Talk 4a: The Hamilton-Jacobi method

Gabriele Benedetti

May 11, 2020

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

Introduction

The Hamilton-Jacobi method is a powerful way to find orbits minimizing the action. Two flavours:

- time dependent
 - good for time-fixed (Tonelli) minimizers,
 - we use it to prove Weierstrass' theorem;
- time independent
 - good for time-free minimizers,
 - we use it for the pendulum.

Notation:

• $L: TM \to \mathbb{R}$ Tonelli Lagrangian on manifold M,

• $H: T^*M \to \mathbb{R}$ associated Tonelli Hamiltonian.

Time-dependent subsolutions of HJ-equation

Definition (*L*-gradient)

Let $S : M \times [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be C^1 and write $S_t := S(\cdot, t) \ \forall t \in [a, b]$. The *L*-gradient of *S* is the time-dependent vector field on *M*

 $\operatorname{grad}_L S_t(x) = \operatorname{Leg}^{-1}(\operatorname{d}_x S_t), \quad \forall (x, t) \in M \times [a, b].$

Definition (Time-dependent subsolutions)

A C^1 -function $S : M \times [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a time-dependent subsolution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation if

 $H(x, d_x S_t) + \partial_t S_t(x) \leq 0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in M \times [a, b].$

We denote by $N_S \subset M \times [a, b]$ the set of pairs (x, t), where equality holds. We say that S is a solution if $N_S = M \times [a, b]$.

Time-dependent subsolutions yield Tonelli minimizers

Theorem (A) Let $S: M \times [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a subsolution and $x_0, x_1 \in M$. Then, $A_L(\gamma) \ge S_b(x_1) - S_a(x_0), \quad \forall \gamma \in C^{ac}_{x_0, x_1}([a, b], M)$ with equality iff γ is a flow line of $\operatorname{grad}_L S_t$ with $(t, \gamma(t)) \in N_S, \forall t$. Each such flow line is a Tonelli minimizer.

Proof.

For all $(x, v) \in TM$ we have by the Fenchel inequality

 $L(x, v) + H(x, d_x S_t) \ge d_x S_t \cdot v$

with equality if and only if $v = \operatorname{grad}_L S_t(x)$. Therefore,

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(x,v) &\geq \mathrm{d}_x \mathcal{S}_t \cdot v - \mathcal{H}(x,\mathrm{d}_x \mathcal{S}_t) \geq \mathrm{d}_x \mathcal{S}_t \cdot v + \partial_t \mathcal{S}_t(x) \ &= \mathrm{d}_{(x,t)} \mathcal{S} \cdot (v + \partial_t) \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $v = \operatorname{grad}_L S_t(x)$ and $(x, t) \in N_S$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} A_L(\gamma) \geq \int_a^b \mathrm{d}_{(\gamma(t),t)} S \cdot (\dot{\gamma}(t) + \partial_t) \mathrm{d}t &= \int_a^b \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Big[S(\gamma(t),t) \Big] \mathrm{d}t \\ &= S(\gamma(b),b) - S(\gamma(a),a) \\ &= S_b(x_1) - S_a(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Reminder of Weierstrass Theorem

Theorem (Part I)

Let L be bounded from below. For all $\tilde{K} \subset TM$ compact there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $(x, v) \in \tilde{K}$ the EL-solution

 $\gamma_{(x,v)}:[0,\delta]\to M,\qquad (\gamma_{(x,v)}(0),\dot{\gamma}_{(x,v)}(0))=(x,v)$

is well-defined and the unique minimizer in $C^{ac}_{x,\gamma_{(x,v)}(\delta)}([0,\delta],M)$.

Theorem (Part II)

Let L be bounded from below. For all $K \subset M$ compact there exist $C, \delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in K$ and $y \in M$ with $d(x, y) \leq C\delta$ there is a (unique) EL-Solution

$$\gamma: [0, \delta] \to M, \qquad \gamma(0) = x, \ \gamma(\delta) = y$$

which is the unique minimizer in $C_{x,v}^{ac}([0, \delta], M)$.

The proof

Part I \Rightarrow Part II.

By the implicit function theorem there exist $C, \delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in K$

$$ilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathsf{x}} := \{ \mathsf{v} \in \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{x}}\mathsf{M} \mid |\mathsf{v}|_{\mathsf{x}} \leq 2\mathsf{C} \} \to \mathsf{M}, \qquad \mathsf{v} \mapsto \gamma_{(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{v})}(\delta)$$

is an embedding whose image contains $\bar{B}_{C\delta}(x)$. To deduce Part II, apply Part I to $\tilde{K} = \bigcup_{x \in K} \tilde{K}_x$.

To prove Part I we use local existence of HJ-solutions.

Lemma

Let \tilde{K} be a compact set of TM. There are $\delta, \epsilon > 0$ such that for all $(x, v) \in \tilde{K}$ there exists a time-dependent HJ-solution of class C^2 $S : B_{\epsilon}(x) \times [0, \delta] \to M$ with $v = \operatorname{grad}_L S_0(x)$.

The proof

Proof of Part I.

