Sigma_\chi = (\vec{\mathbf{G}}, y, \vec{r}, \vec{\phi}, \rho \otimes (\chi|K^0))$. We have $\pi_\Sigma \otimes \chi \cong c\text{-Ind}_{K^d}^G(\rho \otimes \kappa \otimes (\chi|K^d))$. Since χ is unramified, it follows that $\pi_\Sigma \otimes \chi \cong \pi_{\Sigma_\chi}$. Now if $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G)$ is such that $\pi_0 \otimes \chi|G^0 \cong \pi_0$, then it follows from Theorem 3 or directly that $\pi_{\Sigma_\chi} \cong \pi_\Sigma$, i.e., $\pi_\Sigma \otimes \chi \cong \pi_\Sigma$. Conversely suppose $\pi_\Sigma \otimes \chi \cong \pi$. By Theorem 3 ([3, Theorem 6.6 and 6.7]), this is equivalent to (K^d, ρ_Σ) being G -conjugate to $(K^d, \rho_{\Sigma_\chi})$. Let $\rho' = (\rho \otimes \chi|K^0)$. Since $\rho|G_{y,0+}^0 = \rho'|G_{y,0+}^0$ is isotrivial, it follows from [8, Prop. 4.4 and 4.1] that we can assume the conjugating element g to be in G^0 . Then by Theorem 3, we get $\pi_0 \otimes \phi \cong (\pi'_0 \otimes \phi)$ as G^0 -representations, where ϕ is as in Theorem 3 and $\pi'_0 = c\text{-Ind}_{G_{|y|}^0}^G \rho'$. It follows that $\pi_0 \otimes \chi|G^0 \cong \pi_0$. Thus we get an injective map $X_{\text{nr}}(G)/\mathfrak{S}^G(\pi_\Sigma) \rightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(G^0)/\mathfrak{S}^{G^0}(\pi_0)$.

Now given $\nu \in X_{\text{nr}}(G^0)$, using notation similar to before, write $\Sigma_\nu = (\vec{\mathbf{G}}, y, \vec{r}, \vec{\phi}, \rho \otimes (\nu|K^0))$. Since $({}^\circ K^d, {}^\circ \rho_\Sigma) = ({}^\circ K^d, {}^\circ \rho_{\Sigma_\nu})$ and $({}^\circ K^d, {}^\circ \rho_\Sigma)$ is an \mathfrak{s} -type, it follows that $\pi_{\Sigma_\nu} \cong \pi_\Sigma \otimes \chi$ for some $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G)$. Then again we have, $\pi_0 \otimes \nu \cong \pi_0 \otimes (\chi|G^0)$, i.e., $(\chi|G^0) - \nu \in \mathfrak{S}^{G^0}(\pi_0)$. This shows that the map $X_{\text{nr}}(G)/\mathfrak{S}^G(\pi_\Sigma) \rightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(G^0)/\mathfrak{S}^{G^0}(\pi_0)$ is also surjective and therefore an isomorphism.

Let $\text{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ (resp. $\text{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^\circ}(G^0)$) denote the isomorphism classes of irreducible elements in $\mathfrak{R}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{R}(G^0)^{\mathfrak{s}^\circ}$). The isomorphism $X_{\text{nr}}(G)/\mathfrak{S}^G(\pi_\Sigma) \rightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(G^0)/\mathfrak{S}^{G^0}(\pi_0)$ induces an isomorphism $\text{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) \rightarrow \text{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^\circ}(G^0)$. It is clear that the later is independent of the choice of π_Σ in $\text{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$. Since $\mathfrak{Z}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{Z}(G^0)^{\mathfrak{s}^\circ}$) is the ring of regular functions on $\text{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ (resp. $\text{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^\circ}(G^0)$), the Theorem follows. \square

Let $\Sigma' = (\vec{\mathbf{G}}', y', \vec{r}', \vec{\phi}', \rho')$ be another generic G -data such that $\pi_\Sigma \cong \pi_{\Sigma'}$. Then arguing as in Theorem 7, we obtain that there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{Z}(G^0)^{\mathfrak{s}^\circ} \cong \mathfrak{Z}(G'^0)^{\mathfrak{s}'^\circ}$. Write $\mathfrak{Z}(G)_0^{\mathfrak{s}} = \varprojlim \mathfrak{Z}(G^0)^{\mathfrak{s}^\circ}$ to get a ring independent of the choice of Σ . Then Theorem 7 can be written in a canonical way as:

