

ISOMETRIC GROUP ACTIONS AND THE COHOMOLOGY OF FLAT FIBER BUNDLES

MARKUS BANAGL

ABSTRACT. Using methods originating in the theory of intersection spaces, specifically a de Rham type description of the real cohomology of these spaces by a complex of global differential forms, we show that the Leray-Serre spectral sequence with real coefficients of a flat fiber bundle of smooth manifolds collapses if the fiber is Riemannian and the structure group acts isometrically. The proof is largely topological and does not need a metric on the base or total space. We use this result to show further that if the fundamental group of a smooth aspherical manifold acts isometrically on a Riemannian manifold, then the equivariant real cohomology of the Riemannian manifold can be computed as a direct sum over the cohomology of the group with coefficients in the (generally twisted) cohomology modules of the manifold. Our results have consequences for the Euler class of flat sphere bundles. Several examples are discussed in detail, for instance an action of a free abelian group on a flag manifold.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. A Complex of Multiplicatively Structured Forms on Flat Bundles	5
3. Fiberwise Truncation	9
4. Čech Presheaves	11
5. The Spectral Sequence of a Flat, Isometrically Structured Bundle	13
6. Nonisometrically Structured Flat Bundles	17
7. Equivariant Cohomology	18
8. An Example: Group Actions on Flag Manifolds	22
9. Appendix: The Case of the Free Abelian Group	25
References	35

1. INTRODUCTION

A fiber bundle with given structure group is *flat*, if the transition functions into the structure group are locally constant. We show that the method of intersection spaces introduced in [Ban10], specifically the de Rham description of intersection space cohomology given in [Ban11], implies the following result, by a concise and topological proof:

Theorem. (See Theorem 5.1.) *Let B, E and F be closed, smooth manifolds with F oriented. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ be a flat, smooth fiber bundle with structure group H . If*

Date: May, 2011.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 55R20, 55R70, 55N91.

Key words and phrases. Serre spectral sequence, cohomology of fiber bundles, flat bundles, isometric group actions, equivariant cohomology, aspherical manifolds, discrete torsionfree transformation groups, Euler class.

The author was in part supported by a research grant of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

(1) H is a Lie group acting properly (and smoothly) on F (for example H compact),

or

(2) F is Riemannian and the images of the monodromy homomorphisms $\pi_1(B, b) \rightarrow H$ act by isometries on F , where the base-point b ranges over the connected components of B ,

then the cohomological Leray-Serre spectral sequence of π for real coefficients collapses at the E_2 -term. In particular, the formula

$$H^k(E; \mathbb{R}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^p(B; \mathbf{H}^q(F; \mathbb{R}))$$

holds, where the $\mathbf{H}^q(F; \mathbb{R})$ are local coefficient systems on B induced by π , whose groups are the real cohomology groups of the fiber.

By a result of R. Palais ([Pal61]), condition (1) implies that F can be endowed with a Riemannian metric such that (2) holds. The isometry group of an m -dimensional, compact, Riemannian manifold is a compact Lie group of dimension at most $\frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$. Thus (2) implies (1) by taking H to be the isometry group of F , and the two conditions are essentially equivalent. In Section 6, we provide an example of a flat, smooth fiber bundle whose structure group does not act isometrically for any Riemann metric on the fiber, and whose spectral sequence does not collapse at E_2 . Hence, conditions (1), (2) in the theorem cannot be deleted without substitution.

Let us indicate two immediate consequences of the theorem. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ be an oriented, flat sphere bundle with structure group $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ over a closed, smooth manifold B . The transgression

$$\begin{aligned} d_n : E_n^{0, n-1} &= H^0(B; \mathbf{H}^{n-1}(S^{n-1}; \mathbb{R})) = H^{n-1}(S^{n-1}; \mathbb{R}) \\ &\longrightarrow E_n^{n, 0} = H^n(B; \mathbf{H}^0(S^{n-1}; \mathbb{R})) = H^n(B; \mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

sends a certain element $\sigma \in E_1^{0, n-1}$, which corresponds to local angular forms on the sphere bundle, and which survives to E_n , to the Euler class of the sphere bundle. Since $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ is compact, the spectral sequence of the bundle collapses at E_2 , by the theorem. Thus $d_n = 0$ and we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary. *The real Euler class of an oriented, flat, linear sphere bundle (structure group $\mathrm{SO}(n)$) over a closed, smooth manifold is zero.*

We thus obtain a topological proof, without using the Chern-Weil theory of curvature forms, of a result closely related to the results of [Mil58, Section 4], which do rely on Chern-Weil theory.

By [Smi77], there is a flat manifold M^{2n} with nonzero Euler characteristic for every $n > 1$. If the associated tangent sphere bundle of M , with structure group $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$, were flat, then the Euler class of such a sphere bundle would vanish according to the above corollary. We arrive at:

Corollary. *For every $n > 1$, there is a flat manifold M^{2n} whose linear tangent sphere bundle is not flat.*

For the tangent bundles of these manifolds M^{2n} , the structure group $\mathrm{GL}^+(2n, \mathbb{R})$ can be reduced to $\mathrm{GL}^+(2n, \mathbb{R})$ with the discrete topology and can also be reduced to $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ (by using a metric), but there are no further reductions to $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ with the discrete topology.

Our theorem may be applied to the equivariant cohomology $H_G^\bullet(-; \mathbb{R})$ of certain discrete groups G :

Theorem. (See Theorem 7.1.) *Let F be an oriented, closed, smooth manifold and G a discrete group, whose Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(G, 1)$ may be taken to be a closed, smooth manifold. If for a smooth action of G on F ,*

(1) *the action factors through a proper, smooth Lie group action,*

or

(2) *F is Riemannian and G acts isometrically on F ,*

then there is a decomposition

$$H_G^k(F; \mathbb{R}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^p(G; \mathbf{H}^q(F; \mathbb{R})),$$

where the $\mathbf{H}^q(F; \mathbb{R})$ are G -modules determined by the action.

The assumption on G can be paraphrased as requiring G to be the fundamental group of a closed, (smooth) aspherical manifold. Examples of such groups include finitely generated free abelian groups, the fundamental groups of closed manifolds with non-positive sectional curvature, the fundamental groups of surfaces other than the real projective plane, infinite fundamental groups of irreducible, closed, orientable 3-manifolds, torsionfree discrete subgroups of almost connected Lie groups, and certain groups arising from Gromov's hyperbolization technique. Only torsionfree G can satisfy the hypothesis of the above theorem. Note that in (1) we do not assume that the image of G is closed in the intermediary Lie group, nor that one can identify G with a subgroup of the intermediary group, and in (2) we do not assume that the image of G is closed in the isometry group of F , nor that one can identify G with a subgroup of the isometries. For instance, the integers $G = \mathbb{Z}$ with $K(\mathbb{Z}, 1) = S^1$, the circle, act isometrically and freely (and ergodically) on the unit circle by powers of a rotation by an angle which is an irrational multiple of 2π . Theorem 7.1 thus emphasizes the discrete dynamical systems viewpoint. This example also satisfies (1), since the powers of the irrational rotation are a subgroup (which is not closed) of the compact Lie group S^1 which acts on itself by (e.g. left) multiplication. The actions to which the theorem applies need not be proper, nor need our G -spaces be G -CW complexes, but in many geometric situations, nonproper actions factor through proper actions in a natural way. (The above \mathbb{Z} -action on the circle is not proper, since e.g. the orbit space is not Hausdorff and orbits are not closed in S^1 .) Section 7 contains a number of corollaries to, applications of, and examples illustrating Theorem 7.1. For instance, if an integral Heisenberg group \mathfrak{H}_n acts isometrically on an oriented, closed, connected, Riemannian manifold F , then

$$\mathrm{rk} H_{\mathfrak{H}_n}^k(F) \geq 2, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

and $H_{\mathfrak{H}_n}^3(F; \mathbb{R})$ does not vanish (Corollary 7.8).

In Section 8, we illustrate the use of our results by calculating explicitly an equivariant cohomology group of a certain action of a free abelian group on the flag manifold $F_8 = U(8)/T^8$

of real dimension 56. The outcome is verified by an alternative, logically independent, computation of the same group, relying on a recursive scheme introduced in the appendix (Section 9). This recursive scheme is only available for finitely generated free abelian groups.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 relies on the complex of multiplicatively structured forms constructed in [Ban11], and the fact that fiberwise truncation *in both directions*, yielding a *sub-complex* in both cases (and not a quotient complex in one case), can be performed on the multiplicatively structured forms. Such truncations, carried out homotopy theoretically on the space level (generally a much harder problem), are also required to build the intersection space $I^{\bar{p}}X$ of a stratified pseudomanifold X . The only analytic tool we need to prove the theorem is the classical Hodge decomposition — hence the assumption that the fiber must be closed, oriented and Riemannian. Otherwise, our argument is purely topological in nature, using Čech complexes. No connections, tensor fields, etc. are used on the base or total space; in particular we need not assume that B and E are Riemannian. The combinatorial nature of our proof may lend itself to an extension of our theorem to nonsmoothable PL manifolds B and E and flat PL bundles $\pi : E \rightarrow B$. In this situation, smooth forms have to be replaced by Sullivan’s complex $\tilde{A}^*(K)$ of piecewise C^∞ -forms on a simplicial complex K . We may also recall at this point that there are closed, aspherical PL manifolds which are not homotopy equivalent to closed, smooth manifolds, [DH89].

Our Theorem 5.1 is closely related to results of [Dai91] and [Mül11]. Dai and Müller work with Riemannian E, B and a Riemannian submersion $\pi : E \rightarrow B$. Their metric on F is allowed, to a certain extent, to vary with points in the base. Using Dai’s spectral sequence degeneration result from [Dai91], Müller proves that if a flat Riemannian submersion π is locally a warped product, or has totally geodesic fibers, then the spectral sequence of π collapses at E_2 . Let us put this into perspective. A Riemannian submersion whose total space is complete is a locally trivial fiber bundle. The geometry of a Riemannian submersion is largely governed by two tensor fields T and A . Let \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{H} denote the vertical and horizontal distributions, respectively, that is, at each point $x \in E$ there is an orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{V}_x \oplus \mathcal{H}_x = T_x E$ of the tangent space and \mathcal{V}_x is tangent to the fiber over $\pi(x)$. Let \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{H} also denote the projection of a vector onto \mathcal{V}_x and \mathcal{H}_x , respectively. With ∇ the Levi-Civita connection of the metric on E , one sets for vector fields V, W on E ,

$$T_V W = \mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}_V} \mathcal{V}W + \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}_V} \mathcal{H}W$$

and

$$A_V W = \mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}_V} \mathcal{V}W + \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}_V} \mathcal{H}W.$$

If V, W are vertical, then $T_V W$ is the second fundamental form of each fiber. The identical vanishing $T = 0$ is equivalent to each fiber being totally geodesic, that is, geodesics in the fibers are also geodesics for E . This implies that all fibers are isometric and the holonomy group (which agrees with the structure group of π , at least when E is complete) is a subgroup of the isometry group of the fiber. The identical vanishing $A = 0$ is equivalent to the integrability of \mathcal{H} . If \mathcal{H} is integrable, then E is locally isometric to $B \times F$ with a metric $g_B + g_{F,b}$, where g_B is a metric on B and $\{g_{F,b}\}_{b \in B}$ is a smooth family of metrics on F . In this situation, the horizontal foliation yields a flat (Ehresmann) connection for π . For a flat connection, the holonomy along a path depends only on the homotopy class of the path. Indeed, for path-connected B , flat bundles with structure group G acting effectively on a fiber G are in one-to-one correspondence with homomorphisms $\pi_1(B) \rightarrow G$. In particular, one may take G to be discrete. A *warped* product is a Riemannian manifold $B \times F$, whose metric has the

form $g_B + fg_F$, where g_F is a fixed metric on F and $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive function. If the Riemannian submersion π is locally a warped product, then \mathcal{H} is integrable. When $T \equiv 0$ and $A \equiv 0$, the total space E is locally a product $g_B + g_F$, where g_F does not depend on points in the base. From [Dai91], Müller isolates a technical condition, called condition “(B)” in [Mül11, Section 2.3], which for a flat Riemannian submersion implies collapse of the spectral sequence at E_2 . He shows that this condition is satisfied for locally warped products as well as for totally geodesic fibers, while Dai shows that collapse at E_2 happens for flat Riemannian submersions satisfying (B).

