

# On the degeneration of some spectral sequences

Alexander Schmidt

September, 2005

The following is my compilation of arguments given in [CE], [Gr], [De] and [Ja]. Maybe it is also useful for other people. I do not claim any originality. This material will very likely be contained in the second edition of Neukirch/Schmidt/Wingberg: Cohomology of Number Fields.

## 1 Spectral sequences

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category. Recall that a (decreasing) filtration  $F$  of an object  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a family  $(F^n A)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of subobjects of  $A$  such that  $F^m A \subset F^n A$  for  $n \leq m$ . By convention, we put  $F^\infty A = 0$  and  $F^{-\infty} A = A$ . We say that the filtration is finite if there exist  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $F^m A = 0$  and  $F^n A = A$ . Let  $(X^\bullet, d)$  be a cochain complex consisting of objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $F^\bullet X^\bullet$  be a filtration of  $X^\bullet$  by subcomplexes, i.e. for each  $n$ ,  $F^n X^\bullet$  is a subcomplex of  $X^\bullet$ . We say that  $F^\bullet X^\bullet$  is biregular, if, for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the filtration  $F^\bullet X^n$  is finite.

A biregular filtration induces a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{pq} \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X^\bullet)$$

by defining for  $r \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$

$$Z_r^{pq} = \ker (F^p X^{p+q} \rightarrow F^p X^{p+q+1} / F^{p+r} X^{p+q+1}),$$

$$B_r^{pq} = d(F^{p-r} X^{p+q-1}) \cap F^p X^{p+q},$$

$$E_r^{pq} = Z_r^{pq} / B_{r-1}^{pq} + Z_{r-1}^{p+1, q-1}$$

and

$$F^p H^{p+q}(X^\bullet) = \text{im} (F^p H^{p+q}(X^\bullet) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(X^\bullet)).$$

One easily verifies that this spectral sequence converges, i.e. for fixed  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is an  $r_0$  such that

$$E_{r_0}^{pq} = E_{r_0+1}^{pq} = \dots = E_{\infty}^{pq}$$

and

$$E_{\infty}^{pq} = \text{gr}_p H^{p+q}(X^{\bullet}).$$

We say that a spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_{r_0}$  if the differentials  $d_r$  are zero for all  $r \geq r_0$ , i.e.  $E_{r_0}^{pq} = E_{\infty}^{pq}$  for all  $p, q$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *For the above spectral sequence, the following assertions are equivalent*

- (i) *The spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_1$ .*
- (ii) *For all  $n, p$  we have  $F^p X^n \cap d(X^{n-1}) = d(F^p X^{n-1})$ .*
- (iii) *For all  $n, p$  the natural map  $F^p H^{p+q}(X^{\bullet}) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(X^{\bullet})$  is injective.*

If, moreover, the maps in (iii) are split-injections, we obtain a splitting

$$H^n(X^{\bullet}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=n} E_1^{pq}.$$

*Proof.* [De] Proposition 1.3.2. □

If  $A^{\bullet\bullet}$  is a double complex, with total complex

$$X^{\bullet} = \text{tot}(A^{\bullet\bullet})$$

then we consider the filtration

$$F^p X^n = \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=n \\ i \geq p}} A^{ij}.$$

If there exists an  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $A^{ij} = 0$ , for  $i < m$  or  $j < m$ , this filtration is biregular and induces a spectral sequence converging to the cohomology of  $X^{\bullet}$ . We will refer to this this spectral sequence as the spectral sequence associated to the double complex  $A^{\bullet\bullet}$ .

## 2 Displacing

By a formal reindexing procedure, we can displace a spectral sequence in the following sense: Assume we are given a spectral sequence  $E_r^{pq} \Rightarrow E^{p+q}$ . Putting  $\tilde{E}_r^{pq} = E_{r+1}^{2p+q, -p}$ , we obtain a new spectral sequence converging to the same end terms, but with shifted indices. It is a remarkable fact that, if the spectral sequence  $E$  arises from a biregular filtered cochain complex as in the last section, then the spectral sequence  $\tilde{E}$  arises from another filtration of the same complex. This will be useful in showing that a spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_2$ , just by showing that the displaced spectral sequence  $\tilde{E}$  satisfies the conditions of Proposition 1.1.

