

TRANSFER AND THE SPECTRUM-LEVEL SIEGEL-SULLIVAN KO-ORIENTATION FOR SINGULAR SPACES

MARKUS BANAGL

ABSTRACT. Integrally oriented normally nonsingular maps between singular spaces have associated transfer homomorphisms on KO-homology at odd primes. We prove that such transfers preserve Siegel-Sullivan orientations, defined when the singular spaces are compact pseudomanifolds satisfying the Witt condition, for example pure-dimensional compact complex algebraic varieties. This holds for bundle transfers associated to block bundles with manifold fibers as well as for Gysin restrictions associated to normally nonsingular inclusions. Our method is based on constructing a lift of the Siegel-Sullivan orientation to a morphism of highly structured ring spectra which factors through L-theory.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Multiplicative Identification of KO- and L-theory away from 2	4
3. The Orientations of Sullivan and Ranicki	6
4. The Classical Construction of the Siegel-Sullivan Orientation	12
5. Ring Spectrum Level Construction of the Siegel-Sullivan Orientation	15
6. Multiplicativity of Orientation Classes	22
7. Bundle Transfer of the Siegel-Sullivan Orientation	23
8. Gysin Restriction of the Siegel-Sullivan Orientation	26
References	28

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $KO_*(-)$ denote topological KO-homology and let M be a smooth n -dimensional closed oriented manifold. In his MIT notes [56], Sullivan introduced a class $\Delta_{SO}(M) \in KO_n(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, which is an orientation and plays a fundamental role in studying the K-theory of manifolds. For instance, Sullivan showed that topological block bundles away from 2 are characterized as spherical fibrations together with a $KO[\frac{1}{2}]$ -orientation. He went on to point out in [57] that given a class of oriented piecewise-linear (PL) pseudomanifolds equipped with a bordism invariant signature that extends the signature of manifolds and satisfies Novikov additivity and a product formula, an analogous procedure (based on suitable

Date: May 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 55N33, 55R12, 57N80, 57R20, 55N15, 19L41, 57Q20, 57Q50.

Key words and phrases. Stratified Spaces, Characteristic Classes, Orientation Classes, Bundle Transfer, Gysin maps, Intersection Homology, Bordism, KO-Homology, L-Theory.

This work is funded by a research grant of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Projektnummer 495696766.

transversality results in the singular context) still works to determine a canonical orientation in $\mathrm{KO}_*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. Goresky and MacPherson's intersection homology allowed for the construction of such signature invariants when the pseudomanifolds have only strata of even codimension, or more generally, if they satisfy the Witt condition introduced by Siegel in [55]. An oriented PL pseudomanifold is a Witt space, if the middle-perversity, middle-dimensional rational intersection homology of links of odd-codimensional strata vanishes. This class contains all complex algebraic varieties of pure dimension. The class of Witt spaces is contained in a yet larger class of pseudomanifolds, introduced in [3], [4], that support a bordism invariant signature. Roughly, these are spaces that admit a Lagrangian subsheaf in the link cohomology sheaf along strata of odd codimension. The present paper, however, will focus only on Witt spaces.

Thus Sullivan's general framework implies that n -dimensional closed Witt spaces X have a canonical orientation $\Delta(X) \in \mathrm{KO}_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. The construction of this element was described in detail by Siegel in [55], and is recalled in the present paper. We shall refer to it as the *Siegel-Sullivan orientation* of a Witt space. In [17], Cappell, Shaneson and Weinberger extended this orientation to an equivariant class for finite group actions satisfying a weak regularity condition on the fixed point sets. Under the Pontrjagin character, $\Delta(X)$ is a lift of the Goresky-MacPherson L -class $L_*(X) \in H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$. The latter already contains significant global information on the singular space X (see [18], [60]) and its concrete computation is correspondingly challenging. For complex projective varieties X it is often possible to obtain information on $L_*(X)$ by cutting down to transverse intersections of X with smooth subvarieties using Gysin homomorphisms. For example it is possible to reduce L -class computations for singular Schubert varieties entirely to signature computations of explicitly given *algebraic* subvarieties. This approach, introduced in [7] and pursued systematically in [11], requires a thorough understanding of how characteristic and orientation classes for singular spaces transform under Gysin restriction.

Let $g : Y \hookrightarrow X$ be an oriented normally nonsingular codimension c inclusion of closed Witt spaces. Thus Y has an open tubular neighborhood in X which is endowed in a stratum preserving manner with the structure of an oriented rank c vector bundle. Since SO-bundles are $\mathrm{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -oriented, g has an associated Gysin homomorphism $g^! : \mathrm{KO}_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathrm{KO}_{*-c}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. We prove (Theorem 8.2):

Theorem. *Let $g : Y^{n-c} \hookrightarrow X^n$ be an oriented normally nonsingular inclusion of closed Witt spaces. The $\mathrm{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -homology Gysin map $g^!$ of g sends the Siegel-Sullivan orientation of X to the Siegel-Sullivan orientation of Y :*

$$g^! \Delta(X) = \Delta(Y).$$

An important class of morphisms in algebraic geometry is given by local complete intersection morphisms ([25]) $Y \rightarrow B$. By definition, they admit a factorization $Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow B$, where $Y \rightarrow X$ is a closed regular algebraic embedding and $X \rightarrow B$ is a smooth morphism. The regular embedding has an associated algebraic normal vector bundle and the smooth morphism has an associated relative tangent bundle, so that l.c.i. morphisms possess a virtual tangent bundle. A parallel topological notion of normally nonsingular map $Y \rightarrow B$ has been considered by Goresky-MacPherson [29, 5.4.3] and Fulton-MacPherson [26]. By definition, these admit factorizations $Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow B$ into a normally nonsingular inclusion $Y \rightarrow X$ followed by a fiber bundle projection $X \rightarrow B$ with manifold fiber. A complete picture should therefore include an understanding of how the Siegel-Sullivan orientation behaves under Becker-Gottlieb type bundle transfer ([12]). We shall thus also consider bundle transfers $\xi^!$ associated to block bundles ξ over compact Witt spaces B ([19]). These do not require a locally trivial projection

map $X \rightarrow B$, but merely a decomposition of X into blocks over cells in B . (A fiber bundle is a special case of a block bundle.) Thus, let ξ be an oriented PL F -block bundle with closed oriented d -dimensional PL manifold fiber F over a closed Witt base B . Then, since the stable vertical normal block bundle of ξ is $\mathrm{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -oriented, there is a block bundle transfer $\xi^! : \mathrm{KO}_n(B) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathrm{KO}_{n+d}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. We prove (Theorem 7.4):

Theorem. *If ξ is an oriented PL F -block bundle with closed oriented PL manifold fiber F over a closed Witt base B , then the Siegel-Sullivan orientations of base and total space X are related under block bundle transfer by*

$$\xi^! \Delta(B) = \Delta(X).$$

Rather than using Siegel's original construction of Δ directly to prove the above transfer results, we give a new description of Δ based on a homotopy theoretic perspective relating K- to L-theory: We provide here a lift of the Siegel-Sullivan orientation to a ring spectrum level morphism

$$\Delta : \mathrm{MWITT} \longrightarrow \mathrm{KO}[\frac{1}{2}],$$

where MWITT denotes the ring spectrum representing Witt space bordism theory, constructed as in [10] via the ad-theories of Laures and McClure. A particularly important aspect of Δ for our present purposes is its multiplicativity. On homotopy groups, Δ_* sends the bordism class of a closed Witt space X^{4k} to its signature $\sigma(X)$. In order to obtain our ring spectrum level description of Δ , we use results of Land and Nikolaus [33] to construct in Proposition 2.1 an equivalence of highly structured ring spectra $\mathrm{KO}[\frac{1}{2}] \simeq \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})[\frac{1}{2}]$ which maps the element in $\pi_4(\mathrm{KO})[\frac{1}{2}]$ whose complexification is the square of the complex Bott element to the signature 1 element in $\pi_4(\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z}))$, where $\mathbb{L}(R)$ denotes the (projective) symmetric algebraic L-theory spectrum of a ring R with involution, introduced first by Ranicki. Under this equivalence, the Siegel-Sullivan orientation $\Delta(X)$ of a Witt space corresponds to the $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})$ -homology orientation $[X]_{\mathbb{L}}$ of Laures, McClure and the author, which generalizes Ranicki's L-homology orientation of manifolds to singular spaces. This then enables us to use L-theoretic transfer results established in [7] and [8]. For a PL F -fiber bundle $p : X \rightarrow B$ over a PL manifold base B , the transfer formula $p^! [B]_{\mathbb{L}} = [X]_{\mathbb{L}} \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})_{n+d}(X)$ was stated by Lück and Ranicki in [37].

From the analytic viewpoint, Sullivan's orientation $\Delta_{\mathrm{SO}}(M)$ is for a (closed, oriented) Riemannian manifold M closely related to the class of the signature operator in Kasparov's model $\mathrm{K}_*(M) = \mathrm{KK}_*(C(M), \mathbb{C})$ of the K-homology of M , see for example [52] and [34, Prop. 8.3]. Modulo 2-power torsion, the two classes differ by certain powers of 2. For smoothly stratified Witt spaces X equipped with an incomplete iterated edge metric on the regular part, Albin, Leichtnam, Mazzeo and Piazza construct in [1] a signature operator $\bar{\partial}_{\mathrm{sign}}$ and a K-homology class $[\bar{\partial}_{\mathrm{sign}}] \in \mathrm{K}_*(X)$. Again, it is possible to go well beyond Witt spaces: The topological cohomology theory of [3] and the analytic L^2 de Rham theory have been treated from a common perspective in [2].

In view of the algebraic results of [7] and [11], the conclusions of the present paper are also relevant in the context of a question raised by Jörg Schürmann in [54]: Is the Siegel-Sullivan orientation $\Delta(X)$ of a pure-dimensional compact complex algebraic variety X the image of the intersection homology (mixed) Hodge module on X under the motivic Hodge Chern class transformation $\mathrm{MHC}_1 : K_0(\mathrm{MHM}(X)) \rightarrow K_0^{\mathrm{coh}}(X)$ of Brasselet-Schürmann-Yokura [14], followed by the K-theoretical Riemann-Roch transformation of Baum, Fulton and MacPherson?

The above material is developed as follows: Section 2 collects relevant homotopy theoretic information on KO and \mathbb{L} , and constructs the particular equivalence between KO and \mathbb{L} away from 2 used throughout the rest of the paper. The orientations of Sullivan and Ranicki for the nonsingular case are reviewed in Section 3. Section 4 sketches the classical construction

of the Siegel-Sullivan orientation for singular Witt spaces given in [55]. Our ring spectrum level construction of the Siegel-Sullivan orientation is the focus of Section 5. An immediate application of this construction is a proof of cartesian multiplicativity of the Siegel-Sullivan orientation (Theorem 6.2) in Section 6. In Section 7, we proceed to apply our multiplicative spectrum level construction in establishing the normally nonsingular block bundle transfer result, while normally nonsingular Gysin restrictions of the Siegel-Sullivan orientation are the subject of the final Section 8.

Acknowledgements. We thank Jörg Schürmann for interesting discussions on the subject matter on this paper. We especially express our gratitude to Markus Land for discussions on the relation of K- and L-theory away from 2.

2. MULTIPLICATIVE IDENTIFICATION OF KO- AND L-THEORY AWAY FROM 2

Our method is based on finding a multiplicative equivalence $\mathbb{L}[\frac{1}{2}] \simeq \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ that yields the Sullivan orientation when precomposed with Ranicki's orientation $\text{MSPL} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}[\frac{1}{2}]$. We describe such an equivalence in the present section, based on an equivalence of highly structured ring spectra obtained by Land and Nikolaus in [33].

Let R be a commutative unital ring with involution and let $\mathbb{L}(R)$ denote the (projective) symmetric algebraic L-theory spectrum of R , introduced first by Ranicki. (See e.g. [47]; there is no need for our notation to distinguish between the symmetric and the quadratic L-theory spectrum, since the latter will not be used in the present paper.) The only instances of R used in this paper are the ring of integers and the fields of rational, real or complex numbers. Since $\tilde{K}_0(R)$ vanishes in these cases, there is no difference between the free and the projective L-theory. The involution on \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} is taken to be the trivial involution, while we consider \mathbb{C} to be endowed with the complex conjugation involution. If $R = \mathbb{Z}$, we shall briefly write $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})$. The spectrum $\mathbb{L}(R)$ is a ring spectrum, and a morphism $R \rightarrow S$ of commutative rings with involution induces a morphism $\mathbb{L}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(S)$. For the inclusions $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$, one obtains morphisms

$$\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{C}).$$

The multiplicative symmetric Poincaré ad-theory of Laures and McClure [35] shows that these are morphisms of ring spectra. Their lax symmetric monoidal functor also shows that $\mathbb{L}(R)$ for a commutative ring R can be realized as a commutative symmetric ring spectrum. Thus $\mathbb{L}(R)$ is equivalent to an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectrum, that is, the multiplication is commutative and associative not just up to homotopy, but up to coherent systems of homotopies. If E is a ring spectrum and A a subring of \mathbb{Q} , then the localized spectrum E_A is endowed with a unique (up to ring equivalence) ring structure such that the localization morphism $E \rightarrow E_A$ is a ring morphism. Ring morphisms $E \rightarrow F$ localize to ring morphisms $E_A \rightarrow F_A$. If $A = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, we will write $E[\frac{1}{2}]$ for E_A . Thus there are canonical morphisms of ring spectra

$$\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})[\frac{1}{2}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})[\frac{1}{2}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{C})[\frac{1}{2}].$$

The first two morphisms are equivalences, the last one is not. Indeed, the homotopy ring of $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}]$ is given by $\mathbb{L}_*(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}] = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][x^{\pm 1}]$, where $x \in \mathbb{L}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ is the signature 1 element. (The degree 1 torsion element in $\mathbb{L}_*(\mathbb{Z})$ is removed by inverting 2.) The homotopy ring of $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})$ is given by $\mathbb{L}_*(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$, where $x \in \mathbb{L}_4(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the image of the class $x \in \mathbb{L}_4(\mathbb{Z})$. The homotopy groups $\mathbb{L}_i(\mathbb{Q})$ vanish in degrees i not divisible by 4. For $i = 4k$, they contain an

infinitely generated amount of 2-primary torsion,

$$\mathbb{L}_{4k}(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{L}_{4k}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \bigoplus_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbb{L}_{4k}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^\infty \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/4)^\infty,$$

where \mathbb{F}_p denotes the finite field with p elements. The signature homomorphism $\mathbb{L}_{4k}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ provides the unique splitting for the unique ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{4k}(\mathbb{Q})$. The infinitely generated amount of 2-primary torsion is then removed by inverting 2. Via the above canonical multiplicative maps, we shall identify $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}]$, $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})[\frac{1}{2}]$ and $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})[\frac{1}{2}]$ as \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectra. The homotopy ring of $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{C})$ (with conjugation involution on \mathbb{C}) is $\mathbb{L}_*(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}[b^{\pm 1}]$, where b has degree 2. The spectrum $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{C})$ is 2-periodic. On homotopy rings, the map $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{C})$ induces the map $\mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[b^{\pm 1}]$, $x \mapsto b^2$.