2. A COMPLEX OF MULTIPLICATIVELY STRUCTURED FORMS ON FLAT BUNDLES

This section reviews the multiplicatively structured form model introduced in [Ban11]. The proofs of the cited results can be found in that paper. For a smooth manifold M , $\Omega^\bullet(M)$ denotes the de Rham complex of smooth differential forms on M . Let F be a closed, oriented, Riemannian manifold and $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ a flat, smooth fiber bundle over the closed, smooth n -dimensional base manifold B with fiber F and structure group the isometries of F . An open cover of an n -manifold is called *good*, if all nonempty finite intersections of sets in the cover are diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n . Every smooth manifold has a good cover and if the manifold is compact, then the cover can be chosen to be finite. Let $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$ be a finite good open cover of the base B such that π trivializes with respect to \mathfrak{U} . Let $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ be a system of local trivializations, that is, the ϕ_α are diffeomorphisms such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\phi_\alpha} & U_\alpha \times F \\ & \searrow \pi| & \swarrow \pi_1 \\ & U_\alpha & \end{array}$$

commutes for every α . Flatness implies that the transition functions

$$\rho_{\beta\alpha} = \phi_\beta| \circ \phi_\alpha|^{-1} : (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times F \longrightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \longrightarrow (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times F$$

are of the form

$$\rho_{\beta\alpha}(t, x) = (t, g_{\beta\alpha}(x)).$$

The maps $g_{\beta\alpha} : F \rightarrow F$ are isometries.

If X is a topological space, let $\pi_2 : X \times F \rightarrow F$ denote the second-factor projection. Let $V \subset B$ be a \mathfrak{U} -small open subset and suppose that $V \subset U_\alpha$.

Definition 2.1. A differential form $\omega \in \Omega^q(\pi^{-1}(V))$ is called α -multiplicatively structured, if it has the form

$$\omega = \phi_\alpha^* \sum_j \pi_1^* \eta_j \wedge \pi_2^* \gamma_j, \quad \eta_j \in \Omega^\bullet(V), \quad \gamma_j \in \Omega^\bullet(F)$$

(finite sums).

Flatness is crucial for the following basic lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $V \subset U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Then ω is α -multiplicatively structured if, and only if, ω is β -multiplicatively structured.*

The lemma follows from the *transformation law*

$$(1) \quad \phi_\alpha^* \sum_j \pi_1^* \eta_j \wedge \pi_2^* \gamma_j = \phi_\beta^* \sum_j \pi_1^* \eta_j \wedge \pi_2^* (g_{\alpha\beta}^* \gamma_j).$$

The lemma shows that the property of being multiplicatively structured over V is invariantly defined, independent of the choice of α such that $V \subset U_\alpha$. We will use the shorthand notation

$$U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p} = U_{\alpha_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_p}$$

for multiple intersections. (Repetitions of indices are allowed.) Since \mathcal{U} is a good cover, every $U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n , $n = \dim B$. A linear subspace, the subspace of *multiplicatively structured forms*, of $\Omega^q(E)$ is obtained by setting

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(B) = \{ \omega \in \Omega^q(E) \mid \omega|_{\pi^{-1}U_\alpha} \text{ is } \alpha\text{-multiplicatively structured for all } \alpha \}.$$

The exterior derivative $d : \Omega^q(E) \rightarrow \Omega^{q+1}(E)$ restricts to a differential

$$d : \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(B) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^{q+1}(B).$$

Thus $\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(B) \subset \Omega^\bullet(E)$ is a subcomplex. We shall eventually see that this inclusion is a quasi-isomorphism, that is, induces isomorphisms on cohomology. For any α , set

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_\alpha) = \{ \omega \in \Omega^\bullet(\pi^{-1}U_\alpha) \mid \omega \text{ is } \alpha\text{-multiplicatively structured} \}.$$

Let r denote the obvious restriction map

$$r : \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(B) \longrightarrow \prod_{\alpha} \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_\alpha).$$

If p is positive, then we set

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}) = \{ \omega \in \Omega^\bullet(\pi^{-1}U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}) \mid \omega \text{ is } \alpha_0\text{-multiplicatively structured} \}.$$

Lemma 2.2 implies that for any $1 \leq j \leq p$,

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}) = \{ \omega \in \Omega^\bullet(\pi^{-1}U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}) \mid \omega \text{ is } \alpha_j\text{-multiplicatively structured} \}.$$

In particular, if σ is any permutation of $0, 1, \dots, p$, then

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_{\sigma(0)} \dots \alpha_{\sigma(p)}}) = \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}).$$

The components of an element

$$\xi \in \prod_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_p} \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p})$$

will be written as

$$\xi_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p} \in \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}).$$

We impose the antisymmetry restriction $\xi_{\dots \alpha_i \dots \alpha_j \dots} = -\xi_{\dots \alpha_j \dots \alpha_i \dots}$ upon interchange of two indices. In particular, if $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k$ contains a repetition, then $\xi_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_k} = 0$. The difference operator

$$\delta : \prod \Omega^\bullet(\pi^{-1}U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}) \longrightarrow \prod \Omega^\bullet(\pi^{-1}U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{p+1}}),$$

defined by

$$(\delta \xi)_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{p+1}} = \sum_{j=0}^{p+1} (-1)^j \xi_{\alpha_0 \dots \hat{\alpha}_j \dots \alpha_{p+1}} |_{\pi^{-1}U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{p+1}}}$$

and satisfying $\delta^2 = 0$, restricts to a difference operator

$$\delta : \prod \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}) \longrightarrow \prod \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{p+1}}).$$

Since the de Rham differential d commutes with restriction to open subsets, we have $d\delta = \delta d$. Thus

$$C^p(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^q) = \prod \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p})$$

is a double complex with horizontal differential δ and vertical differential d . The associated simple complex $C_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(\mathcal{U})$ has groups

$$C_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^j(\mathcal{U}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=j} C^p(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^q)$$

in degree j and differential $D = \delta + (-1)^p d$ on $C^p(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^q)$. We shall refer to the double complex $(C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet), \delta, d)$ as the *multiplicatively structured Čech-de Rham complex*.

Lemma 2.3. (*Generalized Mayer-Vietoris sequence.*) *The sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(B) \xrightarrow{r} C^0(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\delta} C^1(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\delta} C^2(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots$$

is exact.

Let us recall a fundamental fact about double complexes.

Proposition 2.4. *If all the rows of an augmented double complex are exact, then the augmentation map induces an isomorphism from the cohomology of the augmentation column to the cohomology of the simple complex associated to the double complex.*

This fact is applied in showing:

Proposition 2.5. *The restriction map $r : \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(B) \rightarrow C^0(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$r^* : H^\bullet(\Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(B)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(C_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}), D).$$

The double complex $(C^\bullet(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}; \Omega^\bullet), \delta, d)$ given by

$$C^p(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}; \Omega^q) = \prod \Omega^q(\pi^{-1}U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p})$$

can be used to compute the cohomology of the total space E . The restriction map

$$\bar{r} : \Omega^\bullet(E) \longrightarrow \prod_{\alpha} \Omega^\bullet(\pi^{-1}U_{\alpha}) = C^0(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}; \Omega^\bullet)$$

makes $C^\bullet(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}; \Omega^\bullet)$ into an augmented double complex. By the generalized Mayer-Vietoris sequence, Proposition 8.5 of [BT82], the rows of this augmented double complex are exact. From Proposition 2.4, we thus deduce:

Proposition 2.6. *The restriction map $\bar{r} : \Omega^\bullet(E) \rightarrow C^0(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}; \Omega^\bullet)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\bar{r}^* : H^\bullet(E) = H^\bullet(\Omega^\bullet(E)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(C^\bullet(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}), D),$$

where $(C^\bullet(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}), D)$ is the simple complex of $(C^\bullet(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}; \Omega^\bullet), \delta, d)$.

Regarding $\mathbb{R}^n \times F$ as a trivial fiber bundle over \mathbb{R}^n with projection π_1 , the multiplicatively structured complex $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ \omega \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n \times F) \mid \omega = \sum_j \pi_1^* \eta_j \wedge \pi_2^* \gamma_j, \eta_j \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n), \gamma_j \in \Omega^\bullet(F) \}.$$

Let $s : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} = \mathbb{R}^n$ be the standard inclusion $s(u) = (0, u)$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Let $q : \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be the standard projection $q(t, u) = u$, so that $qs = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}$. Set

$$S = s \times \text{id}_F : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times F, \quad Q = q \times \text{id}_F : \mathbb{R}^n \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times F$$

so that $QS = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times F}$. The induced map $S^* : \Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n \times F) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times F)$ restricts to a map

$$S^* : \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}).$$

The induced map $Q^* : \Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times F) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n \times F)$ restricts to a map

$$Q^* : \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proposition 2.7. *The maps*

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{S^*} \\ \xleftarrow{Q^*} \end{array} \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$$

are chain homotopy inverses of each other and thus induce mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$H^\bullet(\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{S^*} \\ \xleftarrow{Q^*} \end{array} H^\bullet(\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))$$

on cohomology.

Let $S_0 : F = \{0\} \times F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times F$ be the inclusion at 0, inducing a map $S_0^* : \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(F)$. The map $\pi_2^* : \Omega^\bullet(F) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n \times F)$ restricts to a map $\pi_2^* : \Omega^\bullet(F) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)$. An induction on n using Proposition 2.7 shows:

Proposition 2.8. *(Poincaré Lemma for multiplicatively structured forms.) The maps*

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{S_0^*} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi_2^*} \end{array} \Omega^\bullet(F)$$

are chain homotopy inverses of each other and thus induce mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$H^\bullet(\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{S_0^*} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi_2^*} \end{array} H^\bullet(F)$$

on cohomology.