Given $\tilde{K} \subset TM$ let δ and ϵ as in the lemma:

 $\forall (x,v) \in \tilde{K}, \; \exists S : B_{\epsilon}(x) \times [0,\delta] \to \mathbb{R}, C^{2} \text{ solution}, \; v = \operatorname{grad}_{L} S_{0}(x).$

Theorem (A) \Rightarrow flow line $\gamma_{(x,v)} : [0, \delta] \rightarrow B_{\epsilon}(x)$ of $\operatorname{grad}_{L}S_{t}$ through x is unique minimizer in $C_{x,y}^{ac}([0, \delta], B_{\epsilon}(x))$, $y := \gamma_{(x,v)}(\delta)$. $\gamma \in C^{2} \Rightarrow \gamma$ is EL-solution with initial condition (x, v). Left to show: $\gamma_{(x,v)}$ unique minimizer in $C_{x,y}^{ac}([0, \delta], M)$. Take γ in this set with $\gamma([0, \delta_{1})) \subset B_{\epsilon}(x)$, $\gamma(\delta_{1}) \in \partial B_{\epsilon}(x)$ for a δ_{1} . WLOG: $L \geq 0$ as L bounded from below. Then:

 $\begin{aligned} L &\geq 0 \\ A_L(\gamma) &\geq \int_0^{\delta_1} L(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}) \mathrm{d}t \geq d(\gamma(0), \gamma(\delta_1)) + B\delta_1 \geq \epsilon - |B|\delta \geq \epsilon/2, \\ \text{Then: } \tilde{K} \text{ compact} \Rightarrow L((\gamma_{(x,v)}, \dot{\gamma}_{(x,v)})) \leq C \text{ for some } C. \text{ Thus,} \\ A_L(\gamma_{(x,v)}) &\leq C\delta < \epsilon/2. \end{aligned}$

k-subsolutions of the HJ-equation

Definition (k-subsolutions)

Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$. A C^1 -function $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a k-subsolution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation if

$$H(x, d_x u) \leq k, \quad \forall x \in M.$$

We denote by $M_u \subset M$ the set of points x, where equality holds. We say that u is a k-solution if $M_u = M$.

Remark

- ▶ If u is a k-subsolution, S(x, t) = u(x) kt is a time-dependent subsolution on $M \times \mathbb{R}$.
- ▶ If M is closed and u_1 is a k_1 -solution, u_2 is a k_2 solution, then $k_1 = k_2$ ($\exists x \in M, d_x u_1 = d_x u_2$).
- If L(x, v) = ½|v|_x² then the geodesic radial coordinate r : B_ϵ(x) → (0,∞) is a ½-solution: by Gauss Lemma |dr| = 1.

k-subsolutions yield time-free minimizers for L + k

Theorem (B)

Let $u: M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a k-subsolution and $x_0, x_1 \in M$. Then,

$$A_{L+k}(\gamma) \geq u(x_1) - u(x_0), \qquad \forall \gamma \in \bigcup_{T>0} C^{ac}_{x_0,x_1}([0,T],M)$$

with equality iff γ is a flow line of $\operatorname{grad}_L u$ contained in M_u . Each such flow line is a time-free minimizer. Hence, a flow line $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to M$ of $\operatorname{grad}_L u$ with $\gamma(\mathbb{R}) \subset M_u$ is a global time-free minimizer for L + k.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Proof.

Same ideas as in Theorem (A).

k-subsolutions with other cohomology classes

Let θ be a closed 1-form on M with $c := [\theta] \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$. Set $L_{\theta} := L + \theta$. New Hamiltonian is $H_{\theta}(x, p) = H(x, p - \theta_x)$. A function $u_{\theta} : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a k-subsolution for L_{θ} iff

$$\forall x \in M, \quad H(x, \mathrm{d}_x u_\theta - \theta_x) \leq k.$$

Finding u_{θ} is equivalent to finding $\tilde{\theta}$ closed 1-form on M with

$$\forall x \in M, \quad H(x, ilde{ heta}_x) \leq k, \qquad [ilde{ heta}] = -c.$$

Moreover,

$$M_{u_{ heta}} = \{ x \in M \mid H(x, \tilde{ heta}_x) = k \}, \qquad \operatorname{grad}_{L_{ heta}} u_{ heta} = \operatorname{Leg}_L^{-1}(\tilde{ heta}).$$

Application to the pendulum

Consider the pendulum $L: TS^1 \to \mathbb{R}$, $L(x, v) = \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 + (1 - \cos x)$. $\forall k \ge 0, \exists \theta_k^{\pm}$ two closed forms with $c_k^{\pm} := [\theta_k^{\pm}] \in H^1(S^1; \mathbb{R})$ and

$$H(x,-(\theta_k^{\pm})_x)=k,\quad \forall x\in S^1.$$

Leg⁻¹($-\theta_k^{\pm}$)-flowlines are global time-free minimizers of $L_{\theta_k^{\pm}} + k$. For all $r \in [0, 1)$ the closed forms $r\theta_0^{\pm}$ have $[r\theta_0^{\pm}] = rc_0^{\pm}$ and satisfy

$$H(x,-(r heta_0^{\pm})_x)\leq 0,\quad \forall x\in S^1$$

with equality only at x = 0, where $\text{Leg}^{-1}(-r\theta_0^{\pm}) = 0$. Hence, the constant orbit at x = 0 is a global time-free minimizer for $L_{r\theta_0^{\pm}}$. It will follow from the general theory that these are the only global time-free minimizers for the pendulum (try direct proof).