Let KO denote the 8-periodic ring spectrum representing real K-theory and K the 2-periodic ring spectrum representing complex K-theory. The homotopy ring of K is $\pi_*(\text{K}) = \mathbb{Z}[\beta^{\pm 1}]$, where β is the complex Bott element in degree 2, i.e. β is represented by the reduced canonical complex line bundle $H - 1 \in \tilde{K}^0(S^2)$. The complexification $c : \text{BO} \rightarrow \text{BU}$ can be lifted to a morphism of spectra $c : \text{KO} \rightarrow \text{K}$ ([53, p. 360, Lemma VI.3.3]). On π_4 , c induces multiplication by 2, $c_* = 2 : \pi_4(\text{KO}) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = \pi_4(\text{K})$. Thus there does not exist an element in $\pi_4(\text{KO})$ that maps to β^2 . But after inverting 2, such an element exists. Let $a \in \pi_4(\text{KO})[\frac{1}{2}]$ be the element whose complexification is β^2 . The localization $\text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ is a 4-periodic ring spectrum with homotopy ring $\pi_*(\text{KO})[\frac{1}{2}] = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][a^{\pm 1}]$.

Taylor and Williams showed in [59, Theorem A] that there is an equivalence $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}] \simeq \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ of spectra. In [51], Rosenberg asserts that these spectra are equivalent as homotopy ring spectra. Further arguments in this direction are supplied by Lurie [38], who proves that these spectra are equivalent as homotopy ring spectra. Land and Nikolaus [33, p. 550] construct an equivalence of \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectra $\text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}] \simeq \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}]$.

Proposition 2.1. *There exists an equivalence of \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectra*

$$\kappa : \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})[\frac{1}{2}]$$

which induces the ring isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][a^{\pm 1}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][x^{\pm 1}], \quad a \mapsto x$$

on homotopy rings.

Proof. We are indebted to Markus Land for communication on the following argument. Let $\tau_{\mathbb{R}} : \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\mathbb{R}[\frac{1}{2}]$ be the equivalence of \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectra given in [33, Cor. 5.4]. This equivalence is related to a complex version $\tau_{\mathbb{C}} : \text{K}[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\mathbb{C}[\frac{1}{2}]$ by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathbb{R}}} & \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})[\frac{1}{2}] \\ c \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{K}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathbb{C}}} & \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{C})[\frac{1}{2}]. \end{array}$$

(There is no integral version of $\tau_{\mathbb{C}}$ on the periodic spectra, although there is an integral version $k \rightarrow \ell\mathbb{C}$ on connective spectra, which induces $\tau_{\mathbb{C}}$ on the periodic spectra after inverting 2.) By [33, Lemma 4.9], $\tau_{\mathbb{C}*} : \pi_2(\text{K})[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \pi_2(\mathbb{L}\mathbb{C})[\frac{1}{2}]$ maps $\beta \mapsto 2b$. Since $\tau_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a map of \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectra, it follows that it sends $\beta^2 \mapsto 4b^2$. Consequently, the right hand vertical map sends the element $\tau_{\mathbb{R}*}(a)$ to

$$\tau_{\mathbb{C}*}c_*(a) = \tau_{\mathbb{C}*}(\beta^2) = 4b^2.$$

Since $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{C})[\frac{1}{2}]$ maps $x \mapsto b^2$, we deduce that $\tau_{\mathbb{R}*}(a) = 4x$.

Let

$$\psi^2 : \mathbf{KO}[\frac{1}{2}] \longrightarrow \mathbf{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$$

be the stable Adams operation, constructed as a morphism of \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectra ([21], [22, p. 3], [41, p. 106]). On the homotopy groups $\pi_{4k}\mathbf{KO}[\frac{1}{2}] = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, ψ^2 induces $a^k \mapsto 4^k a^k$. Thus ψ^2 induces an isomorphism of homotopy rings, and is therefore an equivalence. Composing the inverse of ψ^2 with the Land-Nikolaus equivalence $\tau_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbf{KO}[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\mathbb{R}[\frac{1}{2}]$, we obtain the desired equivalence κ of \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectra since the map induced by the composition on π_4 sends

$$a \mapsto \frac{1}{4}a \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathbb{R}*}} \frac{1}{4}(4x) = x.$$

□

3. THE ORIENTATIONS OF SULLIVAN AND RANICKI

Let \mathbf{MSO} , \mathbf{MSPL} and \mathbf{MSTOP} denote the Thom spectra of oriented vector-, PL- and topological bundles. These are ring spectra and Pontrjagin-Thom isomorphisms identify their homotopy groups with the bordism groups $\Omega_*^{\mathbf{SO}}, \Omega_*^{\mathbf{SPL}}, \Omega_*^{\mathbf{STOP}}$ of oriented smooth, PL or topological manifolds. (The topological spectrum \mathbf{MSTOP} will not play an essential role in what follows, but occasional side remarks will involve it.) Sullivan obtained in [56] a morphism of spectra

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{SO}} : \mathbf{MSO} \longrightarrow \mathbf{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$$

such that the induced map on homotopy groups

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{SO}*} : \Omega_{4k}^{\mathbf{SO}} = \mathbf{MSO}_{4k} \longrightarrow \mathbf{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]_{4k} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]\langle a^k \rangle$$

is

$$(1) \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{SO}*}[M^{4k}] = \sigma(M) \cdot a^k,$$

where $\sigma(M)$ denotes the signature of the smooth oriented closed manifold M , see [39, pp. 83–85]. The Pontrjagin character $\text{ph} : \mathbf{KO}[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow H\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]$ of $\Delta_{\mathbf{SO}}$ is the inverse of the universal Hirzebruch L -class $L \in H^*(\mathbf{BSO}; \mathbb{Q})$ up to multiplication with the stable Thom class $u \in H^0(\mathbf{MSO}; \mathbb{Z})$,

$$\text{ph}(\Delta_{\mathbf{SO}}) = L^{-1} \cup u \in H^*(\mathbf{MSO}; \mathbb{Q}).$$

The *Sullivan orientation* of a smooth closed n -dimensional manifold M is given by the image

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{SO}}(M) = \Delta_{\mathbf{SO}*}[\text{id}_M] \in \mathbf{KO}_n(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$$

of the bordism class of the identity on M under the homomorphism $\Delta_{\mathbf{SO}*} : \Omega_n^{\mathbf{SO}}(M) \rightarrow (\mathbf{KO}[\frac{1}{2}])_n(M)$ induced by the spectrum level Sullivan orientation $\Delta_{\mathbf{SO}}$. If M has a boundary ∂M , then $\Delta_{\mathbf{SO}}(M)$ is an element in the relative group $\mathbf{KO}_n(M, \partial M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$.

The orientation $\Delta_{\mathbf{SO}}$ extends canonically to a map $\Delta_{\mathbf{SPL}} : \mathbf{MSPL} \rightarrow \mathbf{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ with respect to the canonical map $\mathbf{MSO} \rightarrow \mathbf{MSPL}$ ([39, Chapter 5.A, D]). On homotopy groups, the induced map continues to be given by the signature, i.e.

$$(2) \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{SPL}*}[M^{4k}] = \sigma(M) \cdot a^k$$

for an oriented closed PL manifold M . The Pontrjagin character is

$$(3) \quad \text{ph}(\Delta_{\mathbf{SPL}}) = L_{\mathbf{PL}}^{-1} \cup u_{\mathbf{PL}} \in H^*(\mathbf{MSPL}; \mathbb{Q}),$$

where $L_{\mathbf{PL}}$ is the universal PL L -class $L_{\mathbf{PL}} \in H^*(\mathbf{BSPL}; \mathbb{Q})$ and $u_{\mathbf{PL}}$ the stable Thom class $u_{\mathbf{PL}} \in H^0(\mathbf{MSPL}; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ ([39, Cor. 5.4, p. 102]). Note that $L_{\mathbf{PL}}$ restricts to L under the

canonical map $\text{BSO} \rightarrow \text{BSPL}$. We recall that this map is a rational equivalence, so in particular induces an isomorphism $H^*(\text{BSPL}; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\text{BSO}; \mathbb{Q})$, and this isomorphism identifies Thom's Pontrjagin class $p_{4i} \in H^{4i}(\text{BSPL}; \mathbb{Q})$ with the rational reduction $p_{4i} \in H^{4i}(\text{BSO}; \mathbb{Q})$ of the integral Pontrjagin class (though the PL Pontrjagin classes are in general not integral). For this reason, one commonly identifies L and L_{PL} and simply writes L for it. An n -dimensional closed PL manifold has a Sullivan orientation

$$\Delta_{\text{SPL}}(M) = \Delta_{\text{SPL}*}[\text{id}_M] \in \text{KO}_n(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}],$$

where $[\text{id}_M] \in \Omega_n^{\text{SPL}}(M)$.

Remark 3.1. Randal-Williams observes in [46, p. 9] that this map can be further canonically extended to a map $\Delta_{\text{STOP}} : \text{MSTOP} \rightarrow \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ with respect to the canonical forget map $\text{MSPL} \rightarrow \text{MSTOP}$, since the fiber of the latter map is 2-local. In particular, given an element $[N] \in \Omega_{4k}^{\text{STOP}} = \text{MSTOP}_{4k}$, there exists a large integer i such that $2^i N$ is topologically bordant to a PL manifold M , for which (2) is available. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 2^i \Delta_{\text{STOP}*}[N] &= \Delta_{\text{STOP}*}[M] = \Delta_{\text{SPL}*}[M] = \sigma(M) \cdot a^k \\ &= \sigma(2^i N) \cdot a^k = 2^i \sigma(N) \cdot a^k. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the map induced by Δ_{STOP} on homotopy groups is again given by the signature:

$$\Delta_{\text{STOP}*}[M^{4k}] = \sigma(M) \cdot a^k$$

for an oriented closed topological manifold M .

In the present paper, we take an \mathbb{L} -theoretic perspective in order to approach the orientations of Sullivan and Siegel-Sullivan. For smooth manifolds M , it has been known for a long time that Ranicki's fundamental class $[M]_{\mathbb{L}}$ agrees with Sullivan's class $\Delta_{\text{SO}}(M)$ under the appropriate identification of KO - and \mathbb{L} -homology at odd primes, see for example Ranicki [47, p. 15], and Weinberger [60, p. 82]. Let $L^n(R)$ denote Ranicki's (projective) symmetric L -groups of a ring R with involution. In [48, p. 385, Prop. 15.8], Ranicki constructed a morphism of ring spectra

$$\sigma^* : \text{MSPL} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})$$

such that the resulting $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})$ -homology fundamental class

$$[M]_{\mathbb{L}} := \sigma^*[\text{id}_M] \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})_n(M)$$

of a closed oriented PL n -dimensional manifold M hits the Mishchenko-Ranicki symmetric signature

$$\sigma^*(M) = A[M]_{\mathbb{L}} \in L^n(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 M])$$

under the assembly map

$$A : \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})_n(M) \longrightarrow L^n(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 M]).$$

(Ranicki extended σ^* to a morphism of ring spectra $\text{MSTOP} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})$ in [50, p. 290], but we shall not require this extension for the purposes of the present paper.) Technically, we will work with σ^* as constructed by Laures and McClure in [35] using ad-theories. Their incarnation of σ^* is an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring map ([35, 1.4]). The localization morphism $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}]$ is a morphism of ring spectra. Thus its composition with σ^* is a morphism of ring spectra $\text{MSPL} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}]$, which we shall also denote by σ^* . By [49, p. 243], σ^* induces on homotopy groups the map

$$\sigma_{\text{pt}}^* : \Omega_{4k}^{\text{SPL}}(\text{pt}) = \text{MSPL}_{4k}(\text{pt}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}]_{4k} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]\langle x^k \rangle$$

given by

$$(4) \quad \sigma_{\text{pt}}^*[M^{4k}] = \sigma(M) \cdot x^k.$$

Remark 3.2. Let M be a closed oriented PL manifold of dimension n . The constant map $c : M \rightarrow \text{pt}$ induces a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega_n^{\text{SPL}}(M) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} & \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})_n(M) & \xrightarrow{A} & L^n(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 M]) \\ c_* \downarrow & & c_* \downarrow & & c_* \downarrow \\ \Omega_n^{\text{SPL}}(\text{pt}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\text{pt}}^*} & \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})_n(\text{pt}) & \xrightarrow{A} & L^n(\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

which commutes, since the assembly map A is natural. Together with Equation (4), this diagram shows that the homomorphism $L^n(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 M]) \rightarrow L^n(\mathbb{Z})$, $n = 4k$, sends the symmetric signature of M to its ordinary signature. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} c_* \sigma^*(M) &= c_* A[M]_{\mathbb{L}} = c_* A \sigma^*[\text{id}_M] \\ &= \sigma_{\text{pt}}^* c_*[\text{id}_M] = \sigma_{\text{pt}}^*[M] = \sigma(M) x^k. \end{aligned}$$

The analogous fact holds also for singular pseudomanifolds that satisfy the Witt condition and will be used later to compute the behavior of the ring-spectrum level Siegel-Sullivan orientation defined in the present paper on homotopy groups (Proposition 5.4).