Using the classical Poincaré lemma, this Proposition readily implies:

Proposition 2.9. *The inclusion $\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n \times F)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$H^\bullet(\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cong H^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n \times F)$$

on cohomology.

Proposition 2.10. *For any $U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}$, the inclusion $\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}) \hookrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(\pi^{-1}U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p})$ induces an isomorphism on cohomology (with respect to the de Rham differential d).*

Since d and δ on $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet)$ were obtained by restricting d and δ on $C^\bullet(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}; \Omega^\bullet)$, the natural inclusion $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet) \hookrightarrow C^\bullet(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}; \Omega^\bullet)$ is a morphism of double complexes. It induces an isomorphism on vertical (i.e. d -) cohomology by Proposition 2.10. Whenever a morphism of double complexes induces an isomorphism on vertical cohomology, then it also induces an isomorphism on the D -cohomology of the respective simple complexes. Consequently, using Propositions 2.5 and 2.6, one gets:

Theorem 2.11. *The inclusion $\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(B) \hookrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(E)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$H^\bullet(\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(B)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(E)$$

on cohomology.

3. FIBERWISE TRUNCATION

Before we discuss fiberwise (co)truncation, we must first discuss (co)truncation over a point. Again, we refer to [Ban11] for complete proofs of the facts cited in this section. We shall use the Riemannian metric on F to define truncation $\tau_{<k}$ and cotruncation $\tau_{\geq k}$ of the complex $\Omega^\bullet(F)$. The bilinear form

$$\begin{aligned} (\cdot, \cdot) : \Omega^r(F) \times \Omega^r(F) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ (\omega, \eta) &\longmapsto \int_F \omega \wedge * \eta, \end{aligned}$$

where $*$ is the Hodge star, is symmetric and positive definite, thus defines an inner product on $\Omega^\bullet(F)$. The codifferential

$$d^* = (-1)^{m(r+1)+1} * d * : \Omega^r(F) \longrightarrow \Omega^{r-1}(F)$$

is the adjoint of the differential d , $(d\omega, \eta) = (\omega, d^*\eta)$. The classical Hodge decomposition theorem provides orthogonal splittings

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^r(F) &= \text{im } d^* \oplus \text{Harm}^r(F) \oplus \text{im } d, \\ \ker d &= \text{Harm}^r(F) \oplus \text{im } d, \\ \ker d^* &= \text{im } d^* \oplus \text{Harm}^r(F), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Harm}^r(F) = \ker d \cap \ker d^*$ are the closed and coclosed, i.e. harmonic, forms on F . In particular,

$$\Omega^r(F) = \text{im } d^* \oplus \ker d = \ker d^* \oplus \text{im } d.$$

Let k be a nonnegative integer.

Definition 3.1. The *truncation* $\tau_{<k}\Omega^\bullet(F)$ of $\Omega^\bullet(F)$ is the complex

$$\tau_{<k}\Omega^\bullet(F) = \cdots \longrightarrow \Omega^{k-2}(F) \longrightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(F) \xrightarrow{d^{k-1}} \text{im } d^{k-1} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

where $\text{im } d^{k-1} \subset \Omega^k(F)$ is placed in degree k .

The inclusion $\tau_{<k}\Omega^\bullet(F) \subset \Omega^\bullet(F)$ is a morphism of complexes. The induced map on cohomology, $H^r(\tau_{<k}\Omega^\bullet F) \rightarrow H^r(F)$, is an isomorphism for $r < k$, while $H^r(\tau_{<k}\Omega^\bullet F) = 0$ for $r \geq k$.

Definition 3.2. The *cotruncation* $\tau_{\geq k}\Omega^\bullet(F)$ of $\Omega^\bullet(F)$ is the complex

$$\tau_{\geq k}\Omega^\bullet(F) = \cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \ker d^* \xrightarrow{d^k|} \Omega^{k+1}(F) \xrightarrow{d^{k+1}} \Omega^{k+2}(F) \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

where $\ker d^* \subset \Omega^k(F)$ is placed in degree k .

The inclusion $\tau_{\geq k}\Omega^\bullet(F) \subset \Omega^\bullet(F)$ is a morphism of complexes. By construction, we have $H^r(\tau_{\geq k}\Omega^\bullet F) = 0$ for $r < k$ and the inclusion $\tau_{\geq k}\Omega^\bullet(F) \hookrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(F)$ induces an isomorphism $H^r(\tau_{\geq k}\Omega^\bullet F) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^r(F)$ in the range $r \geq k$. A key advantage of cotruncation over truncation is that $\tau_{\geq k}\Omega^\bullet F$ is a subalgebra of $(\Omega^\bullet F, \wedge)$, whereas $\tau_{<k}\Omega^\bullet F$ is not.

Proposition 3.3. *The isomorphism type of $\tau_{\geq k}\Omega^\bullet F$ in the category of cochain complexes is independent of the Riemannian metric on F .*

Lemma 3.4. *Let $f : F \rightarrow F$ be a smooth self-map.*

- (1) *f induces an endomorphism f^* of $\tau_{<k}\Omega^\bullet F$.*
- (2) *If f is an isometry, then f induces an automorphism f^* of $\tau_{\geq k}\Omega^\bullet F$.*

We shall next define the *fiberwise truncation* $\text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the *fiberwise cotruncation* $\text{ft}_{\geq t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)$, depending on an integer t . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \{\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n \times F) \mid \omega = \sum_j \pi_1^* \eta_j \wedge \pi_2^* \gamma_j, \\ &\quad \eta_j \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n), \gamma_j \in \tau_{<t}\Omega^\bullet(F)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The complex $\text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a subcomplex of $\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ft}_{\geq t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \{\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n \times F) \mid \omega = \sum_j \pi_1^* \eta_j \wedge \pi_2^* \gamma_j, \\ &\quad \eta_j \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n), \gamma_j \in \tau_{\geq t}\Omega^\bullet(F)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, this is a subcomplex of $\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Similarly, a subcomplex $\text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}) \subset \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p})$ of fiberwise truncated multiplicatively structured forms on $\pi^{-1}(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p})$ is given by requiring every γ_j to lie in $\tau_{<t}\Omega^\bullet(F)$. This is well-defined by the transformation law (1) together with Lemma 3.4 (1). A subcomplex $\text{ft}_{\geq t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}) \subset \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p})$ of fiberwise cotruncated multiplicatively structured forms on $\pi^{-1}(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p})$ is given by requiring every γ_j to lie in $\tau_{\geq t}\Omega^\bullet(F)$. This is well-defined by the transformation law and Lemma 3.4 (2). (At this point, it is used that the transition functions of the bundle are isometries.)

Let $S : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times F$, $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times F$ be as in Section 2. The induced map $S^* : \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ restricts to a map

$$S^* : \text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}).$$

The induced map $Q^* : \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)$ restricts to a map

$$Q^* : \text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Lemma 3.5. *The maps*

$$\text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{S^*} \\ \xleftarrow{Q^*} \end{array} \text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$$

are chain homotopy inverses of each other and thus induce mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$H^\bullet(\text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{S^*} \\ \xleftarrow{Q^*} \end{array} H^\bullet(\text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))$$

on cohomology.

As in Section 2, let $S_0 : F = \{0\} \times F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times F$ be the inclusion at 0. The induced map $S_0^* : \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(F)$ restricts to a map $S_0^* : \text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \tau_{<t}\Omega^\bullet(F)$. The map $\pi_2^* : \Omega^\bullet(F) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)$ restricts to a map $\pi_2^* : \tau_{<t}\Omega^\bullet(F) \rightarrow \text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)$. An induction on n using Lemma 3.5 shows:

Lemma 3.6. *(Poincaré Lemma for truncated forms.) The maps*

$$\text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{S_0^*} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi_2^*} \end{array} \tau_{<t}\Omega^\bullet(F)$$

are chain homotopy inverses of each other and thus induce mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$H^r(\text{ft}_{<t}\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{S_0^*} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi_2^*} \end{array} H^r(\tau_{<t}\Omega^\bullet(F)) \cong \begin{cases} H^r(F), & r < t \\ 0, & r \geq t. \end{cases}$$

on cohomology.

An analogous argument, replacing $\tau_{<t}\Omega^\bullet(F)$ by $\tau_{\geq t}\Omega^\bullet(F)$, proves a version for fiberwise cotruncation:

Lemma 3.7. (*Poincaré Lemma for cotruncated forms.*) *The maps*

$$\mathrm{ft}_{\geq t}\Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{S_0^*} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi_2^*} \end{array} \tau_{\geq t}\Omega^\bullet(F)$$

are chain homotopy inverses of each other and thus induce mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$H^r(\mathrm{ft}_{\geq t}\Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{S_0^*} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi_2^*} \end{array} H^r(\tau_{\geq t}\Omega^\bullet(F)) \cong \begin{cases} H^r(F), & r \geq t \\ 0, & r < t. \end{cases}$$

on cohomology.

4. ČECH PRESHEAVES

Let M be a smooth manifold and $\mathfrak{V} = \{V_\alpha\}$ be a good open cover of M . The cover \mathfrak{V} gives rise to a category $C(\mathfrak{V})$, whose objects are all finite intersections $V_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}$ of open sets V_α in \mathfrak{V} and an initial object \emptyset , the empty set. The morphisms are inclusions. A Čech presheaf \mathbf{H} on \mathfrak{V} is a contravariant functor $\mathbf{H} : C(\mathfrak{V}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\text{-MOD}$ into the category $\mathbb{R}\text{-MOD}$ of real vector spaces and linear maps, such that $\mathbf{H}(\emptyset) = 0$. A homomorphism $\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ of Čech presheaves on \mathfrak{V} is a natural transformation from \mathbf{H} to \mathbf{G} . The homomorphism is an isomorphism if $\mathbf{H}(V_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(V_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p})$ is an isomorphism for every object $V_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}$ in $C(\mathfrak{V})$. Let H be a real vector space. The presheaf \mathbf{H} is said to be *locally constant with group* H , if all $\mathbf{H}(V_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p})$, for $V_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p} \neq \emptyset$, are isomorphic to H and all linear maps $\mathbf{H}(V_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(V_{\beta_0 \dots \beta_q})$ for nonempty inclusions $V_{\beta_0 \dots \beta_q} \subset V_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}$ are isomorphisms. A Čech presheaf \mathbf{H} on \mathfrak{V} possesses p -cochains

$$C^p(\mathfrak{V}; \mathbf{H}) = \prod_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_p} \mathbf{H}(V_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p})$$

and a Čech differential $\delta : C^p(\mathfrak{V}; \mathbf{H}) \rightarrow C^{p+1}(\mathfrak{V}; \mathbf{H})$ making $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{V}; \mathbf{H})$ into a complex. Its cohomology $H^p(\mathfrak{V}; \mathbf{H})$ is the Čech cohomology of the cover \mathfrak{V} with values in \mathbf{H} . An isomorphism $\mathbf{H} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{G}$ of two presheaves on \mathfrak{V} induces an isomorphism of cohomology groups $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{V}; \mathbf{H}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(\mathfrak{V}; \mathbf{G})$. Let \mathbf{L} be a locally constant sheaf on M . Then \mathbf{L} defines in particular a locally constant presheaf on \mathfrak{V} (since \mathfrak{V} is good, $\mathbf{L}|_V$ is constant for every $V \in \mathrm{Ob}C(\mathfrak{V})$) so that $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{V}; \mathbf{L})$ is defined. Then, as $H^q(V_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}; \mathbf{L}) = 0$ for $q > 0$, there is a canonical isomorphism $H_{\mathrm{Sh}}^\bullet(M; \mathbf{L}) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{V}; \mathbf{L})$ according to [Bre97, Thm. III.4.13], where $H_{\mathrm{Sh}}^\bullet(M; \mathbf{L})$ denotes sheaf cohomology. In particular, the Čech cohomology groups of \mathfrak{V} with values in \mathbf{L} are independent of the good cover used to define them. By [Bre97, Cor. III.4.12], these groups are furthermore canonically isomorphic to the Čech cohomology of M with coefficients in \mathbf{L} , $\check{H}^\bullet(M; \mathbf{L})$. If we view \mathbf{L} as a local coefficient system on M , then the singular cohomology $H^\bullet(M; \mathbf{L})$ is defined and a canonical isomorphism $H_{\mathrm{Sh}}^\bullet(M; \mathbf{L}) \cong H^\bullet(M; \mathbf{L})$ is provided by [Bre97, Thm. III.1.1].