Theorem 8. *There is a canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{Z}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \mathfrak{Z}(G)_0^{\mathfrak{s}}$.*

For each irreducible object $\tau \in \mathfrak{R}(G)$ and $z \in \mathfrak{Z}(G)$, denote by $\chi_z(\tau)$, the scalar by which z acts on τ . Let $z \in \mathfrak{Z}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \mapsto z_0 \in \mathfrak{Z}(G^0)^{\mathfrak{s}^\circ}$ and $\pi \in \text{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) \mapsto \pi_0 \in \text{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^\circ}(G^0)$ under the isomorphisms described in Theorem 7.

Corollary 9. $\chi_z(\pi) = \chi_{z_0}(\pi_0)$.

Proof. This follows from [7, Prop. 1.6.4.1] and Theorem 7. \square

For an algebra \mathcal{A} , denote by $Z(\mathcal{A})$ the center of \mathcal{A} . Let $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho_\Sigma)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}(G^0, \rho)$) denote the Hecke algebra of the type $({}^\circ K^d, {}^\circ \rho_\Sigma)$ (resp. $({}^\circ K^0, {}^\circ \rho)$).

Corollary 10. $Z(\mathcal{H}(G, \rho_\Sigma)) \cong Z(\mathcal{H}(G^0, \rho))$.

Proof. This follows from [7, Theorem 1.10.3.1]. \square

Now suppose that π_Σ satisfied the conditions (5.5) of [1]. These conditions are quite frequently satisfied, for instance whenever π_Σ is generic and therefore in particular for $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}(n, k)$. In that case, $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho_\Sigma)$ is commutative [1, Sec. 5.6]. With this assumption, we get the following corollary which is a special case of Yu's conjecture [8, Conjecture 17.7]:

Corollary 11. $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho_\Sigma) \cong \mathcal{H}(G^0, \rho)$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho_\Sigma)$ is commutative, $Z(\mathcal{H}(G, \rho_\Sigma)) = \mathcal{H}(G, \rho_\Sigma)$. By Corollary 10, we therefore have $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho_\Sigma) \cong Z(\mathcal{H}(G^0, \rho))$. But $\mathcal{H}(G^0, \rho)$ is naturally a subspace of $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho_\Sigma)$ ([8, Theorem 17.9]). It follows that $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho_\Sigma) \cong \mathcal{H}(G^0, \rho)$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is very thankful to Rainer Weissauer, Jeff Adler, Sandeep Varma and David Kazhdan for many helpful interactions. He is especially grateful to Jiu-Kang Yu for his careful proof reading and making important suggestions. He is also thankful to the Math Institute at Heidelberg University for supporting his stay during which this work was written.

REFERENCES

- [1] Colin J. Bushnell and Philip C. Kutzko. Smooth representations of reductive p -adic groups: structure theory via types. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 77(3):582–634, 1998.
- [2] Thomas J. Haines. The stable bernstein center and test functions for shimura varieties. *to appear in the proceedings for the London Mathematical Society - EPSRC Durham Symposium on Automorphic Forms and Galois Representations, Durham, July 18-28, 2011. 51 pages.*
- [3] Jeffrey Hakim and Fiona Murnaghan. Distinguished tame supercuspidal representations. *Int. Math. Res. Pap. IMRP*, (2):Art. ID rpn005, 166, 2008.
- [4] Ju-Lee Kim. Supercuspidal representations: an exhaustion theorem. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20(2):273–320 (electronic), 2007.
- [5] Robert E. Kottwitz. Isocrystals with additional structure. II. *Compositio Math.*, 109(3):255–339, 1997.
- [6] Allen Moy and Gopal Prasad. Unrefined minimal K -types for p -adic groups. *Invent. Math.*, 116(1-3):393–408, 1994.
- [7] Alan Roche. The Bernstein decomposition and the Bernstein centre. In *Ottawa lectures on admissible representations of reductive p -adic groups*, volume 26 of *Fields Inst. Monogr.*, pages 3–52. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [8] Jiu-Kang Yu. Construction of tame supercuspidal representations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14(3):579–622 (electronic), 2001.

E-mail address: manish.mishra@gmail.com

Current address: Im Neuenheimer Feld 288, D-69120, Heidelberg, Germany