Ranicki [48, p. 390f] introduced an \mathbb{L} -theoretic Thom class

$$u_{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha))$$

for oriented rank m PL microbundles (or PL $(\mathbb{R}^m, 0)$ -bundles) α as follows: The classifying map $X \rightarrow \text{BSPL}_m$ of α (where X is the base space) is covered by a bundle map from α to the universal oriented PL microbundle. The induced map on Thom spaces yields a class

$$u_{\text{SPL}}(\alpha) \in \widetilde{\text{MSPL}}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha)),$$

the *Thom class* of α in oriented PL cobordism. It is indeed an MSPL-orientation of α in Dold's sense. Ranicki then defines

$$u_{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha) := \sigma^*(u_{\text{SPL}}(\alpha)).$$

Since $\sigma^* : \text{MSPL} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})$ is multiplicative, the element $u_{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha)$ is indeed an \mathbb{L} -orientation of α . This can also be carried out for stable PL bundles α . For the universal stable PL bundle there is thus a canonical \mathbb{L} -orientation $u_{\mathbb{L}} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^0(\text{MSPL})$. Since the stable Thom class $u_{\text{SPL}} \in \widetilde{\text{MSPL}}^0(\text{MSPL})$ of the universal stable PL bundle is given by the identity $\text{MSPL} \rightarrow \text{MSPL}$, we have $u_{\mathbb{L}} = \sigma^*$. The morphism of ring spectra $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})$ induces a homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha)) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha)).$$

We denote the image of $u_{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha)$ under this map again by $u_{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha)$. Furthermore, the images of these elements in the bottom row of the localization square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha)) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha)) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \widetilde{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q}[\frac{1}{2}])}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha)) \end{array}$$

will also be written as $u_{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha)$. Since all maps in the square are induced by morphisms of ring spectra, all these image elements are again orientations of α .

Comparing Equations (2) and (4), we find that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{MSPL}_{4k}(\text{pt}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\text{pt}}^*} & \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}]_{4k} \\ & \searrow \Delta_{\text{SPL}^*} & \uparrow \kappa \simeq \\ & & \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]_{4k} \end{array}$$

commutes. More is true:

Proposition 3.3. *The composition*

$$\text{MSPL} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\text{SPL}}} \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}] \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}]$$

of Sullivan's orientation Δ_{SPL} with the ring equivalence κ from Proposition 2.1 is homotopic to Ranicki's orientation σ^* .

Proof. We start at the prime 2 with the cohomology class $L \in H^{4*}(\mathbb{L}; \mathbb{Z}_{(2)})$ constructed by Taylor and Williams in [59]. This yields a specific homotopy class

$$L : \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})_{(2)} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[4i].$$

The pullback of this class under Ranicki's orientation σ^* corresponds under the Thom isomorphism to the Morgan-Sullivan class $\mathcal{L} \in H^*(\text{BSPL}; \mathbb{Z}_{(2)})$ of [43]. Rationally, \mathcal{L} becomes the inverse $L^{-1} \in H^*(\text{BSPL}; \mathbb{Q})$ of the Thom-Hirzebruch L -class. The composition

$$\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})_{(2)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})_{(2)} \xrightarrow{L} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[4i]$$

is an equivalence. (The individual arrows are not — the discrepancy is the de Rham invariant.) Rationally (i.e. inverting 2), this gives an equivalence

$$\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})_{(0)} = \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})_{(0)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H\mathbb{Q}[4i].$$

The map

$$\mathbb{L}^*(\text{MSPL}) \longrightarrow H^*(\text{MSPL}; \mathbb{Q})$$

induced by the composition

$$\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{loc}} \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})_{(0)} = \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})_{(0)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H\mathbb{Q}[4i]$$

thus sends the universal stable \mathbb{L} -orientation $u_{\mathbb{L}} = \sigma^* \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^0(\text{MSPL})$ to

$$L_{\text{PL}}^{-1} \cup u_{\text{PL}} \in H^*(\text{MSPL}; \mathbb{Q}),$$

see also [47, Remark 16.2, p. 176]. It sends the signature 1 element $x^k \in \mathbb{L}_{4k}(\mathbb{Z})$ to $1 \in \pi_{4k}(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H\mathbb{Q}[4i])$. Consider the diagram

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow{\text{loc}} & \text{KO}_{(0)} & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{ph}} & \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H\mathbb{Q}[4i] \\ \kappa \downarrow \simeq & & \kappa_{(0)} \downarrow \simeq & & \parallel \\ \mathbb{L}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow{\text{loc}} & \mathbb{L}_{(0)} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H\mathbb{Q}[4i]. \end{array}$$

The left hand localization square commutes for general reasons. The right hand square commutes up to homotopy as well: Since the involved spectra are graded Eilenberg-MacLane spectra of graded \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces, it suffices to check that the induced square on homotopy rings commutes. The vertical isomorphism induced by $\kappa_{(0)}$ sends, according to its very construction, the generator $a^k \in \pi_{4k}(\mathbb{K}O_{(0)})$ to $x^k \in \pi_{4k}(\mathbb{L}_{(0)})$, which in turn maps to $1 \in \pi_{4k}(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H\mathbb{Q}[4i])$. As for the Pontrjagin character,

$$\text{ph}(a^k) = (\text{ch} \circ c)(a^k) = \text{ch}(\beta^{2k}) = \text{ch}(\beta)^{2k} = 1.$$

Hence the right hand square commutes up to homotopy. During the course of the above argument, we have drawn upon several relevant remarks made by Randal-Williams in [46]. Now evaluate the above diagram on MSPL:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathbb{K}O[\frac{1}{2}])^0(\text{MSPL}) & \xrightarrow{\text{loc}} & (\mathbb{K}O_{(0)})^0(\text{MSPL}) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{ph}} & \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{4i}(\text{MSPL}; \mathbb{Q}) \\ \kappa \downarrow \simeq & & \kappa_{(0)} \downarrow \simeq & & \parallel \\ (\mathbb{L}[\frac{1}{2}])^0(\text{MSPL}) & \xrightarrow{\text{loc}} & (\mathbb{L}_{(0)})^0(\text{MSPL}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{4i}(\text{MSPL}; \mathbb{Q}). \end{array}$$

We shall show that the elements

$$\Delta_{\text{SPL}}, \kappa^{-1} \circ \sigma^* \in (\mathbb{K}O[\frac{1}{2}])^0(\text{MSPL})$$

are equal. According to (3), $\text{ph}(\Delta_{\text{SPL}}) = L_{\text{PL}}^{-1} \cup u_{\text{PL}}$. By the commutativity of the diagram, the Pontrjagin character $\text{ph}(\kappa^{-1} \circ \sigma^*)$, given by mapping the element horizontally, can alternatively be calculated by first mapping down vertically, and then mapping to the right horizontally. Mapping down via κ yields σ^* , which is then mapped to $L_{\text{PL}}^{-1} \cup u_{\text{PL}}$ as discussed above. It follows that the two elements have the same Pontrjagin character,

$$\text{ph}(\Delta_{\text{SPL}}) = \text{ph}(\kappa^{-1} \circ \sigma^*).$$

Now the element Δ_{SPL} is characterized by its Pontrjagin character, [39, p. 115]. (Madsen and Milgram show that the Pontrjagin character $\text{ph} : \widetilde{\text{K}O}(\text{MSPL}_{(p)}) \rightarrow H^*(\text{MSPL}; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective at every odd prime p , [39, Cor. 5.25].) It follows that $\kappa^{-1} \sigma^*$ is homotopic to Δ_{SPL} . \square

Corollary 3.4. *The Sullivan orientation $\Delta_{\text{SPL}} : \text{MSPL} \rightarrow \text{K}O[\frac{1}{2}]$ is homotopic to a morphism of (homotopy) ring spectra.*

In view of Proposition 3.3, we may thus adopt the following convention for the spectrum level Sullivan orientation:

Definition 3.5. Let

$$\Delta : \text{MSPL} \longrightarrow \text{K}O[\frac{1}{2}]$$

be the morphism of ring spectra given by the composition

$$\text{MSPL} \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}] = \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})[\frac{1}{2}] \xrightarrow{\kappa^{-1}} \text{K}O[\frac{1}{2}]$$

of Ranicki's orientation with a ring equivalence κ^{-1} inverse to the ring equivalence κ of Proposition 2.1.

Definition 3.6. For an oriented rank m PL microbundle (or PL $(\mathbb{R}^m, 0)$ -bundle) α , let

$$\Delta(\alpha) \in \widetilde{\text{K}O}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha))[\frac{1}{2}]$$

be the image

$$\Delta(\alpha) = \Delta_*(u_{\text{SPL}}(\alpha))$$

of the MSPL-orientation under

$$\widetilde{\text{MSPL}}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha)) \xrightarrow{\Delta_*} \widetilde{\text{KO}}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha))[\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

This is indeed a $\text{KO}[\tfrac{1}{2}]$ -orientation of α , since Δ is multiplicative ([53, p. 305, Prop. V.1.6]).

From this perspective, it is immediate that κ aligns $\Delta(\alpha)$ and Ranicki's Thom class $u_{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha)$:

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\alpha : X \rightarrow \text{BSPL}(m)$ be an oriented PL microbundle of rank m . Then the isomorphism*

$$\kappa_* : \widetilde{\text{KO}}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]$$

maps $\Delta(\alpha)$ to $u_{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha)$.

Proof. According to Ranicki's definition, $u_{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha) = \sigma^*(u_{\text{SPL}}(\alpha))$. Consequently,

$$\kappa_* \Delta(\alpha) = \kappa_* \Delta_*(u_{\text{SPL}}(\alpha)) = \kappa_* \kappa_*^{-1} \sigma^*(u_{\text{SPL}}(\alpha)) = \sigma^* u_{\text{SPL}}(\alpha) = u_{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha). \quad \square$$

Given an oriented vector or PL bundle α of rank m , let $u_{\mathbb{Z}}(\alpha) \in \widetilde{H}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha); \mathbb{Z})$ denote its integral Thom class and $u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \widetilde{H}^m(\text{Th}(\alpha); \mathbb{Q})$ the image of $u_{\mathbb{Z}}(\alpha)$ under $\widetilde{H}^*(-; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \widetilde{H}^*(-; \mathbb{Q})$. The methods used to prove Proposition 3.3 imply readily:

Lemma 3.8. *Let α be an oriented PL microbundle over X . Rationally, $\Delta(\alpha)$ is given by*

$$\text{ph} \Delta(\alpha) = L^{-1}(\alpha) \cup u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. One evaluates the commutative diagram (5) on the base space of α and notes that by Lemma 3.7, $\kappa_* \Delta(\alpha) = u_{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha_{\text{STOP}})$. By commutativity, $\text{ph} \Delta(\alpha)$ may be computed by mapping $\kappa_* \Delta(\alpha)$ along the lower horizontal composition. As observed in the proof of the proposition, the \mathbb{L} -cohomology Thom class is given rationally (i.e. along the lower horizontal composition) by the product of the inverse L -class with the $H\mathbb{Q}$ -cohomology Thom class $u_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $L^{-1}(\alpha) \cup u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$. (See [47, Remark 16.2]; Ranicki writes $- \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ instead of $\text{ch}_{\mathbb{L}}$ loc and omits cupping with $u_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in his notation.) \square

For the sake of completeness, we also record the case of the trivial bundle:

Lemma 3.9. *If α is the trivial rank $4k = m$ PL bundle over a point, then $\Delta(\alpha) = a^k \in \widetilde{\text{KO}}^{4k}(S^{4k})[\tfrac{1}{2}]$.*

Proof. The Chern character $\text{ch} : K^0(S^{4k}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H^{2i}(S^{4k}; \mathbb{Q})$ is injective and has image $H^*(S^{4k}; \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^*(S^{4k}; \mathbb{Q})$. Thus it is an isomorphism

$$\text{ch} : K^0(S^{4k}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(S^{4k}; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

It restricts to an isomorphism

$$\text{ch} : \widetilde{K}^0(S^{4k}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{H}^*(S^{4k}; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$$

between reduced groups. The localization at odd primes is an isomorphism

$$\text{ch}[\tfrac{1}{2}] : \widetilde{K}^0(S^{4k})[\tfrac{1}{2}] \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{4k}(S^{4k})[\tfrac{1}{2}] = \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

We turn next to complexification. This is a morphism $c : \text{KO} \rightarrow \text{K}$ of spectra which induces a ring homomorphism $c_* : \pi_*(\text{KO}) \rightarrow \pi_*(\text{K})$ on homotopy rings ([58, p. 304]). In degree 4, $c_* = 2 : \pi_4(\text{KO}) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = \pi_4(\text{K})$, while in degree 8, $c_* : \pi_8(\text{KO}) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = \pi_8(\text{K})$ is an isomorphism. The localized ring homomorphism

$$c_*[\tfrac{1}{2}] : \pi_*(\text{KO})[\tfrac{1}{2}] = \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}][a^{\pm 1}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}][\beta^{\pm 1}] = \pi_*(\text{K})[\tfrac{1}{2}]$$

sends $a \in \pi_4(\mathbf{KO})[\frac{1}{2}]$ to $\beta^2 \in \pi_4(\mathbf{K})[\frac{1}{2}]$. In particular, $c_*[\frac{1}{2}] : \pi_{4k}(\mathbf{KO})[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \pi_{4k}(\mathbf{K})[\frac{1}{2}]$ is an isomorphism, mapping $a^k \mapsto \beta^{2k}$. The Chern character of β^k is given by v^k , where $v \in H^2(S^2; \mathbb{Z})$ is the canonical generator, i.e. the first Chern class $c_1(H)$ of the canonical (hyperplane) line bundle H over $S^2 = \mathbb{C}P^1$. The Pontrjagin character $\text{ph} = \text{ch} \circ c$ localizes to $\text{ph}[\frac{1}{2}]$ given by the composition

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathbf{KO}}^0(S^{4k})[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{c_*[\frac{1}{2}]} & \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}^0(S^{4k})[\frac{1}{2}] \\ & \searrow \text{ph}[\frac{1}{2}] & \downarrow \cong \text{ch}[\frac{1}{2}] \\ & & H^{4k}(S^{4k}; \mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}]. \end{array}$$

Here we have used suspension isomorphisms to identify

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathbf{KO}}^0(S^{4k}) \simeq \widetilde{\mathbf{KO}}^{-4k}(S^0) = \mathbf{KO}^{-4k}(\text{pt}) = \pi_{4k}(\mathbf{KO}) & & \\ \downarrow c_* & & \downarrow c_* \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}^0(S^{4k}) \simeq \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}^{-4k}(S^0) = \mathbf{K}^{-4k}(\text{pt}) = \pi_{4k}(\mathbf{K}). & & \end{array}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{ph}[\frac{1}{2}](a^k) = \text{ch}[\frac{1}{2}](c_*[\frac{1}{2}](a^k)) = \text{ch}[\frac{1}{2}](\beta^{2k}) = v^{2k}.$$

The generator $v^{2k} \in H^{4k}(S^{4k}; \mathbb{Z})$ agrees with the Thom class $u_{\mathbb{Z}}(\alpha) \in H^{4k}(\mathbb{R}^{4k} \cup \{\infty\}; \mathbb{Z})$ of the trivial rank $4k$ -bundle α over a point. Let $\iota : H^*(S^{4k}; \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]) \hookrightarrow H^*(S^{4k}; \mathbb{Q})$ be the injection induced by $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \subset \mathbb{Q}$. By Lemma 3.8,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota \text{ph}[\frac{1}{2}](\Delta(\alpha)) &= L^{-1}(\alpha) \cup u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = 1 \cup u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \\ &= \iota(u_{\mathbb{Z}}(\alpha)) = \iota(v^{2k}) = \iota \text{ph}[\frac{1}{2}](a^k). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\iota \text{ph}[\frac{1}{2}]$ is injective,

$$\Delta(\alpha) = a^k. \quad \square$$

4. THE CLASSICAL CONSTRUCTION OF THE SIEGEL-SULLIVAN ORIENTATION

Using Goresky-MacPherson's intersection homology, Witt spaces have been introduced by P. Siegel in [55] as a geometric cycle theory representing KO-homology at odd primes. Sources on intersection homology include [28], [29], [31], [23], [36], [13], [5].