Let us return to the good cover \mathfrak{U} on our base space B . We shall define three Čech presheaves on \mathfrak{U} . Define $\mathbf{H}^q(F)$ by

$$\mathbf{H}^q(F)(U) = H^q(\pi^{-1}U), \quad U \in \mathrm{Ob}C(\mathfrak{U}).$$

The structural morphisms associated to inclusions are given by restriction of forms. Since all nonempty objects U in $C(\mathfrak{U})$ are diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n , and the bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ trivializes over every such U , the classical Poincaré lemma implies that $\mathbf{H}^q(F)$ is a locally constant presheaf with group $H^q(F)$, the de Rham cohomology of the fiber. Define the presheaf $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(F)$ by

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(F)(U) = H^q(\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U)), U \in \text{Ob}C(\mathfrak{U}).$$

According to Proposition 2.10, the inclusion $\Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U) \subset \Omega^\bullet(\pi^{-1}U)$ induces an isomorphism $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(F)(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}^q(F)(U)$ for every nonempty $U \in \text{Ob}C(\mathfrak{U})$. If $V \in \text{Ob}C(\mathfrak{U})$ is an open set with $V \subset U$, then the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U) & \hookrightarrow & \Omega^\bullet(\pi^{-1}U) \\ \text{restr} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{restr} \\ \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(V) & \hookrightarrow & \Omega^\bullet(\pi^{-1}V) \end{array}$$

induces a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(F)(U) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{H}^q(F)(U) \\ \text{restr} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{restr} \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(F)(V) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{H}^q(F)(V). \end{array}$$

Thus the inclusion of multiplicatively structured forms induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(F) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}^q(F)$$

of presheaves for every q . In particular, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(F)$ is also locally constant with group $H^q(F)$. The isomorphism induces furthermore an isomorphism

$$(2) \quad H^p(\mathfrak{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(F)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^p(\mathfrak{U}; \mathbf{H}^q(F))$$

of Čech cohomology groups. Define the presheaf $\mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)$ by

$$\mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)(U) = H^q(\text{ft}_{\geq t} \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U)), U \in \text{Ob}C(\mathfrak{U}), U \neq \emptyset.$$

Since $U \neq \emptyset$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n and the bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ trivializes over U , the Poincaré Lemma 3.7 for cotruncated forms implies that the restriction S_0^* of a form to the fiber over the origin of $U \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)(U) \xrightarrow[S_0^*]{\cong} H^q(F)$$

for $q \geq t$, whereas $\mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)(U) = 0$ for $q < t$. If $V \in \text{Ob}C(\mathfrak{U})$ is a nonempty open set with $V \subset U$, then the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ft}_{\geq t} \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U) & \xrightarrow{S_0^*} & \tau_{\geq t} \Omega^\bullet(F) \\ \text{restr} \downarrow & \nearrow S_0^* & \\ \text{ft}_{\geq t} \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(V) & & \end{array}$$

(assuming, without loss of generality, that the origin of U lies in V) induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)(U) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{S_0^*} & H^q(F) \\ \text{restr} \downarrow & \nearrow[\cong]_{S_0^*} & \\ \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)(V) & & \end{array}$$

for $q \geq t$. It follows that the restriction induces an isomorphism $\mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)(V)$. Therefore, when $q \geq t$, $\mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)$ is a locally constant presheaf with group $H^q(F)$. Moreover, the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ft}_{\geq t} \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U) & \xrightarrow{S_0^*} & \Omega^\bullet(F) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow_{S_0^*} & \\ \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(U) & & \end{array}$$

induces, for $q \geq t$, a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)(U) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{S_0^*} & H^q(F) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow[\cong]_{S_0^*} & \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(F)(U), & & \end{array}$$

using Proposition 2.8. Thus $\mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(F)(U)$ is an isomorphism for $q \geq t$. Since it commutes with restriction to smaller open sets, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 4.1. *For $q < t$, the presheaf $\mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)$ is trivial, $\mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F) = 0$. For $q \geq t$, $\mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)$ is locally constant with group $H^q(F)$ and the inclusion $\text{ft}_{\geq t} \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(-) \subset \Omega_{\mathcal{MS}}^\bullet(-)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(F)$$

of presheaves.

5. THE SPECTRAL SEQUENCE OF A FLAT, ISOMETRICALLY STRUCTURED BUNDLE

Let (K, δ, d) be the double complex

$$K^{p,q} = C^p(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}; \Omega^q) = \prod_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_p} \Omega^q(\pi^{-1}U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p})$$

defined in Section 2. The *spectral sequence of the fiber bundle* $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ is the spectral sequence $E(K) = \{E_r, d_r\}$ of K . Its E_1 -term is

$$E_1^{p,q} = H_d^{p,q}(K) = \prod_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_p} H^q(\pi^{-1}U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}) = C^p(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}^q(F)).$$

Since $d_1 = \delta$ on E_1 , the E_2 -term is

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}^q(F)) \cong H^p(B; \mathbf{H}^q(F)),$$

the (singular) cohomology of B with values in the local coefficient system $\mathbf{H}^q(F)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let F be a closed, oriented, Riemannian manifold and $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ a flat, smooth fiber bundle over the closed, smooth base manifold B with fiber F and structure group the isometries of F . Then the spectral sequence with real coefficients of $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ collapses at the E_2 -term.*

Proof. Using multiplicatively structured forms, we first build a smaller model $K_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}$ of K . The spectral sequences of $K_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}$ and K will be shown to be isomorphic (from the E_2 -term on). In Section 2, we introduced the multiplicatively structured Čech - de Rham double complex $K_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}} = (C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet), \delta, d)$. In bidegree (p, q) it is given by

$$K_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^{p,q} = C^p(\mathcal{U}; \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^q) = \prod_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_p} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^q(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}).$$

The vertical cohomology of $K_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}$ is

$$H_d^{p,q}(K_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}) = \prod_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_p} H^q(\Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p})) = C^p(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^q(F)).$$

The core of the argument is the construction of a filtration of $K_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}$ by cotruncated double complexes $K_{\geq t} \subset K_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}$, where t is an integer. The group in bidegree (p, q) is

$$K_{\geq t}^{p,q} = C^p(\mathcal{U}; (\text{ft}_{\geq t} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet)^q) = \prod_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_p} (\text{ft}_{\geq t} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}))^q.$$

The vertical differential is given by the (restriction of the) de Rham differential d , and the horizontal differential is given by the Čech differential δ . The vertical cohomology of $K_{\geq t}$ is

$$H_d^{p,q}(K_{\geq t}) = \prod_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_p} H^q(\text{ft}_{\geq t} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p})) = C^p(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)).$$

The double complex $K_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}$ determines a spectral sequence $E(K_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}) = \{E_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S},r}, d_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S},r}\}$; the double complex $K_{\geq t}$ determines a spectral sequence $E(K_{\geq t}) = \{E_{\geq t,r}, d_{\geq t,r}\}$, cf. [BT82, Thm. 14.14, page 165]. The inclusions of complexes

$$\text{ft}_{\geq t} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(U) \subset \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^\bullet(U) \subset \Omega^\bullet(\pi^{-1}U), \quad U \in \text{Ob}C(\mathcal{U}),$$

induce inclusions of double complexes

$$K_{\geq t} \subset K_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}} \subset K.$$

A map of double complexes induces a morphism of the associated spectral sequences. Thus the above inclusions induce morphisms

$$E(K_{\geq t}) \xrightarrow{e} E(K_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}) \xrightarrow{f} E(K).$$

Let us show that the differentials $d_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S},2}$ vanish. This will then provide the induction basis for an inductive proof that all $d_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S},r}$, $r \geq 2$, vanish. The term $E_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S},1}$ is given by

$$E_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S},1}^{p,q} = H_d^{p,q}(K_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}) = C^p(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^q(F)).$$

Since $d_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S},1} = \delta$, we have

$$E_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S},2}^{p,q} = H_\delta^p(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^q(F)),$$

the Čech cohomology of \mathcal{U} with values in the presheaf $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^q(F)$. The term $E_{\geq t,1}$ is given by

$$E_{\geq t,1}^{p,q} = H_d^{p,q}(K_{\geq t}) = C^p(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)).$$

Since $d_{\geq t,1} = \delta$, we have

$$E_{\geq t,2}^{p,q} = H_\delta^p(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)).$$

Set $t = q$. Since e is a morphism of spectral sequences, we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{\geq t,2}^{p,q} & \xrightarrow{e_2^{p,q}} & E_{\mathcal{MS},2}^{p,q} \\ d_{\geq t,2}^{p,q} \downarrow & & \downarrow d_{\mathcal{MS},2}^{p,q} \\ E_{\geq t,2}^{p+2,q-1} & \xrightarrow{e_2^{p+2,q-1}} & E_{\mathcal{MS},2}^{p+2,q-1} \end{array}.$$

In view of the above identifications of E_2 -terms, this can be rewritten as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^p(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)) & \xrightarrow{e_2^{p,q}} & H^p(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(F)) \\ d_{\geq t,2}^{p,q} \downarrow & & \downarrow d_{\mathcal{MS},2}^{p,q} \\ H^{p+2}(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^{q-1}(F)) & \xrightarrow{e_2^{p+2,q-1}} & H^{p+2}(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^{q-1}(F)). \end{array}$$

Our choice of t together with Lemma 4.1 implies that $e_2^{p,q}$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, we can express $d_{\mathcal{MS},2}^{p,q}$ as the composition

$$(3) \quad d_{\mathcal{MS},2}^{p,q} = e_2^{p+2,q-1} \circ d_{\geq t,2}^{p,q} \circ (e_2^{p,q})^{-1}.$$

Since $q-1 < t$, we have $\mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^{q-1}(F) = 0$ by Lemma 4.1, and thus $H^{p+2}(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^{q-1}(F)) = 0$, $d_{\geq t,2}^{p,q} = 0$ and $e_2^{p+2,q-1} = 0$. By (3), $d_{\mathcal{MS},2}^{p,q} = 0$.