Let  $F^\bullet X^\bullet$  be a biregular filtered cochain complex. Consider the “displaced filtration”<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathrm{Dis}(F)^p X^n = Z_1^{p+n, -p},$$

where the term on the right hand side has been formed with respect to the filtration  $F$ . We denote the complex  $X^\bullet$  together with the filtration  $\mathrm{Dis}(F)$  by  $\mathrm{Dis}(X^\bullet)$ . We have the

**Proposition 2.1.** *There are natural isomorphism for all  $r \geq 1$  commuting with the corresponding differentials*

$$E_r^{pq}(\mathrm{Dis}(X^\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{r+1}^{2p+q, -p}(X^\bullet).$$

*Proof.* [De] Proposition 1.3.4. □

Now we consider a special example. Let  $C^\bullet$  and  $K^\bullet$  be bounded below complexes of abelian groups and put  $A^{\bullet\bullet} = C^\bullet \otimes K^\bullet$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $C^\bullet$  consists of flat (i.e. torsion-free) abelian groups, then the spectral sequence of the double complex  $A^{\bullet\bullet}$  degenerates at  $E_2$ . Furthermore, we have a splitting*

$$H^n(X^\bullet) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=n} E_2^{pq}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be the standard filtration on  $X^\bullet = \mathrm{tot}(A^{\bullet\bullet})$  as in the last section, i.e.  $F^p X^n = \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=n \\ i \geq p}} A^{ij}$ . Then, using the flatness of  $C^\bullet$ , one verifies that

$$\mathrm{Dis}^p(X^\bullet) = \mathrm{tot}(C^\bullet \otimes \tau_{\leq -p} K^\bullet),$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Deligne use the name “filtration décalée” in [De]

where  $\tau_{\leq -p}$  is the canonical truncation functor. By Propositions 1.1 and 2.1, it therefore remains to show that for all  $n, m$  the natural homomorphism

$$H^n(C^\bullet \otimes \tau_{\leq m} K^\bullet) \rightarrow H^n(C^\bullet \otimes \tau_{\leq m+1} K^\bullet)$$

is a split-injection. The complex  $\tau_{\leq m+1} K^\bullet$  is bounded in both directions and therefore we find a complex  $Y^\bullet$  bounded in both directions and consisting of free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules together with a quasi-isomorphism  $Y^\bullet \rightarrow \tau_{\leq m+1} K^\bullet$ . The inclusion

$$\tau_{\leq m} Y^\bullet \rightarrow Y^\bullet$$

has a section and, by the flatness of  $C^\bullet$ , we obtain a compatible quasi-isomorphisms

$$C^\bullet \otimes \tau_{\leq m} Y^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet \otimes \tau_{\leq m} K^\bullet$$

and

$$C^\bullet \otimes Y^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet \otimes \tau_{\leq m+1} K^\bullet.$$

Finally, the inclusion  $C^\bullet \otimes \tau_{\leq m} Y^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet \otimes Y^\bullet$  has a section, showing the result.  $\square$

### 3 The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

Let  $G$  be a profinite group and let  $H \subset G$  be a closed normal subgroup. Let  $A$  be a  $G$ -module. To the standard resolution  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow X^\bullet$  of the  $G$ -module  $A$ , we apply the functor  $H^0(H, -)$ , and get the complex

$$H^0(H, X^0) \rightarrow H^0(H, X^1) \rightarrow H^0(H, X^2) \rightarrow \dots$$

of  $G/H$ -modules. For each  $H^0(H, X^q)$ , we consider the cochain complex

$$H^0(H, X^q)^{G/H} \rightarrow C^\bullet(G/H, H^0(H, X^q))$$

and obtain a double complex

$$C^{pq} = C^p(G/H, H^0(H, X^q)) = X^p(G/H, X^q(G, A)^H)^{G/H}, \quad p, q \geq 0.$$

We define the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence as the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} \implies E^n$$

associated with this double complex. One calculates

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(G/H, H^q(H, A)).$$

The functor ‘homogeneous cochain complex’ is a ‘resolving functor’ in the sense of [Gr], §2.5, and, by loc.cit. Proposition 2.5.3, the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence as defined above coincides with the spectral sequence for the composition of the derived functors of  $H^0(H, -)$  and  $H^0(G/H, -)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be profinite groups, and let  $B$  be a discrete  $H$ -module, regarded as a  $(G \times H)$ -module via trivial action of the group  $G$ .*

*Then the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(G, H^q(H, B)) \Rightarrow H^n(G \times H, B)$$

*degenerates at  $E_2$ . Furthermore, it splits in the sense that there is a decomposition*

$$H^n(G \times H, B) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^p(G, H^q(H, B)).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be a trivial  $G$ -module. Then we have a natural isomorphism of complexes*

$$C^\bullet(G, A) \cong C^\bullet(G, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes A.$$

*Proof.* This is easily verified for a finite group  $G$ . The result for profinite  $G$  follows by a straightforward limit process.  $\square$

*Proof of the theorem.* By our construction of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence and by the last lemma, it is the spectral sequence associated to the double complex

$$C^\bullet(G, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes X^\bullet(G \times H, B)^H.$$

Our result follows from Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

## References

- [CE] Cartan, E., Eilenberg, S.: Homological Algebra. Princeton Math. Ser. **19**, Princeton 1956
- [De] Deligne, P.: Théorie de Hodge II. Publ. Math. IHES **40** (1971), 5–57
- [Gr] Grothendieck, A.: Sur quelques points d’algèbre homologique. Tôhoku Math. J. **9** (1957) 119–221
- [Ja] Jannsen, U.: The splitting of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for a product of groups. Canad. Math. Bull. **33** (1990) 181–183