Definition 4.1. A *Witt space* is an oriented PL pseudomanifold such that the links L^{2k} of odd codimensional PL intrinsic strata have vanishing lower middle-perversity degree k rational intersection homology, $IH_k^m(L^{2k}; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

For example, pure-dimensional complex algebraic varieties are Witt spaces, since they are oriented pseudomanifolds and possess a Whitney stratification whose strata all have even codimension. The vanishing condition on the intersection homology of links L^{2k} is equivalent to requiring the canonical morphism from lower middle to upper middle perversity intersection chain sheaves to be an isomorphism in the derived category of sheaf complexes. Consequently, these middle perversity intersection chain sheaves are Verdier self-dual, and this induces global Poincaré duality for the middle perversity intersection homology groups of a compact Witt space. In particular, compact Witt spaces X have a well-defined bordism

invariant signature $\sigma(X)$ and L -classes $L_*(X) \in H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ which agree with the Poincaré duals of Hirzebruch's tangential L -classes when X is smooth. The notion of *Witt spaces with boundary* can be introduced as pairs $(X, \partial X)$, where X is a PL space and ∂X a stratum preservingly collared PL subspace of X such that $X - \partial X$ and ∂X are both compatibly oriented Witt spaces. Let $\Omega_*^{\text{Witt}}(-)$ denote the bordism theory based on Witt cycles. Elements of $\Omega_n^{\text{Witt}}(Y)$ are Witt bordism classes of continuous maps $f : X^n \rightarrow Y$ defined on n -dimensional closed Witt spaces X . The theory $\Omega_*^{\text{Witt}}(-)$ is a homology theory, whose coefficients have been computed by Siegel. They are nontrivial only in nonnegative degrees divisible by 4, where they are given by $\mathbb{L}_{4k}(\mathbb{Q})$, $4k > 0$, and by \mathbb{Z} in degree 0.

Let X be a closed Witt space of dimension n . Drawing on Sullivan's methods as laid out in [56] and [57], Siegel constructs in [55] a canonical orientation class

$$\mu_X \in \text{KO}_n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}].$$

(In fact, the class lives in connective KO-homology.) We shall refer to μ_X as the *Siegel-Sullivan orientation class* of X . Let us briefly outline Siegel's construction, which rests on two fundamental facts due to Sullivan: First, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{KO}^i(Y, B) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \text{KO}^i(Y, B)^\wedge \oplus \text{KO}^i(Y, B) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{KO}^i(Y, B)^\wedge \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\text{KO}^i(Y, B)^\wedge$ denotes the profinite completion of $\text{KO}^i(Y, B)$ with respect to groups of odd order. Second, the natural transformation $\Delta_{\text{SO}^*} : \Omega_i^{\text{SO}}(Y, B) \rightarrow (\text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}])_i(Y, B)$ induces a Conner-Floyd type isomorphism

$$\Omega_{i+4*}^{\text{SO}}(Y, B) \otimes_{\Omega_*^{\text{SO}}(\text{pt})} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \cong \text{KO}_i(Y, B) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$$

of $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic theories for compact PL pairs (Y, B) , [56], [39, p. 85]. Together with universal coefficient considerations, these two facts imply that elements of $\text{KO}^i(Y, B) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ are pairs (σ_0, τ_0) of homomorphisms $\sigma_0 : \Omega_{i+4*}^{\text{SO}}(Y, B) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ and $\tau_0 : \Omega_{i+4*}^{\text{SO}}(Y, B; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ such that the *periodicity relations*

$$(6) \quad \sigma_0([f][M \rightarrow \text{pt}]) = \sigma(M) \cdot \sigma_0[f], \quad \tau_0([f][M \rightarrow \text{pt}]) = \sigma(M) \cdot \tau_0[f]$$

with respect to multiplication by a closed manifold M hold and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{i+4*}^{\text{SO}}(Y, B) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_0} & \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega_{i+4*}^{\text{SO}}(Y, B; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]) & \xrightarrow{\tau_0} & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \end{array}$$

commutes. To define μ_X for a closed Witt space X^n , choose a PL embedding $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, m large, of codimension $4k$. Let $(N, \partial N)$ be a regular neighborhood of X . We will describe an element in $\text{KO}^{4k}(N, \partial N) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, which corresponds under Alexander-Spanier-Whitehead duality to $\mu_X \in \text{KO}_n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. Therefore, we need to specify homomorphisms (σ_X, τ_X) satisfying the above periodicity relations and the integrality condition, i.e. commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{4(k+*)}^{\text{SO}}(N, \partial N) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_X} & \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega_{4(k+*)}^{\text{SO}}(N, \partial N; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]) & \xrightarrow{\tau_X} & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]. \end{array}$$

The homomorphism σ_X is

$$\sigma_X([(M, \partial M) \xrightarrow{f} (N, \partial N)] \otimes r) := \sigma(\tilde{f}^{-1}(X)) \otimes r, \quad r \in \mathbb{Q},$$

where one uses the block-transversality results of [15], [40] to make f transverse to X in the PL manifold N . The preimage $\tilde{f}^{-1}(X) \subset M$ under the transverse map \tilde{f} has the same local structure as X and thus is again a Witt space with a well-defined signature $\sigma(\tilde{f}^{-1}(X)) \in \mathbb{Z}$. The homomorphism τ_X is obtained by specifying a sequence of homomorphisms

$$\tau_{X,k} : \Omega_*^{\text{SO}}(N, \partial N; \mathbb{Z}/k) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k, \quad k \text{ odd},$$

compatible with respect to divisibility, which are defined in much the same way as σ_X , but using oriented \mathbb{Z}/k -manifolds to represent elements of $\Omega_*^{\text{SO}}(N, \partial N; \mathbb{Z}/k)$. By Novikov additivity for the signature of compact Witt spaces with boundary, the transverse inverse image of X in the \mathbb{Z}/k -manifold has a well-defined (and bordism invariant) signature in \mathbb{Z}/k , which defines $\tau_{X,k}$. The periodicity and integrality conditions are satisfied and thus an element μ_X is obtained.

The homomorphism $c_* : \text{KO}_n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \text{KO}_n(\text{pt}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ induced by the constant map $c : X \rightarrow \text{pt}$ sends μ_X to the signature of X . Using the orientation class μ_X , Siegel obtains a natural transformation

$$\mu^{\text{Witt}} : \Omega_*^{\text{Witt}}(-) \longrightarrow \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]_*(-)$$

of homology theories by setting

$$\mu^{\text{Witt}}([X \xrightarrow{f} Y]) = f_*(\mu_X).$$

This transformation then reduces to the signature homomorphism on coefficient groups. In terms of the transformation, the orientation class can of course be recovered as

$$\mu_X = \mu^{\text{Witt}}([\text{id}_X]).$$

Siegel's transformation factors through the homomorphism induced by the connective cover $\text{ko}[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$, since $\Omega_*^{\text{Witt}}(-)$ is connective.

Theorem 4.2. (Siegel.) *The natural transformation*

$$\mu^{\text{Witt}}[\frac{1}{2}] : \Omega_*^{\text{Witt}}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \longrightarrow \text{ko}[\frac{1}{2}]_*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$$

is an equivalence of homology theories.

Proposition 4.3. (Siegel.) *If $X = M$ is a smooth compact manifold, then μ_M agrees with the Sullivan orientation $\Delta_{\text{SO}}(M)$,*

$$\mu_M = \Delta_{\text{SO}}(M).$$

Proof. As pointed out by Siegel [55, p. 1069], the statement follows directly from the above construction, since it has been used by Sullivan [56] in the case of a manifold to construct his canonical $\text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -orientation. (In fact, as pointed out in [57] and by Siegel, the construction applies in general to any bordism theory based on a class \mathcal{F} of PL spaces which is closed under taking cartesian product with a PL manifold and intersecting transversely with a closed manifold in Euclidean space, carries a bordism invariant signature which satisfies Novikov additivity and is multiplicative with respect to taking products with closed manifolds. Beyond PL manifolds and Witt spaces there exist much larger classes of singular spaces \mathcal{F} that satisfy this, in particular the class of stratified pseudomanifolds that admits Lagrangian structures along strata of odd codimension, considered in [3], [4].) \square

5. RING SPECTRUM LEVEL CONSTRUCTION OF THE SIEGEL-SULLIVAN ORIENTATION

Let MWITT be the Quinn spectrum associated to the ad-theory of Witt spaces, representing Witt bordism, see Banagl-Laures-McClure [10]. A weakly equivalent spectrum had been considered first by Curran in [20]. He verified that this spectrum is an MSO -module ([20, Thm. 3.6, p. 117]). The product of two Witt spaces is again a Witt space. This implies essentially that MWITT is a ring spectrum; for more details see [10]. (There, we focused on the spectrum MIP representing bordism of integral intersection homology Poincaré spaces studied by Goresky and Siegel in [30] and by Pardon in [45], but everything works in an analogous, indeed simpler, manner for \mathbb{Q} -Witt spaces.) Every oriented PL manifold is a Witt space. Hence there is a map

$$\phi_W : \text{MSPL} \longrightarrow \text{MWITT},$$

which, using the methods of ad-theories and Quinn spectra employed in [10], can be constructed to be multiplicative. In [10], we constructed a map

$$\tau : \text{MWITT} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q}).$$

(We even constructed an integral map $\text{MIP} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$.) This map is multiplicative, i.e. a ring map, as shown in [10, Section 12], and the diagram

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{MSPL} & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} & \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \phi_W \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{MWITT} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

homotopy commutes, since it comes from a commutative diagram of ad-theories under applying the symmetric spectrum functor \mathbf{M} of Laures and McClure [35]. The localization morphism $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})[\frac{1}{2}]$ is a morphism of ring spectra. Thus the composition of τ with the localization morphism is a morphism of ring spectra $\text{MWITT} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})[\frac{1}{2}] = \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}]$, which we shall also denote by τ . It was known to the experts early on that carrying out Mishchenko's method [42] with intersection chains rather than ordinary chains would lead to an extension of the symmetric signature to pseudomanifolds with only even codimensional strata and, more generally, to Witt spaces; see e.g. [60], [16], [6]. In the context of their Witt package program [1], Albin, Leichtnam, Mazzeo and Piazza applied this symmetric signature in defining a C^* -algebraic Witt symmetric signature in $K_*(C_r^*\pi)$ which agrees rationally with the index class of the signature operator $\bar{\sigma}_{\text{sign}}$. In [10], Laures, McClure and the author adopt the approach outlined in [6] to construct the symmetric signature of Witt and integral intersection Poincaré spaces: The morphism $\tau : \text{MWITT} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})$ of ring spectra yields an $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})$ -homology fundamental class for n -dimensional closed Witt spaces X by setting

$$[X]_{\mathbb{L}} := \tau[\text{id}_X] \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})_n(X),$$

$[\text{id}_X] \in \Omega_n^{\text{Witt}}(X)$. This fundamental class yields the symmetric signature

$$\sigma^*(X) = A[X]_{\mathbb{L}} \in L^n(\mathbb{Q}[\pi_1 X])$$

under the assembly map

$$A : \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})_n(X) \longrightarrow L^n(\mathbb{Q}[\pi_1 X]).$$

A detailed account of extending Mishchenko's approach to intersection chains has been provided by Friedman and McClure in [24]. By [10, Thm. 10.12], the above symmetric signature $\sigma^*(X)$ agrees with the construction of Friedman-McClure. According to [24, Prop. 5.20], the homomorphism $L^n(\mathbb{Q}[\pi_1 X]) \rightarrow L^n(\mathbb{Q})$ for $n = 4k$ maps the symmetric signature $\sigma^*(X)$ to the

Witt class $w(X)$ of the intersection form on $IH_{2k}^{\bar{m}}(X; \mathbb{Q})$. Under the localization homomorphism $L^n(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow L^n(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, $w(X)$ maps to the ordinary signature, $w(X)_{(\text{odd})} = \sigma(X) \cdot x^k$, $n = 4k$, as in the manifold case of Remark 3.2. The image of $[X]_{\mathbb{L}}$ under the localization homomorphism $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})_n(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})_n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ will again be denoted by $[X]_{\mathbb{L}}$.