We shall next show that for arbitrary t , $d_{\geq t,2} = 0$. Given any bidegree (p, q) , there are two cases to consider: $q-1 < t$ and $q-1 \geq t$. If $q-1 < t$, then $E_{\geq t,2}^{p+2,q-1} = H^{p+2}(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^{q-1}(F)) = 0$, so that $d_{\geq t,2}^{p,q} : E_{\geq t,2}^{p,q} \rightarrow E_{\geq t,2}^{p+2,q-1} = 0$ is zero. If $q-1 \geq t$, then $e_2^{p+2,q-1}$ is an isomorphism by Lemma 4.1 and

$$d_{\geq t,2}^{p,q} = (e_2^{p+2,q-1})^{-1} \circ d_{\mathcal{MS},2}^{p,q} \circ e_2^{p,q} = 0,$$

since $d_{\mathcal{MS},2}^{p,q} = 0$. Thus $d_{\geq t,2} = 0$ for any t .

For $r \geq 2$, let $P(r)$ denote the package of statements

- $d_{\mathcal{MS},r} = 0$ and $d_{\geq t,r} = 0$ for all t ,
- $E_{\mathcal{MS},r}^{p,q} = H^p(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(F))$ and $E_{\geq t,r}^{p,q} = H^p(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F))$ for all t , and
- $e_r = e_2$.

We have shown that $P(2)$ holds. We shall now show for $r \geq 3$ that if $P(r-1)$ holds, then $P(r)$ holds. The vanishing of the differentials in the E_{r-1} -terms implies that

$$E_{\mathcal{MS},r}^{p,q} = E_{\mathcal{MS},r-1}^{p,q} = H^p(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{MS}}^q(F))$$

and

$$E_{\geq t,r}^{p,q} = E_{\geq t,r-1}^{p,q} = H^p(\mathcal{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)).$$

Furthermore, as e is a morphism of spectral sequences, we have

$$e_r^{p,q} = H^p(\mathcal{U}; e_{r-1}) = e_{r-1}^{p,q} = e_2^{p,q}.$$

Hence the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{\geq t, r}^{p, q} & \xrightarrow{e_r^{p, q}} & E_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}, r}^{p, q} \\ d_{\geq t, r}^{p, q} \downarrow & & \downarrow d_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}, r}^{p, q} \\ E_{\geq t, r}^{p+r, q-r+1} & \xrightarrow{e_r^{p+r, q-r+1}} & E_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}, r}^{p+r, q-r+1} \end{array}$$

can be rewritten as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^p(\mathfrak{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^q(F)) & \xrightarrow{e_2^{p, q}} & H^p(\mathfrak{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^q(F)) \\ d_{\geq t, r}^{p, q} \downarrow & & \downarrow d_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}, r}^{p, q} \\ H^{p+r}(\mathfrak{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^{q-r+1}(F)) & \xrightarrow{e_2^{p+r, q-r+1}} & H^{p+r}(\mathfrak{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^{q-r+1}(F)). \end{array}$$

Again take $t = q$. Then $e_2^{p, q}$ is an isomorphism and the factorization

$$d_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}, r}^{p, q} = e_2^{p+r, q-r+1} \circ d_{\geq t, r}^{p, q} \circ (e_2^{p, q})^{-1}$$

shows that $d_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}, r}^{p, q} = 0$ because $H^{p+r}(\mathfrak{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^{q-r+1}(F)) = 0$ by $q-r+1 < t$ ($r \geq 3$). For arbitrary t , $d_{\geq t, r}^{p, q} = 0$. For if $q-r+1 < t$, then $E_{\geq t, r}^{p+r, q-r+1} = H^{p+r}(\mathfrak{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\geq t}^{q-r+1}(F)) = 0$ so that $d_{\geq t, r}^{p, q} = 0$, while for $q-r+1 \geq t$, the map $e_2^{p+r, q-r+1}$ is an isomorphism and $d_{\geq t, r}^{p, q} = 0$ follows from the factorization $d_{\geq t, r}^{p, q} = (e_2^{p+r, q-r+1})^{-1} \circ d_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}, r}^{p, q} \circ e_2^{p, q}$ and the fact that $d_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}, r} = 0$. This induction shows that $d_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}, r} = 0$ for all $r \geq 2$. We conclude that $E(K_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}})$ collapses at the E_2 -term. This will now be used to prove that $E(K)$ collapses at E_2 .

Since f is a morphism of spectral sequences, we have for every (p, q) a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}, 2}^{p, q} & \xrightarrow{f_2^{p, q}} & E_2^{p, q} \\ 0 = d_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}, 2}^{p, q} \downarrow & & \downarrow d_2^{p, q} \\ E_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}, 2}^{p+2, q-1} & \xrightarrow{f_2^{p+2, q-1}} & E_2^{p+2, q-1}, \end{array}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^p(\mathfrak{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^q(F)) & \xrightarrow{f_2^{p, q}} & H^p(\mathfrak{U}; \mathbf{H}^q(F)) \\ 0 \downarrow & & \downarrow d_2^{p, q} \\ H^{p+2}(\mathfrak{U}; \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}}^{q-1}(F)) & \xrightarrow{f_2^{p+2, q-1}} & H^{p+2}(\mathfrak{U}; \mathbf{H}^{q-1}(F)). \end{array}$$

By (2), $f_2^{p, q}$ is an isomorphism for all (p, q) . Thus $d_2 = 0$ on E_2 . The fact that f_2 is an isomorphism implies that f_r is an isomorphism for all $r \geq 2$. This shows, since $d_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}, r} = 0$ for all $r \geq 2$, that $d_r^{p, q} = 0$ for all $r \geq 2$. Consequently, $E(K)$ collapses at the E_2 -term, as was to be shown. \square

6. NONISOMETRICALLY STRUCTURED FLAT BUNDLES

We construct an example of a flat, smooth circle bundle whose Leray-Serre spectral sequence with real coefficients does not collapse at the E_2 -term. The example shows, then, that in Theorem 5.1 one cannot delete without substitution the requirement that the structure group act isometrically. The example is based on constructions of J. Milnor, cf. [Mil58], [MS74].

Let B be a closed Riemann surface of genus 2. Its universal cover \tilde{B} is conformally diffeomorphic to the complex upper half plane $H = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im} z > 0\}$. The fundamental group $\pi_1(B)$ acts on H biholomorphically as the deck transformations. The group of biholomorphic automorphisms of H is $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, acting as Möbius transformations

$$z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, \quad ad - bc = 1.$$

This yields a faithful representation $\pi_1 B \rightarrow \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. The operation of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ on H extends naturally to the closure $\bar{H} = H \cup \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ of H in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. In particular, $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts on the boundary circle $\partial \bar{H} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and we can form the flat circle bundle γ with projection $\pi : E = \tilde{B} \times_{\pi_1 B} (\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \rightarrow B$ and structure group $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Recall that any orientable, possibly nonlinear, sphere bundle ξ with structure group $\text{Diff}(S^{n-1})$ has a real Euler class $e(\xi) \in H^n(M; \mathbb{R})$, where M is the base manifold. Since our bundle γ can be identified (though not linearly) with the tangent circle bundle $S(TB)$ of B (see [MS74]), the Euler number $\langle e(\gamma), [B] \rangle$ of γ is the Euler characteristic $\chi(B) = -2$. As the Euler class is transgressive and not zero, the differential $d_2 : E_2^{0,1} \rightarrow E_2^{2,0}$ is nontrivial and the spectral sequence of γ does not collapse at E_2 . In more detail, let $\sigma \in E_1^{0,1} = C^0(\mathfrak{A}; \mathbf{H}^1(S^1))$ be the element corresponding to the usual angular forms on E ([BT82, Remark 14.20]). Since γ is orientable, $d_1(\sigma) = \delta(\sigma) = 0$ and σ determines a class $[\sigma] \in E_2^{0,1} = H^0(B; \mathbf{H}^1(S^1))$. We have $e(\gamma) = d_2[\sigma]$ for the transgression

$$d_2 : E_2^{0,1} = H^0(B; \mathbf{H}^1(S^1)) \longrightarrow E_2^{2,0} = H^2(B; \mathbf{H}^0(S^1)) = H^2(B; \mathbb{R}).$$

It follows from Theorem 5.1 that there is no Riemannian metric on $S^1 = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that the action of $\pi_1(B)$ on $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is isometric. This statement will now be affirmed directly, without appealing to the theorem.

Proposition 6.1. *There is no Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that the Fuchsian group given by the image of the representation $\pi_1 B \rightarrow \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts isometrically on $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$.*

Proof. By contradiction; suppose there were such a metric. The image $\Gamma \subset \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ of the faithful representation $\pi_1 B \rightarrow \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is a cocompact surface Fuchsian group and hence all nontrivial elements of Γ are hyperbolic, that is, $|\text{tr} X| > 2$ for $X \in \Gamma - \{1\}$. Let X be such an element. Any hyperbolic element of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ has precisely two fixed points in \bar{H} , both of which lie in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. In particular, X has a finite, real fixed point x . Let $v \in T_x(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\})$ be any nonzero tangent vector at this fixed point. If

$$X_* : T_x(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \longrightarrow T_{X(x)}(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) = T_x(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\})$$

denotes the differential of $X : \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ at x , then $X_*(v) = \lambda v$ for some nonzero scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$|\lambda| \cdot \|v\|_x = \|\lambda v\|_x = \|X_*(v)\|_x = \|v\|_x,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_x$ is the putative Γ -invariant norm, evaluated at x . Consequently, $X_*(v) = \pm v$. Let t be the standard coordinate in $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and write

$$X(t) = \frac{at+b}{ct+d}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, \quad ad - bc = 1, \quad |a+d| > 2.$$

Taking v to be the standard basis vector $v = \partial_t$, we have $X_*(\partial_t) = \frac{dX}{dt}(x) \cdot \partial_t$ and thus

$$\left| \frac{dX}{dt}(x) \right| = 1.$$

In fact, however, the derivative of a hyperbolic Möbius transformation in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ at a finite, real fixed point is never ± 1 . Indeed, if $c \neq 0$, then

$$x = \frac{1}{2c}(a - d \pm \sqrt{\Delta}),$$

where Δ is the discriminant $\Delta = \mathrm{tr}^2 X - 4 > 0$, and if $c = 0$, then $x = -b/(a-d)$. (Note that $c = 0$ and X hyperbolic implies that $a \neq d$.) The derivative of X is given by $dX/dt = (ct+d)^{-2}$. Brief calculations verify that $(cx+d)^2$ cannot be 1 at the above points x , for hyperbolic X . \square

This example illustrates that when modifying the structure group of a fiber bundle, there is a tension between flatness and compactness of the structure group: For a flat bundle with noncompact structure group, one can often reduce to a compact group, but in doing so may be forced to give up flatness. Conversely, given a compactly structured bundle which is not flat, one may sometimes gain flatness at the expense of enlarging the structure group to a noncompact group. For example, identifying the flat $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle γ with $S(TB)$, one may give γ the structure group $\mathrm{SO}(2)$, but one loses flatness ($S(TB)$ is not a flat $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ -bundle).

7. EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY

We turn our attention to isometric actions of discrete, torsionfree groups. The actions considered here are usually not proper, and our G -spaces are generally not G -CW complexes. Concerning condition (1) of Theorem 7.1 below, we remark again that a nonproper action factors in many geometric situations through a proper action; for instance, a discrete, torsion-free group may act nonproperly on a closed manifold, but in such a way that the manifold can be endowed with an invariant Riemannian metric — in that case, the action factors through the (proper) action of the compact isometry group.

Theorem 7.1. *Let F be an oriented, closed, smooth manifold and G a discrete group, whose Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(G, 1)$ may be taken to be a closed, smooth manifold. If for a smooth action of G on F ,*

(1) *the action factors through the proper, smooth action of a Lie group,*

or

(2) *F is Riemannian and G acts isometrically on F ,*

then the real G -equivariant cohomology of F decomposes as

$$H_G^k(F; \mathbb{R}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^p(G; \mathbf{H}^q(F; \mathbb{R})),$$

where the $\mathbf{H}^q(F; \mathbb{R})$ are G -modules determined by the action.

Proof. If (1) is satisfied, that is, the action of G on F factors as $G \rightarrow H \rightarrow \text{Diffeo}(F)$ with H a Lie group acting properly, then F can be equipped with an H -invariant Riemannian metric, by [Pal61]. Then H , and thus also G , acts isometrically on F so that it suffices to prove the theorem assuming hypothesis (2). Let $B \simeq K(G, 1)$ be an aspherical, closed, smooth manifold with fundamental group G . The universal cover $\tilde{B} \rightarrow B$ can serve as a model for the universal principal G -bundle $EG \rightarrow BG$ because it is a principal G -bundle with contractible total space \tilde{B} , as follows from the fact that \tilde{B} is a simply connected CW-complex and $\pi_i(\tilde{B}) \cong \pi_i(B) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. We recall that the Borel construction $F \times_G EG$ is the orbit space $(F \times EG)/G$, where G acts diagonally on the product $F \times EG$. The G -equivariant cohomology of F is the cohomology of the Borel construction,

$$H_G^\bullet(F) = H^\bullet(F \times_G EG).$$

Using the model $\tilde{B} \rightarrow B$, this may be computed as

$$H_G^\bullet(F) = H^\bullet(F \times_G \tilde{B}).$$

The space $F \times_G \tilde{B}$ is the total space of a flat fiber bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F \hookrightarrow F \times_G \tilde{B} & & \\ & \downarrow & \\ & B, & \end{array}$$

whose projection is induced by the second-factor projection $F \times \tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$. Since G acts isometrically on F , Theorem 5.1 applies and we conclude that the Leray-Cartan-Lyndon spectral sequence for real coefficients of the G -space F collapses at the E_2 -term. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} H_G^k(F; \mathbb{R}) &\cong H^k(F \times_G \tilde{B}; \mathbb{R}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^p(B; \mathbf{H}^q(F; \mathbb{R})) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^p(G; \mathbf{H}^q(F; \mathbb{R})). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 7.2. If $F \xrightarrow{i} E \rightarrow B$ is any fibration with B path-connected such that $i^* : H^\bullet(E; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(F; \mathbb{R})$ is surjective (i.e. the fiber is “totally nonhomologous to zero in E ”), then the action of $\pi_1 B$ on $H^\bullet(F; \mathbb{R})$ is trivial (see [Hat04, p. 51, Ex. 2]) and the spectral sequence of the fibration collapses at E_2 , yielding the Leray-Hirsch theorem. Our results apply to situations where i^* needs not be surjective. In Section 8, we consider an example of a certain \mathbb{Z}^3 -action on the flag manifold $F_8 = U(8)/T^8$. We compute (in two different ways) that $H_{\mathbb{Z}^3}^2(F_8)$ has rank 5, while $H^2(F_8)$ has rank 7. Thus i^* is not surjective in this example. Moreover, in the context of the present paper, the action of $\pi_1 B$ on $H^\bullet(F; \mathbb{R})$ is typically nontrivial.

Let us discuss some immediate consequences of Theorem 7.1. For a G -module H , let $H^G = \{v \in H \mid gv = v \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ denote the subspace of invariant elements.

Corollary 7.3. *Let F be an oriented, closed, connected, smooth manifold and G a discrete group as in Theorem 7.1, acting smoothly on F so that hypothesis (1) or (2) is satisfied. Then there is a monomorphism*

$$H^k(G; \mathbb{R}) \oplus H^k(F; \mathbb{R})^G \hookrightarrow H_G^k(F; \mathbb{R})$$

for $k \geq 1$.

Proof. For $k \geq 1$, the direct sum of Theorem 7.1 contains the term $H^k(G; \mathbf{H}^0(F; \mathbb{R}))$ and the term $H^0(G; \mathbf{H}^k(F; \mathbb{R}))$. The former is isomorphic to $H^k(G; \mathbb{R})$, since G acts trivially on $H^0(F; \mathbb{R})$ and $H^0(F; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ as F is connected. The latter is isomorphic to $H^k(F; \mathbb{R})^G$; see the appendix. \square

In particular, we obtain lower bounds for the ranks of the equivariant groups in terms of the ranks of the group cohomology.

Corollary 7.4. *In the situation of Corollary 7.3, the inequalities*

$$\mathrm{rk} H^k(G) \leq \mathrm{rk} H_G^k(F)$$

hold for $k \geq 0$.

If a G -space F has a fixed point, then $\pi : F \times_G EG \rightarrow BG$ has a section given by $[e] \mapsto [(f, e)]$, where f is a fixed point. Consequently, $\pi^* : H^\bullet(G) \rightarrow H_G^\bullet(F)$ is a monomorphism. Note that our results concern group actions that may be fixed-point-free, even free. (See Example 7.6 below.) Let $\mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{R}} G$ denote the \mathbb{R} -cohomological dimension of a group G , that is, the smallest $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $H^k(G; \mathbb{R})$ vanishes for all $k > n$. For a topological space X , let $\mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{R}} X$ be the smallest $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that the singular cohomology $H^k(X; \mathbb{R})$ vanishes for all $k > n$.

Corollary 7.5. *In the situation of Corollary 7.3, the inequality*

$$\mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{R}} G \leq \mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{R}}(F \times_G EG)$$

holds.

Proof. Suppose that $n = \mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{R}} G$ is finite. Then $H^n(G; \mathbb{R})$ is not zero. By Corollary 7.4, $H_G^n(F; \mathbb{R})$ is not zero and it follows that $\mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{R}}(F \times_G EG) \geq n$. If $\mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{R}} G = \infty$, then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $N \geq n$ such that $H^N(F \times_G EG; \mathbb{R}) \neq 0$, whence $\mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{R}}(F \times_G EG) = \infty$. \square

Although the underlying spaces of the G -actions considered in this paper are smooth manifolds, and hence can be given a (regular) CW structure, no such structure can usually be found that would make the G -space into a G -complex. A G -complex is a CW complex together with a G -action which permutes the cells. If X is a G -complex on which G acts freely, then $H_G^\bullet(X) \cong H^\bullet(X/G)$. Thus, if F were a free G -complex satisfying the hypotheses of Corollary 7.3, then, by Corollary 7.5,

$$(4) \quad \mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{R}} G \leq \mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{R}}(F/G).$$

The following example of a free G -action shows that this inequality is generally false in the context of Theorem 7.1.

Example 7.6. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}$ act freely on $F = S^1$ by powers of a rotation by an angle which is an irrational multiple of 2π . The quotient topology on the orbit space S^1/\mathbb{Z} is the coarse topology, that is, the only open sets in S^1/\mathbb{Z} are the empty set and S^1/\mathbb{Z} . The coarse topology on a set X has the property that any map $Y \rightarrow X$ is continuous. In particular, the map $H : X \times I \rightarrow X$ given by $H(x, t) = x$ for $t \in [0, 1)$ and $H(x, 1) = x_0$ for all $x \in X$, where $x_0 \in X$ is a base-point, is continuous. Thus X is homotopy equivalent to a point and therefore acyclic. This shows that $\mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{R}}(S^1/\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Since $\mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Z} = \mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{R}} S^1 = 1$, inequality (4) is violated.

This also emphasizes that it is prudent to observe carefully the hypotheses of the Vietoris-Begle mapping theorem in attempting to apply it to the map $F \times_G EG \rightarrow F/G$ for a free action.

Example 7.6 illustrates once again that the actions considered in the present paper are generally not proper, since their orbits need not be closed. Furthermore, the isotropy groups

for a proper G -CW complex are compact (so finite if G is discrete). The isotropy groups arising for our actions can be infinite. (Consider the trivial \mathbb{Z} -action, or a \mathbb{Z} -action that factors through a finite cyclic group.) For a proper G -CW complex X , a result of W. Lück [Lüc07, Lemma 6.4], based on [LRV03, Lemma 8.1], asserts that the projection $X \times_G EG \rightarrow X/G$ induces an isomorphism

$$H_n(X \times_G EG; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_n(X/G; \mathbb{Q}).$$

For the actions arising in our Theorem 7.1, the groups $H_n(X \times_G EG; \mathbb{Q})$ and $H_n(X/G; \mathbb{Q})$ are generally not isomorphic, as Example 7.6 shows.

Let us consider some specific groups.

Corollary 7.7. *If \mathbb{Z}^n acts isometrically on an oriented, closed, connected, Riemannian manifold F , then*

$$\mathrm{rk} H_{\mathbb{Z}^n}^k(F) \geq \binom{n}{k},$$

with equality for $k = 0$.