Proposition 5.1. *In positive degrees, the composition*

$$\Omega_*^{\text{Witt}}(\text{pt}) \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})_*(\text{pt}) \xrightarrow{A} L^*(\mathbb{Q})$$

agrees with the map $w : \Omega_*^{\text{Witt}}(\text{pt}) \rightarrow L^*(\mathbb{Q})$ which sends the bordism class of a $4k$ -dimensional Witt space X to the Witt class $w(X)$ of its intersection form on $IH_{2k}^{\bar{m}}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ and is zero in degrees not divisible by 4.

Proof. The group $\Omega_i^{\text{Witt}}(\text{pt})$ is zero in degrees that are not divisible by 4. Thus $A \circ \tau$ agrees trivially with w in such degrees. Let $[X] \in \Omega_{4k}^{\text{Witt}}(\text{pt})$, $k > 0$, be any element. Let $c : X \rightarrow \text{pt}$ denote the constant map and consider the diagram

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega_{4k}^{\text{Witt}}(X) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})_{4k}(X) & \xrightarrow{A} & L^{4k}(\mathbb{Q}[\pi_1 X]) \\ c_* \downarrow & & c_* \downarrow & & c_* \downarrow \\ \Omega_{4k}^{\text{Witt}}(\text{pt}) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})_{4k}(\text{pt}) & \xrightarrow{A} & L^{4k}(\mathbb{Q}). \end{array}$$

Since both τ and the assembly map are natural, the diagram commutes. The right hand vertical map sends the symmetric signature $\sigma^*(X) \in L^{4k}(\mathbb{Q}[\pi_1 X])$ to $w(X)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A\tau[X] &= A\tau c_*[\text{id}_X] = c_* A\tau[\text{id}_X] \\ &= c_* A[X]_{\mathbb{L}} = c_* \sigma^*(X) = w(X). \end{aligned}$$

□

The morphism $\tau : \text{MWITT} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})$ is not an equivalence. One reason is that MWITT is connective whereas $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})$ is periodic. Let $t_{\geq m}E \rightarrow E$ be the $(m-1)$ -connective cover of a spectrum E . A morphism $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ of spectra lifts, uniquely up to homotopy, to a morphism $t_{\geq m}\phi : t_{\geq m}E \rightarrow t_{\geq m}F$. The lift $t_{\geq 0}\tau$ to the connective cover $t_{\geq 0}\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})$ is still no equivalence, as $\pi_0 \text{MWITT} = \Omega_0^{\text{Witt}}(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$, while $\pi_0 \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q}) = L^0(\mathbb{Q})$ contains an infinitely generated amount of 2-primary torsion. Degree zero is, however, the only offending nonnegative degree:

Theorem 5.2. *The lift $t_{\geq 1}\tau : t_{\geq 1}\text{MWITT} \rightarrow t_{\geq 1}\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})$ is a weak equivalence.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.1, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_i(t_{\geq 1}\text{MWITT}) & \xrightarrow{t_{\geq 1}\tau} & \pi_i(t_{\geq 1}\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \pi_i(\text{MWITT}) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \pi_i(\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})) \\ & \searrow w & \parallel A \\ & & L^i(\mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

commutes for $i \geq 1$. Siegel's Witt bordism calculation [55, Prop 1.1, p. 1098] asserts that w is an isomorphism. □

The central construction of the present paper is the following lift of the Siegel-Sullivan orientation introduced in [55] to the ring-spectrum level.

Definition 5.3. The ring-spectrum level Siegel-Sullivan orientation

$$\Delta : \text{MWITT} \longrightarrow \text{KO}[\tfrac{1}{2}]$$

is the morphism of ring spectra given by the composition

$$\text{MWITT} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})[\tfrac{1}{2}] = \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})[\tfrac{1}{2}] \xrightarrow{\kappa^{-1}} \text{KO}[\tfrac{1}{2}]$$

of the Witt-orientation introduced by Laures, McClure and the author with a ring equivalence κ^{-1} inverse to the ring equivalence κ of Proposition 2.1.

Diagram (7) then embeds into the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Delta & & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\ \text{MSPL} & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} & \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\tfrac{1}{2}] & \xleftarrow[\kappa]{\simeq} & \text{KO}[\tfrac{1}{2}] \\ \downarrow \phi_W & & \downarrow \simeq & & \parallel \\ \text{MWITT} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})[\tfrac{1}{2}] & \xleftarrow[\simeq]{\simeq} & \text{KO}[\tfrac{1}{2}]. \\ & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\ & & \Delta & & \end{array}$$

Thus the ring-spectrum level Siegel-Sullivan orientation $\Delta : \text{MWITT} \rightarrow \text{KO}[\tfrac{1}{2}]$ restricts under $\phi_W : \text{MSPL} \rightarrow \text{MWITT}$ to the Sullivan orientation

$$\text{MSPL} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \text{KO}[\tfrac{1}{2}]$$

of Definition 3.5. In order to describe the induced map $\Delta_* : \text{MWITT}_* \rightarrow \text{KO}[\tfrac{1}{2}]_*$ on coefficients, we shall employ the symmetric signature of Witt spaces. We observe that (2) extends from the manifold case to Witt spaces:

Proposition 5.4. The ring-spectrum level Siegel-Sullivan orientation Δ induces on homotopy groups the homomorphism

$$\Delta_* : \Omega_{4k}^{\text{Witt}} = \text{MWITT}_{4k} \longrightarrow \text{KO}[\tfrac{1}{2}]_{4k} = \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}] \langle a^k \rangle$$

given by

$$(9) \quad \Delta_*[X^{4k}] = \sigma(X) \cdot a^k,$$

where $\sigma(X)$ is the signature of the intersection form on the intersection homology groups $IH_{2k}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ of X .

Proof. Let $[X] \in \Omega_{4k}^{\text{Witt}}$ be any element. The constant map $c : X \rightarrow \text{pt}$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega_n^{\text{Witt}}(X) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})_n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow{A[\tfrac{1}{2}]} & L^n(\mathbb{Q}[\pi_1 X]) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}] \\ c_* \downarrow & & c_* \downarrow & & c_* \downarrow \\ \Omega_n^{\text{Witt}}(\text{pt}) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})_n(\text{pt}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow[A[\tfrac{1}{2}]]{=} & L^n(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{2}]. \end{array}$$

This is quite similar to Diagram (8), except that here we map into L-theory away from 2. The claim is established by the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_* \Delta_*[X] &= \tau_*[X] = \tau_* c_*[\text{id}_X] = c_* \tau_*[\text{id}_X] = c_*[X]_{\mathbb{L}} \\ &= c_*(A[\tfrac{1}{2}])[X]_{\mathbb{L}} = c_* \sigma^*(X)_{(\text{odd})} = w(X)_{(\text{odd})} = \sigma(X) \cdot x^k, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\Delta_*[X] = \sigma(X) \cdot \kappa_*^{-1}(x^k) = \sigma(X) \cdot a^k.$$

□

The ring-spectrum level Siegel-Sullivan orientation allows in particular for the following description of the Siegel-Sullivan orientation class of a Witt space:

Definition 5.5. The *Siegel-Sullivan orientation class* of a compact n -dimensional Witt space $(X, \partial X)$ is given by the image

$$\Delta(X) := \Delta_*[\text{id}_X] \in \text{KO}_n(X, \partial X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$$

of the Witt bordism class of the identity on X under the homomorphism $\Delta_* : \Omega_n^{\text{Witt}}(X, \partial X) \rightarrow (\text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]_n)(X, \partial X)$ induced by the ring-spectrum level Siegel-Sullivan orientation Δ .

We will see in Proposition 5.7 below that this terminology is justified, i.e. that $\Delta(X) = \mu_X$. By our construction,

$$(10) \quad \kappa_*\Delta(X) = \kappa_*\Delta_*[\text{id}_X] = \tau[\text{id}_X] = [X]_{\mathbb{L}} \in (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}[\frac{1}{2}]_n)(X, \partial X).$$

Since $\Delta : \text{MWITT} \rightarrow \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ restricts to the Sullivan orientation $\Delta : \text{MSPL} \rightarrow \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$, the Siegel-Sullivan orientation $\Delta(X)$ agrees with the Sullivan orientation $\Delta_{\text{SPL}}(X)$ when X is a PL-manifold. As a final point of business in setting up the spectrum level Siegel-Sullivan orientation, we shall verify that the induced transformation of homology theories agrees with the classical construction as given by Siegel and outlined in Section 4. The argument is based on a result ([9, Prop. 2, p. 597]) of Cappell, Shaneson and the author which shows that at odd primes, Witt bordism classes are representable by smooth oriented bordism classes. Let us review this result briefly.

For an integer j , let \bar{j} denote its residue class in $\mathbb{Z}/4$. Using 4-fold periodicity, we may view $\text{KO}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -homology as $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -graded. On the groups $C_{\bar{j}}(X, Y) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_{j+4k}^{\text{SO}}(X, Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, define an equivalence relation by

$$[M^{j+4k} \times N^{4i} \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} M \xrightarrow{f} X] \sim \sigma(N) \cdot [M^{j+4k} \xrightarrow{f} X],$$

where $\sigma(N)$ is the signature of the manifold N . (See also [32, p. 193].) The periodicity relations (6) imply that Sullivan's orientation Δ_{SO^*} induces a well-defined homomorphism

$$(11) \quad \Delta_{\text{SO}^*} : Q_{\bar{j}}(X, Y) \longrightarrow (\text{KO}_{\frac{1}{2}})_{\bar{j}}(X, Y)$$

on the quotient $Q_{\bar{j}}(X, Y) := C_{\bar{j}}(X, Y) / \sim$. This is a natural transformation of functors, and Sullivan proves that it is an isomorphism for compact PL pairs (X, Y) ([56], [39, 4.15, p. 85]). This shows in particular that $(X, Y) \mapsto Q_{\bar{j}}(X, Y)$ is a ($\mathbb{Z}/4$ -graded) homology theory on compact PL pairs. Let Z denote the ring $Z = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. The Laurent polynomial ring $Z[t, t^{-1}]$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded ring with $\deg(t) = 4$. There is a canonical subring inclusion $Z[t] \subset Z[t, t^{-1}]$, $t \mapsto t$. Via this inclusion, $Z[t, t^{-1}]$ becomes a $Z[t]$ -module and Panov observes in [44] that this module is flat. As the connective spectrum $\text{ko}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a ring spectrum, $(\text{ko}_{\frac{1}{2}})_*(X)$ is in particular a right $(\text{ko}_{\frac{1}{2}})_*(\text{pt}) = Z[a]$ -module, where $a \in (\text{ko}_{\frac{1}{2}})_4(\text{pt}) = Z$ is the generator which complexifies to the square of the complex Bott element, as in Section 2. For periodic KO, we have $(\text{KO}_{\frac{1}{2}})_*(\text{pt}) = Z[a, a^{-1}]$, and the canonical map $(\text{ko}_{\frac{1}{2}})_*(\text{pt}) \rightarrow (\text{KO}_{\frac{1}{2}})_*(\text{pt})$ is given by the inclusion $Z[a] \subset Z[a, a^{-1}]$, $a \mapsto a$. Using the isomorphism $Z[t] \cong Z[a]$, $t \mapsto a$, $(\text{ko}_{\frac{1}{2}})_*(X)$ and $(\text{KO}_{\frac{1}{2}})_*(X)$ become right $Z[t]$ -modules. We may therefore form the tensor product of $Z[t]$ -modules

$$(\text{ko}_{\frac{1}{2}})_*(X) \otimes_{Z[t]} Z[t, t^{-1}].$$

Since the functor $-\otimes_{Z[t]} Z[t, t^{-1}]$ is exact by Panov's observation, the functor

$$(X, Y) \mapsto (\mathbf{ko}_{\frac{1}{2}})_*(X, Y) \otimes_{Z[t]} Z[t, t^{-1}]$$

is exact and thus a homology theory (on CW pairs (X, Y)), which is \mathbb{Z} -graded by $\deg(x \otimes_{Z[t]} rt^k) = n + 4k$, $x \in (\mathbf{ko}_{\frac{1}{2}})_n(X, Y)$, $r \in Z$. Now consider $\mathbf{KO}_*(-)$ as a \mathbb{Z} -graded (not $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -graded) theory. The connective cover $(\mathbf{ko}_{\frac{1}{2}})_*(-) \rightarrow (\mathbf{KO}_{\frac{1}{2}})_*(-)$ is $Z[t]$ -linear and hence induces a natural transformation

$$\Phi : (\mathbf{ko}_{\frac{1}{2}})_*(-) \otimes_{Z[t]} Z[t, t^{-1}] \longrightarrow (\mathbf{KO}_{\frac{1}{2}})_*(-)$$

of \mathbb{Z} -graded homology theories. On a point, Φ is the identity map. Thus Φ is a natural equivalence of homology theories. This shows how to reconstruct periodic $\mathbf{KO}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -homology from connective $\mathbf{ko}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -homology and the action of $Z[t]$ on it. Similarly, we can turn Witt bordism, which is a connective theory, into a periodic version: Denote Witt bordism away from 2 by

$$W_*(X) := \Omega_*^{\text{Witt}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}].$$

This is a \mathbb{Z} -graded homology theory with coefficients

$$W_*(\text{pt}) = Z[c], \quad c := [\mathbf{CP}^2] \otimes 1 \in W_4(\text{pt}).$$

(After inverting 2, only the signature survives as an invariant; the 2- and 4-torsion is killed.) The \mathbb{Z} -graded abelian group $W_*(X)$ is a right module over the ring $W_*(\text{pt})$ as usual. The ring isomorphism $Z[t] \rightarrow W_*(\text{pt})$ induced by $t \mapsto c \otimes 1 \in W_4(\text{pt})$ makes $W_*(X)$ into a right $Z[t]$ -module. We may thus form the tensor product

$$\overline{W}_*(X) := W_*(X) \otimes_{Z[t]} Z[t, t^{-1}],$$

which is \mathbb{Z} -graded by $\deg(x \otimes_{Z[t]} rt^k) = n + 4k$, $x \in W_n(X)$, $r \in Z$. Panov's observation shows that $\overline{W}_*(-)$ is a homology theory. It is naturally a right $Z[t, t^{-1}]$ -module and right multiplication with t is an isomorphism with inverse given by right multiplication with t^{-1} . This shows that $\overline{W}_*(-)$ is 4-periodic so that we may call it *periodic Witt-bordism at odd primes*. The inclusion $Z[t] \subset Z[t, t^{-1}]$ induces a natural map

$$i_* : W_*(X) = W_*(X) \otimes_{Z[t]} Z[t] \longrightarrow \overline{W}_*(X).$$

Again, the periodicity relations (6) imply that

$$(12) \quad \mu^{\text{Witt}}([f : V^{j-4k} \rightarrow X] \cdot [M^{4k}]) = (\mu^{\text{Witt}}[f]) \cdot \sigma(M)a^k \in (\mathbf{ko}_{\frac{1}{2}})_j(X),$$