Proof. The inequality follows from Corollary 7.4 by observing that we may take $K(\mathbb{Z}^n, 1) = T^n$, the n -torus, and $\mathrm{rk} H^k(T^n) = \binom{n}{k}$. \square

Corollary 7.8. *If a discrete, integral Heisenberg group \mathfrak{H}_n , n a positive integer, acts isometrically on an oriented, closed, connected, Riemannian manifold F , then $\mathrm{rk} H_{\mathfrak{H}_n}^0(F) = 1$,*

$$\mathrm{rk} H_{\mathfrak{H}_n}^k(F) \geq 2, \text{ for } k = 1, 2,$$

and $H_{\mathfrak{H}_n}^3(F; \mathbb{R})$ does not vanish.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{R}) \subset GL_3(\mathbb{R})$ be the continuous Heisenberg group, i.e. the subgroup of upper triangular matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This is a contractible Lie group. The discrete Heisenberg group \mathfrak{H}_n can be described as the subgroup of $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{R})$ generated by the matrices

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & n \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is a torsionfree, nilpotent group and a central extension of \mathbb{Z}^2 by \mathbb{Z} with relations $[X, Y_n] = Z^n$, $[X, Z] = 1$, $[Y_n, Z] = 1$. Being a subgroup of $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{R})$, \mathfrak{H}_n acts freely (and properly discontinuously and cocompactly) on $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{R})$. Thus the quotient map $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow B$ is the universal cover of the orbit space $B = \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{R})/\mathfrak{H}_n$ and B is a closed, orientable, smooth 3-manifold, in fact, an orientable circle-bundle over the 2-torus. Moreover, $\pi_1(B) = \mathfrak{H}_n$ and $\pi_k(B) = \pi_k \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{R}) = 0$ for $k \geq 2$. Hence $B = B\mathfrak{H}_n = K(\mathfrak{H}_n, 1)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(\mathfrak{H}_n) &= H_1(B) = \pi_1 B / [\pi_1 B, \pi_1 B] = \mathfrak{H}_n / [\mathfrak{H}_n, \mathfrak{H}_n] \\ &= \langle X, Y_n, Z \mid [X, Y_n] = 1, [X, Z] = 1, [Y_n, Z] = 1, Z^n = 1 \rangle \\ &= \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n. \end{aligned}$$

(See also [AM94, Chapter I, Section 3].) Thus

$$\mathrm{rk} H^1(\mathfrak{H}_n) = \mathrm{rk} H_1(\mathfrak{H}_n) = 2.$$

By Poincaré duality, $\text{rk} H^2(\mathfrak{H}_n) = \text{rk} H^1(\mathfrak{H}_n)$. Furthermore, $\text{rk} H^3(\mathfrak{H}_n) = 1$, as B is connected, closed, and orientable. The result follows from Corollary 7.4. \square

8. AN EXAMPLE: GROUP ACTIONS ON FLAG MANIFOLDS

We will compute the equivariant second real cohomology of a certain isometric \mathbb{Z}^3 -action on a (complete) flag manifold, using our Theorem 7.1. The result will then be independently confirmed by employing the recursive scheme introduced in the appendix. The flag manifold F_n is the space of all decompositions $\mathbb{C}v_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}v_n$ of \mathbb{C}^n into lines, where $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^n . Let $U = U(n)$ be the unitary group and $T = T^n \subset U$ the maximal torus given by the diagonal matrices. Writing each v_i as a column vector and using these as the columns of a matrix, we obtain an element u of U . If $\mathbb{C}v'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}v'_n = \mathbb{C}v_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}v_n$, then $u' = ut$ with $t \in T$. Thus $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \mapsto u$ induces a well-defined map $F_n \rightarrow U/T$, where U/T denotes the space of left cosets uT . This map is a diffeomorphism and exhibits F_n as a homogeneous space. The symmetric group S_n acts on F_n by permuting the lines $\mathbb{C}v_i$. In terms of unitary matrices, this can be described as follows. Since every permutation is a product of transpositions, it suffices to describe the action of a transposition τ . Let p_τ be the corresponding permutation matrix. The normalizer $N(T)$ of T in U consists of all generalized permutation matrices, that is, matrices whose pattern of zero entries is the same as the zero-pattern of a permutation matrix, but whose nonzero entries can be any complex number of modulus one. In particular, $p_\tau \in N(T)$. Using this, the map $\bar{\tau} : U/T \rightarrow U/T$ induced by *right*-multiplication with p_τ , $\bar{\tau}(uT) = up_\tau T$, is seen to be well-defined. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_n & \xrightarrow{\tau} & F_n \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ U/T & \xrightarrow{\bar{\tau}} & U/T \end{array}$$

commutes. Up to homotopy, $\bar{\tau}$ can also be described by conjugation: Given an element $v \in N(T)$, conjugation by v yields a well-defined map $c_v : U/T \rightarrow U/T$, $c_v(uT) = vuv^{-1}T$. While right multiplication by an element generally only induces a map on U/T if the element lies in $N(T)$, left multiplication by *any* element of U induces an automorphism of the homogeneous space. However, all these maps $f_g : U/T \rightarrow U/T$, $f_g(uT) = guT$, are homotopic to the identity, since in U we can choose a path g_t , $t \in [0, 1]$, from g to the identity (U is connected) and $uT \mapsto g_t uT$ is a homotopy from f_g to the identity. This shows that for $v = p_\tau$, c_v is homotopic to $\bar{\tau}$, as $c_v(uT) = f_{p_\tau}(up_\tau T)$. (A similar argument cannot be used to show that right multiplication $uT \mapsto uvT$ for fixed $v \in N(T)$, is homotopic to the identity because a path v_t from v to the identity would have to be chosen within $N(T)$, but $N(T)$ is disconnected.) Permutation matrices define a splitting of the Weyl group $N(T)/T \cong S_n$ into $N(T)$. Then the Weyl group acts on U/T by conjugation, and this is up to homotopy the action of S_n on F_n that permutes the lines.

The effect of this action on the real cohomology $H^\bullet(F_n)$ is easily determined. Let $x \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1})$ be the standard generator. There are n projection maps $\pi_i : F_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ given by taking the i -th line of an orthogonal decomposition. With $x_i = \pi_i^*(x) \in H^2(F_n)$, we have

$$H^\bullet(F_n) = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (e_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n),$$

where $e_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the i -th elementary symmetric function in x_1, \dots, x_n . The action of the Weyl group S_n on F_n induces the action on $H^\bullet(F_n)$ which permutes the x_i .

If a compact Lie group G acts smoothly on a smooth manifold, then that manifold can be equipped with a smooth G -invariant Riemannian metric. Thus, as S_n is finite, there exists a Riemannian metric on F_n such that S_n acts by isometries. We endow F_n with such a metric.

Next, let us determine which elements of S_n preserve the orientation of F_n . Let $\xi_i \in \Omega^2(F_n)$ be a closed form representing x_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. The flag manifold F_n is a complex manifold of complex dimension $d = n(n-1)/2$. By [HH84, Theorem 2.3], there is a nonzero constant C such that for scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$,

$$(5) \quad \int_{F_n} (\lambda_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \xi_n)^d = C \cdot \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j).$$

Thus if the λ_i are all distinct, then $(\lambda_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \xi_n)^d$ is a generator of the real top-dimensional cohomology group $H^{2d}(F_n) \cong \mathbb{R}$. When a permutation acts on the scalars λ_i by permuting them, then the right hand side of (5), and therefore also the left hand side, changes sign if and only if the permutation is odd. Consequently, the subgroup of orientation preserving automorphisms in S_n is the alternating group A_n .

To illustrate the use of our results, we turn to a specific example of a \mathbb{Z}^3 -action on $F_8 = U(8)/T^8$, a manifold of real dimension 56. Let R_1, R_2, R_3 be the following elements of A_8 :

$$R_1 = (1, 2)(3, 4), R_2 = (1, 3)(2, 4), R_3 = (5, 8, 6).$$

Since these form a commuting set, they define an isometric, orientation preserving \mathbb{Z}^3 -action on F_8 . The set $\{R_1, R_2, R_3\}$ generates an abelian subgroup of order 12 in A_8 . We shall determine the equivariant cohomology group $H_{\mathbb{Z}^3}^2(F_8)$. The classifying space is $B\mathbb{Z}^3 = T^3$. The group $H^2(F_8)$ has rank 7 and is linearly generated by x_1, \dots, x_8 subject to the relation $e_1 = x_1 + \dots + x_8 = 0$. An element

$$y = \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_8 x_8 \in H^0(T^3; \mathbf{H}^2(F_8)) \cong H^2(F_8)^{\mathbb{Z}^3}$$

must satisfy the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)x_1 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)x_2 + (\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)x_3 + (\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)x_4 &= \lambda(x_1 + \dots + x_8), \\ (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)x_1 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)x_2 + (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)x_3 + (\lambda_4 - \lambda_2)x_4 &= \lambda'(x_1 + \dots + x_8), \\ (\lambda_5 - \lambda_6)x_5 + (\lambda_6 - \lambda_8)x_6 + (\lambda_8 - \lambda_5)x_8 &= \lambda''(x_1 + \dots + x_8), \end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda, \lambda', \lambda'' \in \mathbb{R}$, if we regard x_1, \dots, x_8 as linearly independent generators of an 8-dimensional vector space V with $V/\mathbb{R}e_1 = H^2(F_8)$. Thus y is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \lambda_1(x_1 + \dots + x_4) + \lambda_5(x_5 + x_6 + x_8) + \lambda_7 x_7 \\ &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_7)(x_1 + \dots + x_4) + (\lambda_5 - \lambda_7)(x_5 + x_6 + x_8). \end{aligned}$$

The twisted group $H^0(T^3; \mathbf{H}^2(F_8))$ thus has rank 2 and basis $\{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4, x_5 + x_6 + x_8\}$. Since $H^1(F_8) = 0$, we have $H^1(T^3; \mathbf{H}^1(F_8)) = 0$. Furthermore, as $\mathbf{H}^0(F_8)$ is a constant local system of rank one on T^3 , the group $H^2(T^3; \mathbf{H}^0(F_8)) = H^2(T^3; \mathbb{R})$ has rank 3 generated by the dual basis of the homology basis $S^1 \times S^1 \times \text{pt}$, $S^1 \times \text{pt} \times S^1$, and $\text{pt} \times S^1 \times S^1$. By Theorem 7.1,

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{\mathbb{Z}^3}^2(F_8) &= H^0(T^3; \mathbf{H}^2(F_8)) \oplus H^1(T^3; \mathbf{H}^1(F_8)) \oplus H^2(T^3; \mathbf{H}^0(F_8)) \\ &= H^2(F_8)^{\mathbb{Z}^3} \oplus 0 \oplus H^2(T^3) \\ &\cong \mathbb{R}^5. \end{aligned}$$

We shall confirm this result by applying the recursive scheme of the appendix. Let $B_1 = \mathbb{R}^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}} F_8$ be the Borel construction of the \mathbb{Z} -action on F_8 by powers of R_1 , $B_2 = \mathbb{R}^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}} B_1$ the

Borel construction of the \mathbb{Z} -action on B_1 by powers of \bar{R}_2 , and $B_3 = \mathbb{R}^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}} B_2$ the Borel construction of the \mathbb{Z} -action on B_2 by powers of \bar{R}_3 (notation as in the appendix). As explained in the appendix, $H_{\mathbb{Z}^3}^2(F_8) = H^2(B_3)$ can then be found by carrying out the following steps:

1. Determine the action of R_1^*, R_2^* and R_3^* on both $H^0(F_8)$ (trivial) and $H^2(F_8)$. (Note $H^1(F_8) = 0$.)
2. Compute $(\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}} / \text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}})H^0(F_8)$ and $H^2(F_8)^{\mathbb{Z}}$.
3. Compute the action of \bar{R}_2^* and \bar{R}_3^* on both $(\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}} / \text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}})H^0(F_8)$ and $H^2(F_8)^{\mathbb{Z}}$.
4. Step 3 determines the action of \bar{R}_2^* and \bar{R}_3^* on both $H^1(B_1)$ and $H^2(B_1)$. The action of \bar{R}_2^*, \bar{R}_3^* on $H^0(B_1)$ is trivial.
5. Compute $(\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}} / \text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}})H^0(B_1)$, $(\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}} / \text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}})H^1(B_1)$, $H^1(B_1)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $H^2(B_1)^{\mathbb{Z}}$.
6. Using the results of steps 4 and 5, determine the action of \bar{R}_3^* on the four groups computed in step 5.
7. The result of step 6 determines the action of \bar{R}_3^* on $H^1(B_2)$ and $H^2(B_2)$.
8. Compute $(\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}} / \text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}})H^1(B_2)$ and $H^2(B_2)^{\mathbb{Z}}$.
9. The direct sum of the two groups obtained in step 8 is $H^2(B_3)$.