$[f] \in \Omega_{j-4k}^{\text{Witt}}(X)$, $[M] \in \Omega_{4k}^{\text{SO}}(\text{pt})$, which shows that μ^{Witt} is a homomorphism of $Z[t]$ -modules, as is Δ_{SO_*} in the manifold case. Tensoring over $Z[t]$ with $Z[t, t^{-1}]$, we get a natural isomorphism

$$\mu^{\text{Witt}} \otimes_{Z[t]} \text{id} : \overline{W}_*(X) = W_*(X) \otimes_{Z[t]} Z[t, t^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbf{ko}_{\frac{1}{2}})_*(X) \otimes_{Z[t]} Z[t, t^{-1}]$$

of \mathbb{Z} -graded homology theories by Siegel's Theorem 4.2. For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, a well-defined map

$$\omega : \mathcal{Q}_j(X) \otimes Z \longrightarrow (W_*(X) \otimes_{Z[t]} Z[t, t^{-1}])_j$$

is given by setting

$$\omega([g : M^{j-4k} \rightarrow X] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} r) := [g] \otimes_{Z[t]} rt^k \in W_{j-4k}(X) \otimes_{Z[t]} Z\langle t^k \rangle, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad r \in Z,$$

where one views the closed oriented smooth manifold M as a Witt space via its canonical PL structure. On compact PL spaces X , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_j(X) \otimes Z & \xrightarrow[\Delta_{\text{SO}^*}]{\cong} & (\text{KO}\frac{1}{2})_j(X) \\ \omega \downarrow & & \uparrow \cong \Phi \\ \overline{W}_j(X) & \xrightarrow[\mu^{\text{Witt}} \otimes_{Z[t]} \text{id}]{\cong} & ((\text{ko}\frac{1}{2})_*(X) \otimes_{Z[t]} Z[t, t^{-1}])_j \end{array}$$

commutes for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Proposition 4.3. In particular, ω is an isomorphism, from which representability of Witt bordism classes by smooth manifolds, away from 2, can be deduced. The following consequence will be used in the proof of Proposition 5.7:

Proposition 5.6. *1. Given a $Z[t]$ -linear map $\alpha_* : W_*(X) \rightarrow (\text{KO}\frac{1}{2})_*(X)$, there exists a unique extension of α_* to a homomorphism*

$$\overline{\alpha}_* : \overline{W}_*(X) \longrightarrow (\text{KO}\frac{1}{2})_*(X)$$

of $Z[t, t^{-1}]$ -modules. If α_* is a natural transformation of homology theories, then so is $\overline{\alpha}_*$.

2. Let $\alpha_*, \beta_* : W_*(X) \rightarrow (\text{KO}\frac{1}{2})_*(X)$ be $Z[t]$ -linear natural transformations of homology theories on compact PL X . If $\alpha_*([g : M \rightarrow X] \otimes 1) = \beta_*([g] \otimes 1)$ for every g on smooth manifolds M , then $\alpha_* = \beta_*$ on $W_*(X)$, and $\overline{\alpha}_* = \overline{\beta}_*$ on $\overline{W}_*(X)$ for their periodic versions.

Proof. We prove statement 1: Since α_* is $Z[t]$ -linear, it induces a map

$$\overline{\alpha}_* : (W_*(X) \otimes_{Z[t]} Z[t, t^{-1}])_j \longrightarrow (\text{KO}\frac{1}{2})_j(X), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

by setting, for $p \in Z[t, t^{-1}]$,

$$\overline{\alpha}_*([f] \otimes_{Z[t]} p) := (\alpha_*[f]) \cdot p,$$

where on the right hand side, we interpret p as an element of $Z[a, a^{-1}]$ by substituting $t \mapsto a$. Then $\overline{\alpha}_*$ is $Z[t, t^{-1}]$ -linear, and the diagram

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W_*(X) & & \\ \downarrow i_* & \searrow \alpha_* & \\ \overline{W}_*(X) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\alpha}_*} & (\text{KO}\frac{1}{2})_*(X) \end{array}$$

commutes.

We turn to the proof of uniqueness. Suppose that $\beta_* : \overline{W}_*(X) \rightarrow (\text{KO}\frac{1}{2})_*(X)$ is any $Z[t, t^{-1}]$ -linear extension of α_* , i.e. $\beta_* \circ i_* = \alpha_*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_*([f] \otimes_{Z[t]} p) &= \beta_*([f] \otimes_{Z[t]} (1 \cdot p)) = \beta_*(([f] \otimes_{Z[t]} 1) \cdot p) \\ &= (\beta_*([f] \otimes_{Z[t]} 1)) \cdot p = (\beta_* i_*[f]) \cdot p \\ &= \alpha_*[f] \cdot p = \overline{\alpha}_*([f] \otimes_{Z[t]} p). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\overline{\alpha}_*$ is unique. If α_* is natural in X and commutes with suspension isomorphisms, then $\overline{\alpha}_*$ inherits these properties.

We prove statement 2: Since α_* and β_* are $Z[t]$ -linear, they induce uniquely $Z[t, t^{-1}]$ -linear transformations

$$\overline{\alpha}_*, \overline{\beta}_* : (W_*(X) \otimes_{Z[t]} Z[t, t^{-1}])_j \longrightarrow (\text{KO}\frac{1}{2})_j(X), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

as explained in statement 1. Given an element

$$[f : V^{j-4k} \rightarrow X] \otimes_{Z[t]} rt^k \in (W_*(X) \otimes_{Z[t]} Z[t, t^{-1}])_j = \overline{W}_j(X)$$

$k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in Z$, there exists a (unique) element $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\bar{j}}(X) \otimes Z$ with $\omega(q) = [f] \otimes_{Z[t]} rt^k$, as ω is an isomorphism. Such an element is represented in the quotient $\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{j}}(X) \otimes Z$ by an element of the form

$$q = \sum_{i=1}^m [g_i : M_i^{j-4k_i} \rightarrow X] \otimes r_i, [g_i] \in \Omega_{j-4k_i}^{\text{SO}}(X), r_i \in Z, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By the definition of ω , $\omega([g_i] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} r_i) = [g_i] \otimes_{Z[t]} r_i t^{k_i}$, so that

$$[f] \otimes_{Z[t]} rt^k = \sum_{i=1}^m [g_i] \otimes_{Z[t]} r_i t^{k_i}$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\alpha}_*([f] \otimes_{Z[t]} rt^k) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{\alpha}_*([g_i] \otimes_{Z[t]} r_i t^{k_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_*[g_i]) \cdot r_i a^{k_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\beta_*[g_i]) \cdot r_i a^{k_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{\beta}_*([g_i] \otimes_{Z[t]} r_i t^{k_i}) \\ &= \overline{\beta}_*([f] \otimes_{Z[t]} rt^k). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the periodic versions agree on $\overline{W}_*(X)$, $\overline{\alpha}_* = \overline{\beta}_*$. Using the commutativity of (13) we deduce $\alpha_* = \overline{\alpha}_* \circ i_* = \overline{\beta}_* \circ i_* = \beta_*$. \square

Proposition 5.7. *The natural transformation of homology theories induced by $\Delta : \text{MWITT} \rightarrow \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ agrees with the transformation μ^{Witt} ,*

$$\Delta_* = \mu^{\text{Witt}} : \Omega_*^{\text{Witt}}(Y, B) \longrightarrow \text{KO}_*(Y, B) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$$

on compact PL pairs (Y, B) . In particular, $\Delta(X) = \mu_X$ for a compact Witt space $(X, \partial X)$.

Proof. The ring-spectrum level Siegel-Sullivan orientation $\Delta : \text{MWITT} \rightarrow \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ restricts to the orientation $\Delta : \text{MSPL} \rightarrow \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ of Definition 3.5, and then further to $\Delta| : \text{MSO} \rightarrow \text{MSPL} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$. By Proposition 3.3, and since Δ_{SPL} extends Δ_{SO} , the induced map

$$\Delta|_* : \Omega_*^{\text{SO}}(Y, B) \longrightarrow \text{KO}_*(Y, B) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$$

agrees with

$$\Delta_{\text{SO}*} : \Omega_*^{\text{SO}}(Y, B) \longrightarrow \text{KO}_*(Y, B) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$$

on every compact PL pair (Y, B) . Since $\Delta_* : \Omega_*^{\text{Witt}}(Y, B) \rightarrow \text{KO}_*(Y, B) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ is odd prime local, it factors uniquely through the odd-primary localization $W_*(-)$ of $\Omega_*^{\text{Witt}}(-)$. The resulting homomorphism

$$(14) \quad \Delta_* : W_*(Y, B) \longrightarrow (\text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}])_*(Y, B)$$

is a natural transformation of homology theories. On a point, it is given by

$$\Delta_*(c^k) = \Delta_{\text{SO}*}(c^k) = \Delta_{\text{SO}*}[\mathbb{C}P^2]^k = \sigma(\mathbb{C}P^2)^k \cdot a^k = a^k,$$

using the signature relation (1). Since $\Delta : \text{MWITT} \rightarrow \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ is a morphism of ring spectra, this shows that (14) is $Z[t]$ -linear. Siegel's transformation

$$\mu^{\text{Witt}} : W_*(Y, B) \longrightarrow (\text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}])_*(Y, B)$$

is a natural transformation of homology theories as well, and it is $Z[t]$ -linear by the periodicity relation (12). Thus, in order to prove equality of the two transformations on $W_*(Y, B)$, it remains by Proposition 5.6 to show that they agree on compact smooth manifolds mapping into (Y, B) . Let $g : (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ be a smooth manifold in (Y, B) . By Proposition 4.3, $\mu_M = \Delta_{\text{SO}}(M)$ and hence

$$\Delta_*([g] \otimes 1) = \Delta_{\text{SO}*}[g] = g_*\Delta_{\text{SO}}(M) = g_*\mu_M = \mu^{\text{Witt}}([g] \otimes 1),$$

as was to be shown. \square

6. MULTIPLICATIVITY OF ORIENTATION CLASSES

The classical Sullivan orientation $\Delta_{\text{SO}}(M \times N)$ of a product $M \times N$ of closed smooth manifolds is known to satisfy the multiplicativity property

$$(15) \quad \Delta_{\text{SO}}(M \times N) = \Delta_{\text{SO}}(M) \times \Delta_{\text{SO}}(N)$$

with respect to the external product on $\text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -homology. One way to see this is to argue L -theoretically using Ranicki's multiplicative morphism $\sigma^* : \text{MSPL} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})$: A ring morphism $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ between ring spectra preserves external products, i.e. the diagram

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E_*(X) \otimes E_*(Y) & \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes \phi} & F_*(X) \otimes F_*(Y) \\ \times \downarrow & & \downarrow \times \\ E_*(X \times Y) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & F_*(X \times Y) \end{array}$$

commutes. Applying this to σ^* , one obtains the multiplicativity of the \mathbb{L} -homology orientation of PL manifolds,

$$\begin{aligned} [M]_{\mathbb{L}} \times [N]_{\mathbb{L}} &= \sigma^*[\text{id}_M] \times \sigma^*[\text{id}_N] = \sigma^*([\text{id}_M] \times [\text{id}_N]) \\ &= \sigma^*[\text{id}_{M \times N}] = [M \times N]_{\mathbb{L}}. \end{aligned}$$

(Via the ring morphism $\text{MSTOP} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})$, this works just as well for topological manifolds.) Using the ring equivalence $\kappa^{-1} : \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}] \simeq \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$, it follows that $\Delta(M \times N) = \Delta(M) \times \Delta(N)$ for $\Delta : \text{MSPL} \rightarrow \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ as in Definition 3.5. Equation (15) is then a consequence of Proposition 3.3. Alternatively, and more directly, one may also deduce (15) from the multiplicativity of Sullivan's universal elements

$$\Delta_{4n} \in \text{KO}^{4n}(\text{MSO}_{4n}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}].$$

Indeed, he shows ([56, p. 202, g]) that the canonical homomorphism, induced by the classifying map,

$$\widetilde{\text{KO}}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{4(q+r)}(\text{MSO}_{4(q+r)}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{KO}}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{4(q+r)}(\text{MSO}_{4q} \wedge \text{MSO}_{4r})$$

sends $\Delta_{4(q+r)} \mapsto \Delta_{4q} \times \Delta_{4r}$. This, together with naturality, implies the relation

$$\Delta_{\text{SO}}(\xi \times \eta) = \Delta_{\text{SO}}(\xi) \times \Delta_{\text{SO}}(\eta) \in \widetilde{\text{KO}}_{\frac{1}{2}}^*(\text{Th}(\xi \times \eta)) = \widetilde{\text{KO}}_{\frac{1}{2}}^*(\text{Th} \xi \wedge \text{Th} \eta)$$

for the Sullivan Thom class $\Delta_{\text{SO}}(\xi) \in \widetilde{\text{KO}}_{\frac{1}{2}}^*(\text{Th} \xi)$ of an oriented vector bundle ξ over a finite complex; see also Madsen-Milgram [39, p. 116]. Finally, one applies this to the stable normal bundles $\xi = \nu_M$, $\eta = \nu_N$ and uses Alexander duality.

Remark 6.1. As Rosenberg and Weinberger point out in [52, p. 51, Lemma 6], it is *not* true that the class $[D_M]$ of the signature operator D_M in Kasparov K-homology is multiplicative under external Kasparov product. If one of the dimensions of these classes is even, then the class is multiplicative, but if both dimensions are odd, then $[D_{M \times N}] = 2[D_M] \times [D_N]$. See also [34, Theorem 8.5].

A first immediate application of our approach, then, is a proof of full cartesian multiplicativity of the Siegel-Sullivan orientation class $\mu_X = \Delta(X) \in \text{KO}_n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ for Witt spaces X , generalizing the manifold multiplicativity. (This was not established in [55].)

Theorem 6.2. *Let X and Y be closed Witt spaces. Then the Siegel-Sullivan orientation of the Witt space $X \times Y$ is given by*

$$\Delta(X \times Y) = \Delta(X) \times \Delta(Y),$$

using the external product $(\text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]_m(X) \otimes (\text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]_n(Y)) \rightarrow (\text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]_{m+n}(X \times Y))$.

Proof. Applying diagram (16) to the ring morphism $\Delta : \text{MWITT} \rightarrow \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$, we obtain for the orientation classes

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(X \times Y) &= \Delta_*[\text{id}_{X \times Y}] = \Delta_*([\text{id}_X] \times [\text{id}_Y]) \\ &= \Delta_*[\text{id}_X] \times \Delta_*[\text{id}_Y] = \Delta(X) \times \Delta(Y). \end{aligned}$$

□

7. BUNDLE TRANSFER OF THE SIEGEL-SULLIVAN ORIENTATION

The equivalence of \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectra $\kappa : \text{KO}[\frac{1}{2}] \simeq \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})[\frac{1}{2}]$ constructed in Proposition 2.1, together with \mathbb{L} -theoretic results of [8], allows for a treatment of bundle transfers of Siegel-Sullivan classes. We begin with recollections on homological block bundle transfer homomorphisms and use κ to relate the transfers on KO- and \mathbb{L} -homology.

Let F be a closed oriented PL manifold of dimension d and let K be a finite ball complex with associated polyhedron $B = |K|$. Let b denote the dimension of B . Let ξ be an oriented PL F -block bundle over K with total space $X = E(\xi)$, $\dim X = b + d$. The theory of F -block bundles has been developed by Casson in [19]. PL-locally trivial PL fiber bundles $X \rightarrow B$ with pointwise fiber F are a special case.

Let E be a ring spectrum equipped with a ring map $\text{MSPL} \rightarrow E$. Then the block bundle ξ has an associated block transfer homomorphism

$$(17) \quad \xi^! : E_n(B) \longrightarrow E_{n+d}(X).$$

In [8], we described $\xi^!$ as a composition

$$E_n(B) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \tilde{E}_{n+s}(S^s B^+) \xrightarrow{T(\xi)^*} \tilde{E}_{n+s}(\text{Th}(v)) \xrightarrow{\Phi} E_{n+s-(s-d)}(X).$$

Here, σ is the suspension isomorphism and $T(\xi) : S^s B^+ \rightarrow \text{Th}(v)$ the Umkehr map (i.e. Pontrjagin-Thom collapse) associated to a ξ -block preserving PL embedding $X \hookrightarrow B \times \mathbb{R}^s$ for large s . Such an embedding can be shown to have a regular neighborhood that is the total space of an $(s-d)$ -disc block bundle v over X , see e.g. [8, Section 2]. This normal disc block bundle v represents the stable vertical normal bundle of ξ and can be taken to be a PL microbundle (or PL $(\mathbb{R}^{s-d}, 0)$ -bundle) since $\text{BSPL} \simeq \widetilde{\text{BSPL}}$ for the stable classifying spaces. (Such a stable vertical normal bundle exists even for mock bundles with manifold blocks, see [15, IV.2, p. 83].) The image of the MSPL-cohomology Thom class of v under the ring map $\text{MSPL} \rightarrow E$ yields an E -cohomology Thom class of v . Cap product with this class defines the Thom homomorphism Φ .

We shall consider the block transfer $\xi^!$ in the cases where the ring spectrum E is $\mathrm{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$, $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})$ or $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Q})[\frac{1}{2}] = \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{Z})[\frac{1}{2}]$, and the ring maps $\mathrm{MSPL} \rightarrow E$ are the orientations considered earlier. The compatibility of these transfers will be established in Lemma 7.3 and is essentially a consequence of the multiplicativity of the map κ . We need to be more precise about the involved Thom homomorphisms Φ . Our arguments involve the three Thom classes discussed in Section 3: The class $u_{\mathrm{SPL}}(\alpha)$ in MSPL -cohomology, $u_{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha)$ in \mathbb{L} -cohomology, and the class $\Delta(\alpha)$ in $\mathrm{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -cohomology. Let E be a ring spectrum and let $m = s - d$ denote the rank of the aforementioned representative of the stable vertical normal disc block bundle \mathbf{v} . The reduced E -cohomology group of the Thom space can be expressed as a relative group,

$$\tilde{E}^m(\mathrm{Th}(\mathbf{v})) \cong E^m(N, \partial N),$$

where N is the total space of \mathbf{v} and ∂N the total space of the sphere bundle of \mathbf{v} . Let

$$\rho_* : E_*(N) \xrightarrow{\cong} E_*(X)$$

be the inverse of the isomorphism induced on E -homology by the inclusion $X \hookrightarrow N$ of the zero section. If \mathbf{v} is E -orientable, then using the cap product

$$\cap : E^m(N, \partial N) \otimes E_n(N, \partial N) \longrightarrow E_{n-m}(N) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} E_{n-m}(X)$$

with a Thom class (E -orientation) $u \in E^m(N, \partial N)$ for \mathbf{v} , we obtain the *Thom homomorphism*

$$\Phi := \rho_*(u \cap -) : \tilde{E}_n(\mathrm{Th}(\mathbf{v})) \cong E_n(N, \partial N) \longrightarrow E_{n-m}(X).$$

Since $\Delta(\mathbf{v})$ is a $\mathrm{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -cohomology orientation of \mathbf{v} with $\Delta(\mathbf{v}) = \Delta_* u_{\mathrm{SPL}}(\mathbf{v})$ (Definition 3.6), we get for the ring spectrum $E = \mathrm{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ the Thom homomorphism

$$\Phi = \rho_*(\Delta(\mathbf{v}) \cap -) : \widetilde{\mathrm{KO}}_n(\mathrm{Th}(\mathbf{v})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \longrightarrow \mathrm{KO}_{n-m}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}].$$

Similarly, since $u_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{v})$ is an $\mathbb{L}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -cohomology orientation of \mathbf{v} with $u_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{v}) = \sigma^* u_{\mathrm{SPL}}(\mathbf{v})$, we receive for the ring spectrum $E = \mathbb{L}[\frac{1}{2}]$ the Thom homomorphism

$$\Phi = \rho_*(u_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{v}) \cap -) : \tilde{\mathbb{L}}_n(\mathrm{Th}(\mathbf{v})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{n-m}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}].$$

Lemma 7.1. *The Thom homomorphisms Φ on $\mathbb{L}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -homology and $\mathrm{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -homology agree under the natural isomorphism κ , that is, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathrm{KO}}_n(\mathrm{Th}(\mathbf{v})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathrm{KO}_{n-m}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \\ \kappa_* \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \kappa_* \\ \tilde{\mathbb{L}}_n(\mathrm{Th}(\mathbf{v})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathbb{L}_{n-m}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. As κ_* is a natural transformation of homology theories, it commutes with the isomorphism ρ_* . Since κ is a morphism of ring spectra, it respects cap products, i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathrm{KO}[\frac{1}{2}])^m(Y, A) \otimes (\mathrm{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]_n(Y, A)) & \xrightarrow{\cap} & (\mathrm{KO}[\frac{1}{2}]_{n-m}(Y)) \\ \kappa_* \otimes \kappa_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \kappa_* \\ (\mathbb{L}[\frac{1}{2}])^m(Y, A) \otimes (\mathbb{L}[\frac{1}{2}]_n(Y, A)) & \xrightarrow{\cap} & (\mathbb{L}[\frac{1}{2}]_{n-m}(Y)) \end{array}$$

commutes. By Lemma 3.7, $\kappa_*(\Delta(\mathbf{v})) = u_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{v})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_*\Phi &= \kappa_*\rho_*(\Delta(\mathbf{v}) \cap -) = \rho_*\kappa_*(\Delta(\mathbf{v}) \cap -) \\ &= \rho_*(\kappa_*(\Delta(\mathbf{v})) \cap \kappa_*(-)) = \rho_*(u_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{v}) \cap \kappa_*(-)) = \Phi\kappa_*.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 7.2. Since localization is multiplicative on the spectrum level, it takes the Thom homomorphism Φ defined by capping with the Thom class $u_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{v}) \in (\widetilde{\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}})^m(\mathrm{Th}(\mathbf{v}))$ to the Thom homomorphism Φ defined by capping with the localized class $u_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{v}) \in (\widetilde{\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}[\frac{1}{2}]})^m(\mathrm{Th}(\mathbf{v}))$, that is, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}(\widetilde{\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}})_n(\mathrm{Th}(\mathbf{v})) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q})_{n-m}(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\widetilde{\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}[\frac{1}{2}]})_n(\mathrm{Th}(\mathbf{v})) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}[\frac{1}{2}])_{n-m}(X)\end{array}$$

commutes. Thus \mathbb{L} -theoretic transfers also commute with localization away from 2.

Using the Thom homomorphisms Φ appearing in Lemma 7.1, there are in particular transfers

$$\xi^! : \mathbb{L}_n(\mathbf{B}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{n+d}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$$

and

$$\xi^! : \mathbf{K}\mathbb{O}_n(\mathbf{B}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}\mathbb{O}_{n+d}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}].$$

Lemma 7.3. *The diagram of transfers*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathbf{K}\mathbb{O}_{*+d}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\kappa_*} & \mathbb{L}_{*+d}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \\ \xi^! \uparrow & & \uparrow \xi^! \\ \mathbf{K}\mathbb{O}_*(\mathbf{B}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\kappa_*} & \mathbb{L}_*(\mathbf{B}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]\end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. By construction of the block transfer $\xi^!$, the diagram factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathbf{K}\mathbb{O}_{*+d}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\kappa_*} & \mathbb{L}_{*+d}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \\ \Phi \uparrow & & \uparrow \Phi \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}\mathbb{O}_{*+s}(\mathrm{Th}(\mathbf{v})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\kappa_*} & \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{*+s}(\mathrm{Th}(\mathbf{v})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \\ T(\xi)_* \uparrow & & \uparrow T(\xi)_* \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}\mathbb{O}_{*+s}(S^s B^+) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\kappa_*} & \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{*+s}(S^s B^+) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \\ \sigma \uparrow & & \uparrow \sigma \\ \mathbf{K}\mathbb{O}_*(\mathbf{B}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\kappa_*} & \mathbb{L}_*(\mathbf{B}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}].\end{array}$$

The bottom and middle squares commute, as κ_* is a natural transformation of homology theories, while the top square involving the Thom homomorphisms commutes by Lemma 7.1. □

The material on block bundle transfer homomorphisms developed above enables us to establish our main result on bundle transfer of Siegel-Sullivan orientations:

Theorem 7.4. *If ξ is an oriented PL F -block bundle with closed oriented PL manifold fiber F over a closed Witt base B , then the Siegel-Sullivan orientations of base and total space X are related under block bundle transfer by*

$$\xi^! \Delta(B) = \Delta(X).$$

Proof. Remark 7.2 implies that transfer commutes with localization away from 2: the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q})_{*+d}(X) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}[\frac{1}{2}])_{*+d}(X) \\ \xi^! \uparrow & & \uparrow \xi^! \\ (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q})_*(B) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}[\frac{1}{2}])_*(B) \end{array}$$

commutes. In [8, Theorem 7.1] we showed that the left hand transfer sends $[B]_{\mathbb{L}}$ to $[X]_{\mathbb{L}}$. Thus

$$\xi^! [B]_{\mathbb{L}} = [X]_{\mathbb{L}}$$

for the right hand transfer as well. By (10),

$$\kappa_* \Delta(X) = [X]_{\mathbb{L}} \in (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}[\frac{1}{2}])_{b+d}(X), \quad \kappa_* \Delta(B) = [B]_{\mathbb{L}} \in (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}[\frac{1}{2}])_b(B).$$

Using Lemma 7.3,

$$\kappa_* \xi^! \Delta(B) = \xi^! \kappa_* \Delta(B) = \xi^! [B]_{\mathbb{L}} = [X]_{\mathbb{L}} = \kappa_* \Delta(X).$$

It follows that $\xi^! \Delta(B) = \Delta(X)$, as κ_* is an isomorphism. \square

8. GYSIN RESTRICTION OF THE SIEGEL-SULLIVAN ORIENTATION

Our method based on the equivalence of \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectra $\kappa : \mathrm{KO}[\frac{1}{2}] \simeq \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R})[\frac{1}{2}]$ constructed in Proposition 2.1, together with results of [7], allows for a treatment of Gysin restrictions of Siegel-Sullivan classes under normally nonsingular inclusions of singular spaces in a fashion parallel to our analysis of bundle transfers in the previous section. An inclusion $g : Y \hookrightarrow X$ of stratified spaces is *normally nonsingular* if Y has an open tubular neighborhood that can be equipped in a stratum preserving manner with the structure of a vector bundle ν over Y such that Y is identified with the zero section. For example, the transverse intersection of a smooth submanifold with a Whitney stratified set X in an ambient smooth manifold is normally nonsingular in X ([27, p. 47, Thm. 1.11]).

Let $g : Y^{n-c} \hookrightarrow X^n$ be a codimension c normally nonsingular inclusion of closed Witt spaces with normal bundle ν of rank c . Let E be a ring spectrum such that ν has an E -orientation u . We describe the Gysin restriction on E -homology associated to g . The canonical map $j : X^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{Th}(\nu)$ induces a homomorphism

$$j_* : E_*(X) \longrightarrow \tilde{E}_*(\mathrm{Th}(\nu)).$$

As in the previous section, cap product with the E -orientation u yields the Thom homomorphism

$$\Phi = \rho_*(u \cap -) : \tilde{E}_*(\mathrm{Th}(\nu)) \longrightarrow E_{*-c}(Y).$$

Composition defines the *Gysin restriction*

$$g^! = \Phi \circ j_* : E_*(X) \longrightarrow E_{*-c}(Y).$$

Now suppose that \mathbf{v} is $H\mathbb{Z}$ -oriented, compatibly with the orientations of X and Y . Applying the above general description of $g^!$ to $E = \mathbb{K}\mathbb{O}[\frac{1}{2}]$ with $u = \Delta(\mathbf{v})$, we obtain the Gysin homomorphism

$$g^! : \mathbb{K}\mathbb{O}_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}\mathbb{O}_{*-c}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}],$$

and applying it to $E = \mathbb{L}[\frac{1}{2}]$ with $u = u_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{v})$, we obtain the Gysin homomorphism

$$g^! : \mathbb{L}_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{*-c}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}].$$

Lemma 8.1. *The diagram of Gysin restrictions*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{K}\mathbb{O}_{*-c}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\kappa_*} & \mathbb{L}_{*-c}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \\ \uparrow g^! & & \uparrow g^! \\ \mathbb{K}\mathbb{O}_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\kappa_*} & \mathbb{L}_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. By construction of the restrictions $g^!$, the diagram factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{K}\mathbb{O}_{*-c}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\kappa_*} & \mathbb{L}_{*-c}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \\ \uparrow \Phi & & \uparrow \Phi \\ \widetilde{\mathbb{K}\mathbb{O}}_*(\mathrm{Th}(\mathbf{v})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\kappa_*} & \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_*(\mathrm{Th}(\mathbf{v})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \\ \uparrow j_* & & \uparrow j_* \\ \mathbb{K}\mathbb{O}_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\kappa_*} & \mathbb{L}_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]. \end{array}$$

The bottom square commutes, as κ_* is a natural transformation of homology theories, while the top square involving the Thom homomorphisms commutes by Lemma 7.1. \square

The Siegel-Sullivan orientation behaves under normally nonsingular Gysin restrictions as follows.

Theorem 8.2. *Let $g : Y^{n-c} \hookrightarrow X^n$ be an oriented normally nonsingular inclusion of closed Witt spaces. The $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{O}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -homology Gysin map $g^!$ of g sends the Siegel-Sullivan orientation of X to the Siegel-Sullivan orientation of Y :*

$$g^! \Delta(X) = \Delta(Y).$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the one of Theorem 7.4. Remark 7.2 implies that Gysin restriction commutes with localization away from 2: the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q})_{*-c}(Y) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}[\frac{1}{2}]_{*-c}(Y) \\ \uparrow g^! & & \uparrow g^! \\ (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q})_*(X) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}[\frac{1}{2}]_*(X) \end{array}$$

commutes. In [7, Theorem 3.17] we showed that the left hand restriction sends $[X]_{\mathbb{L}}$ to $[Y]_{\mathbb{L}}$. Thus

$$g^! [X]_{\mathbb{L}} = [Y]_{\mathbb{L}}$$

for the right hand restriction as well. By (10),

$$\kappa_*\Delta(X) = [X]_{\mathbb{L}} \in (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}[\frac{1}{2}])_n(X), \quad \kappa_*\Delta(Y) = [Y]_{\mathbb{L}} \in (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}[\frac{1}{2}])_{n-c}(Y).$$

Using Lemma 8.1,

$$\kappa_*g^!\Delta(X) = g^!\kappa_*\Delta(X) = g^![X]_{\mathbb{L}} = [Y]_{\mathbb{L}} = \kappa_*\Delta(Y).$$

It follows that $g^!\Delta(X) = \Delta(Y)$, as κ_* is an isomorphism. \square

In tandem, Theorems 8.2 and 7.4 show that the transfer associated to a normally nonsingular map $Y \rightarrow B$ (Goresky-MacPherson [29, 5.4.3], Fulton-MacPherson [26]) sends $\Delta(B)$ to $\Delta(Y)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Albin, E. Leichtnam, R. Mazzeo, P. Piazza, *The Signature Package on Witt Spaces*, Annales Scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure **45** (2012), 241 – 310. 3, 15
- [2] P. Albin, M. Banagl, E. Leichtnam, R. Mazzeo, P. Piazza, *Refined Intersection Homology on non-Witt Spaces*, J. Topol. Anal. **7** (2015), no. 1, 105 – 133. 3
- [3] M. Banagl, *Extending Intersection Homology Type Invariants to non-Witt Spaces*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **160** (2002), no. 760, 1 – 83. 2, 3, 14
- [4] M. Banagl, *The L-Class of non-Witt Spaces*, Annals of Math. **163** (2006), 1 – 24. 2, 14
- [5] M. Banagl, *Topological Invariants of Stratified Spaces*, Springer Monographs in Math., Springer Verlag, 2007. 12
- [6] M. Banagl, *The Signature of Singular Spaces and its Refinements to Generalized Homology Theories*, in: Topology of Stratified Spaces, eds. G. Friedman, E. Hunsicker, A. Libgober, L. Maxim, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, vol. 58, Cambridge University Press, 2011, 223 – 249. 15
- [7] M. Banagl, *Gysin Restriction of Topological and Hodge-theoretic Characteristic Classes for Singular Spaces*, New York J. of Math. **26** (2020), 1273 – 1337. 2, 3, 26, 27
- [8] M. Banagl, *Bundle Transfer of the Goresky-MacPherson L-Class for Singular Spaces*, preprint (2022), arXiv:2210.05432. 3, 23, 26
- [9] M. Banagl, S. Cappell and J. Shaneson, *Computing Twisted Signatures and L-Classes of Stratified Spaces*, Math. Ann. **326** no. 3 (2003), 589 – 623. 18
- [10] M. Banagl, G. Laures, J. McClure, *The L-Homology Fundamental Class for IP-Spaces and the Stratified Novikov Conjecture*, Selecta Math. **25:7** (2019), pp. 1 - 104. 3, 15
- [11] M. Banagl, D. Wrazidlo, *The Uniqueness Theorem for Gysin Coherent Characteristic Classes of Singular Spaces*, preprint (2022), arXiv:2210.13009. 2, 3
- [12] J. C. Becker, D. H. Gottlieb, *The Transfer Map and Fiber Bundles*, Topology **14** (1975), 1 – 12. 2
- [13] A. Borel et al., *Intersection Cohomology*, Progress in Math., vol. 50, Birkhäuser, 1984. 12
- [14] J.-P. Brasselet, J. Schürmann, S. Yokura, *Hirzebruch Classes and Motivic Chern Classes for Singular Spaces*, J. Topol. Analysis **2** (2010), 1 – 55. 3
- [15] S. Buoncrisiano, C. P. Rourke, B. J. Sanderson, *A Geometric Approach to Homology Theory*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, vol. 18, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1976. 14, 23
- [16] S. E. Cappell, J. L. Shaneson, *Stratifiable Maps and Topological Invariants*, J. of the Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 521 – 551. 15
- [17] S. Cappell, J. Shaneson, S. Weinberger, *Classes topologiques caractéristiques pour les actions de groupes sur les espaces singuliers*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **313** (1991), 293 – 295. 2
- [18] S. E. Cappell and S. Weinberger, *Classification de certaines espaces stratifiés*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **313** (1991), 399–401. 2
- [19] A. J. Casson, *Generalisations and Applications of Block Bundles*, Trinity College, Cambridge fellowship dissertation, 1967. In: The Hauptvermutung Book (ed. A. Ranicki), 33 – 68. 2, 23
- [20] S. J. Curran, *Intersection Homology and Free Group Actions on Witt Spaces*, Michigan Math. J. **39** (1992), 111 – 127. 15
- [21] J. M. Davies, *The Structure Sheaf of the Moduli of Oriented p-divisible Groups*, preprint (2020), arXiv:2007.00482v1. 6
- [22] J. M. Davies, *Constructing and Calculating Adams Operations on Topological Modular Forms*, preprint (2021), arXiv:2104.13407v1 6
- [23] G. Friedman, *Singular Intersection Homology*, new mathematical monographs, vol. 33, Cambridge University Press, 2020. 12

- [24] G. Friedman, J. McClure, *The Symmetric Signature of a Witt Space*, Journal of Topology and Analysis **5** (2013), 121 – 159. 15
- [25] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, 2nd ed., Springer Verlag, 1998. 2
- [26] W. Fulton, R. MacPherson, *Categorical Framework for the Study of Singular Spaces*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (1981), no. 243. 2, 28
- [27] M. Goresky, R. MacPherson, *Stratified Morse Theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 14, Springer-Verlag, 1988. 26
- [28] M. Goresky, R. MacPherson, *Intersection Homology Theory*, Topology **19** (1980), 135 – 162. 12
- [29] M. Goresky, R. MacPherson, *Intersection Homology II*, Invent. Math. **71** (1983), 77 – 129. 2, 12, 28
- [30] R. M. Goresky, P. H. Siegel, *Linking Pairings on Singular Spaces*, Comm. Math. Helv. **58** (1983), 96 – 110. 15
- [31] F. Kirwan, J. Woolf, *An Introduction to Intersection Homology Theory*, 2nd edition, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006. 12
- [32] M. Kreck, W. Lück, *The Novikov Conjecture*, Oberwolfach Seminars, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2005. 18
- [33] M. Land, T. Nikolaus, *On the Relation between K - and L -Theory of C^* -Algebras*, Math. Annalen **371** (2018), 517 – 563. 3, 4, 5
- [34] M. Land, T. Nikolaus, M. Schlichting, *L -Theory of C^* -Algebras*, preprint, arXiv:2208.10556v1. 3, 23
- [35] G. Laures, J. McClure, *Multiplicative Properties of Quinn Spectra*, Forum Math. **26** (2014), no.4, 1117 – 1185. 4, 7, 15
- [36] L. G. Maxim, *Intersection Homology & Perverse Sheaves*, Graduate Texts in Math. 281, Springer-Verlag, 2019. 12
- [37] W. Lück, A. Ranicki, *Surgery Obstructions of Fibre Bundles*, J. of Pure and Applied Algebra **81** (1992), 139 – 189. 3
- [38] J. Lurie, *Lecture 25 on Algebraic L -Theory and Surgery: The Hirzebruch Signature Formula* (2011), <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/287x.html> 5
- [39] I. Madsen, R. J. Milgram, *The Classifying Spaces for Surgery and Cobordism of Manifolds*, Annals of Math. Studies **92**, Princeton University Press, 1979. 6, 10, 13, 18, 22
- [40] C. McCrory, *Cone Complexes and PL Transversality*, Transactions of the American Math. Soc. **207** (1975), 269 – 291. 14
- [41] J. Peter May, *E_∞ Ring Spaces and E_∞ Ring Spectra*, Lecture Notes in Math. **577** (1977), Springer-Verlag. 6
- [42] A. S. Mishchenko, *Homotopy Invariants of non-simply connected Manifolds. III. Higher Signatures*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, ser. mat. **35** (1971), 1316–1355. 15
- [43] J. W. Morgan, D. P. Sullivan, *The Transversality Characteristic Class and Linking Cycles in Surgery Theory*, Annals of Math. **99** (1974), no. 3, 463 – 544. 9
- [44] N. V. Panov, *Universal Coefficient Formulas for Stable K -Theory*, Mat. Zametki **11** (1972), 53 – 60 = Math. Notes **11** (1972), 36 – 40. 18
- [45] W. L. Pardon, *Intersection homology, Poincaré spaces and the characteristic variety theorem*, Comment. Math. Helv. **65** (1990), 198 – 233. 15
- [46] O. Randal-Williams, *The Family Signature Theorem*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh (Ranicki memorial issue), to appear. 7, 10
- [47] A. A. Ranicki, *Algebraic L -Theory and Topological Manifolds*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., vol. 102, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992. 4, 7, 9, 11
- [48] A. A. Ranicki, *The Algebraic Theory of Surgery*, <https://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~v1ranick/papers/ats.pdf> (1978). 7, 8
- [49] A. Ranicki, *The Algebraic Theory of Surgery II. Applications to Topology*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **40** (1980), 193 – 283. 7
- [50] A. A. Ranicki, *The Total Surgery Obstruction*, Proc. 1978 Aarhus Topology Conference, Lect. Notes in Math. **763**, Springer, 1979, 275 – 316. 7
- [51] J. Rosenberg, *Analytic Novikov for Topologists*, in *Novikov Conjectures, Index Theorems and Rigidity*, vol. 1, eds. S. C. Ferry, A. Ranicki, J. Rosenberg, London Math. Soc. Lecture note series **226**, Cambridge University Press, 1995, 338 – 372. 5
- [52] J. Rosenberg, S. Weinberger, *The Signature Operator at 2*, Topology **45** (2006), 47 – 63. 3, 23
- [53] Y. B. Rudyak, *On Thom Spectra, Orientability, and Cobordism*, 2nd printing, Springer Monographs in Math., Springer Verlag, 2008. 5, 11
- [54] J. Schürmann, *Characteristic Classes of Mixed Hodge Modules*, in: *Topology of Stratified Spaces* (Eds. Friedman, Hunsicker, Libgober, Maxim), MSRI publications vol. 58, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2011, 419 – 470. 3

- [55] P. H. Siegel, *Witt Spaces: A Geometric Cycle Theory for KO-Homology at Odd Primes*, Amer. J. of Math. **105** (1983), 1067 – 1105. 2, 4, 12, 13, 14, 16, 23
- [56] D. Sullivan, *Geometric Topology: Localization, Periodicity, and Galois Symmetry*, MIT notes, 1970. 1, 6, 13, 14, 18, 22
- [57] D. Sullivan, *Singularities in Spaces*, Proc. of Liverpool Singularities Symposium II, Lecture Notes in Math. **209**, 196 – 206, Springer-Verlag, 1971. 1, 13, 14
- [58] R. M. Switzer, *Algebraic Topology — Homotopy and Homology*, Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, vol. 212, Springer-Verlag, 1975. 11
- [59] L. Taylor, B. Williams, *Surgery Spaces: Formulae and Structure*, Proceedings 1978 Waterloo Algebraic Topology Conference, Lecture Notes in Math. **741**, Springer, 170 – 195 (1979). 5, 9
- [60] S. Weinberger, *The Topological Classification of Stratified Spaces*, Chicago Lectures in Math., The University of Chicago Press, 1994. 2, 7, 15

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG, IM NEUENHEIMER FELD 205, 69120 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY

Email address: `banagl@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de`