We shall now carry out these steps.

Step 1. For $y = \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_8 x_8 \in H^2(F_8)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^*(y) &= \lambda_2 x_1 + \lambda_1 x_2 + \lambda_4 x_3 + \lambda_3 x_4 + \lambda_5 x_5 + \dots + \lambda_8 x_8, \\ R_2^*(y) &= \lambda_3 x_1 + \lambda_4 x_2 + \lambda_1 x_3 + \lambda_2 x_4 + \lambda_5 x_5 + \dots + \lambda_8 x_8, \\ R_3^*(y) &= \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_4 x_4 + \lambda_6 x_5 + \lambda_8 x_6 + \lambda_7 x_7 + \lambda_5 x_8. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. If a group G acts trivially on a vector space V , then $\text{Princ}_G(V) = 0$. Moreover, if $G = \mathbb{Z}$ acts trivially, then $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}}(V) \cong V$, where the isomorphism is given by $f \mapsto f(1)$. We conclude that $(\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}} / \text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}})H^0(F_8) \cong H^0(F_8)$. If $y \in H^2(F_8)$ and $R_1^*(y) = y$, then by step 1, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4$. The set $\{x_1 + x_2, x_3 + x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7\}$ is a basis for $H^2(F_8)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ so that $H^2(F_8)^{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{R}^5$.

Step 3. The automorphisms \bar{R}_2^*, \bar{R}_3^* act trivially on $(\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}} / \text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}})H^0(F_8)$. The action of \bar{R}_2^* on $H^2(F_8)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is given by

$$x_1 + x_2 \mapsto x_3 + x_4, \quad x_3 + x_4 \mapsto x_1 + x_2, \quad x_5 \mapsto x_5, \quad x_6 \mapsto x_6, \quad x_7 \mapsto x_7,$$

while \bar{R}_3^* acts on $H^2(F_8)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 \mapsto x_1 + x_2, \quad x_3 + x_4 \mapsto x_3 + x_4, \quad x_5 \mapsto x_8 = -(x_1 + x_2) - (x_3 + x_4) - x_5 - x_6 - x_7, \\ x_6 \mapsto x_5, x_7 \mapsto x_7. \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. Since by Proposition 9.3,

$$H^1(B_1) = H^1(F_8)^{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}}}{\text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}}} H^0(F_8) = \frac{\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}}}{\text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}}} H^0(F_8),$$

\bar{R}_2^* and \bar{R}_3^* both act trivially on $H^1(B_1)$, using step 3. Again by Proposition 9.3,

$$H^2(B_1) = H^2(F_8)^{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}}}{\text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}}} H^1(F_8) = H^2(F_8)^{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

The action of \bar{R}_2^*, \bar{R}_3^* on $H^2(B_1)$ is thus given by the assignments listed in the previous step.

Step 5. We have $(\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}} / \text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}})H^0(B_1) \cong H^0(B_1)$, since \bar{R}_2^* acts trivially on $H^0(B_1)$. Since \bar{R}_2^* acts trivially on $H^1(B_1)$, $(\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}} / \text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}})H^1(B_1) \cong H^1(B_1)$ and $H^1(B_1)^{\mathbb{Z}} = H^1(B_1)$. If

$$y = \lambda_1(x_1 + x_2) + \lambda_3(x_3 + x_4) + \lambda_5 x_5 + \lambda_6 x_6 + \lambda_7 x_7$$

is any element of $H^2(B_1)$ and $\bar{R}_2^*(y) = y$, then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_3$. A basis of $H^2(B_1)^\mathbb{Z}$ is thus given by $\{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7\}$; $H^2(B_1)^\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{R}^4$.

Step 6. The map \bar{R}_3^* acts trivially on $(\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}/\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z})H^0(B_1)$, $(\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}/\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z})H^1(B_1)$ and $H^1(B_1)^\mathbb{Z}$. The action of \bar{R}_3^* on $H^2(B_1)^\mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + \dots + x_4 &\mapsto x_1 + \dots + x_4, \\ x_5 &\mapsto -(x_1 + \dots + x_4) - x_5 - x_6 - x_7 \\ x_6 &\mapsto x_5 \\ x_7 &\mapsto x_7. \end{aligned}$$

Step 7. As

$$H^1(B_2) = H^1(B_1)^\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}}{\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z}} H^0(B_1),$$

\bar{R}_3^* acts trivially on $H^1(B_2)$ by step 6 and Proposition 9.3. Let $\{X\}$ be a basis for

$$\frac{\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}}{\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z}} H^1(B_1) = H^1(B_1) = \frac{\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}}{\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z}} H^0(F_8) = H^0(F_8).$$

Since

$$H^2(B_2) = H^2(B_1)^\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}}{\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z}} H^1(B_1),$$

a basis for $H^2(B_2)$ is given by

$$\{x_1 + \dots + x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, X\},$$

and \bar{R}_3^* transforms this basis according to step 6 and $X \mapsto X$.

Step 8. We have $(\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}/\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z})H^1(B_2) \cong H^1(B_2)$, for \bar{R}_3^* acts trivially on $H^1(B_2)$. If

$$y = \lambda_1(x_1 + \dots + x_4) + \lambda_5 x_5 + \lambda_6 x_6 + \lambda_7 x_7 + \lambda X$$

is any element of $H^2(B_2)$ such that $\bar{R}_3^*(y) = y$, then $\lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = 0$. A basis of $H^2(B_2)^\mathbb{Z}$ is given by $\{x_1 + \dots + x_4, x_7, X\}$; $H^2(B_2)^\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{R}^3$.

Step 9. Proposition 9.3, Lemma 9.4, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}}{\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z}} H^1(B_2) &\cong H^1(B_2) \cong H^1(B_1)^\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}}{\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z}} H^0(B_1) \\ &\cong H^1(B_1) \oplus H^0(B_1) \cong H^0(F_8) \oplus \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \end{aligned}$$

show that

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}^3}^2(F_8) = H^2(B_3) \cong H^2(B_2)^\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}}{\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z}} H^1(B_2) \cong \mathbb{R}^5,$$

in agreement with (6).

9. APPENDIX: THE CASE OF THE FREE ABELIAN GROUP

We shall describe a recursive scheme for calculating the equivariant cohomology of an isometric action of \mathbb{Z}^p on a closed, oriented, path-connected, Riemannian manifold F . We begin with some remarks on calculating the action of the homomorphism induced on low-degree local system cohomology by a fiber preserving map. We shall think of a local system \mathbf{H} on a base space B as a functor $\mathbf{H} : \Pi_1(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} - \text{MOD}$ from the fundamental groupoid $\Pi_1(B)$ to the category $\mathbb{R} - \text{MOD}$ of real vector spaces and linear maps. Thus \mathbf{H} assigns to every $b \in B$ a real vector space $\mathbf{H}(b)$ and to every homotopy class $[\omega] \in \pi_1(B; b_1, b_2)$, where ω is a path $\omega : I \rightarrow B$, $\omega(0) = b_1$, $\omega(1) = b_2$, an isomorphism $\mathbf{H}[\omega] : \mathbf{H}(b_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(b_1)$. The functor \mathbf{H} satisfies $\mathbf{H}([\omega][\eta]) = \mathbf{H}[\omega] \circ \mathbf{H}[\eta]$. Let e_0, e_1, \dots be the canonical orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^∞ . The standard simplex Δ^p is the convex hull of $\{e_0, \dots, e_p\}$. Let $C^p(B; \mathbf{H})$ be the set of all functions c , which assign to each singular simplex $u : \Delta^p \rightarrow B$ an element

$c(u) \in \mathbf{H}(u(e_0))$. This set $C^p(B; \mathbf{H})$ is an abelian group under addition of function values. Let σ_u be the homotopy class of the path $t \mapsto u((1-t)e_1 + te_0)$, defining an isomorphism $\mathbf{H}(\sigma_u) : \mathbf{H}(u(e_0)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}(u(e_1))$. Taking cohomology with respect to the coboundary operator $\delta : C^p(B; \mathbf{H}) \rightarrow C^{p+1}(B; \mathbf{H})$ given by

$$(-1)^p \delta c(u) = \mathbf{H}(\sigma_u)^{-1} c(\partial_0 u) + \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^i c(\partial_i u)$$

yields $H^p(B; \mathbf{H})$, the cohomology of B with coefficients in \mathbf{H} .

The recursive scheme concerning \mathbb{Z}^n -actions only requires being able to compute in the degrees $p = 0$ and $p = 1$. Assume that B is path-connected and endowed with a base-point b_0 . Let $G = \pi_1(B, b_0)$ be the fundamental group and let $\mathbf{H}(b_0)^G$ denote the G -invariants of $\mathbf{H}(b_0)$, that is,

$$\mathbf{H}(b_0)^G = \{v \in \mathbf{H}(b_0) \mid g \cdot v = v \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

Here we wrote $g \cdot v = \mathbf{H}(g)(v)$, $\mathbf{H}(g) : \mathbf{H}(b_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(b_0)$, $g \in \pi_1(B; b_0, b_0) = G$. Let us recall the well-known isomorphism

$$\kappa^G : \mathbf{H}(b_0)^G \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(B; \mathbf{H}) = Z^0(B; \mathbf{H}).$$

For every $b \in B$, choose a path-class $\xi_b \in \pi_1(B; b, b_0)$ starting at b and ending at the base-point b_0 . Define a map

$$\kappa : \mathbf{H}(b_0) \longrightarrow C^0(B; \mathbf{H})$$

by

$$\kappa(v)(b) = \mathbf{H}(\xi_b)(v) \in \mathbf{H}(b),$$

where $\mathbf{H}(\xi_b) : \mathbf{H}(b_0) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}(b)$. (Zero-simplices u are points b in B .) If $v \in \mathbf{H}(b_0)^G \subset \mathbf{H}(b_0)$ is a G -invariant vector, then $\delta \kappa(v) = 0$, that is, $\kappa(v)$ is a cocycle. Hence κ restricts to a map

$$\kappa^G : \mathbf{H}(b_0)^G \longrightarrow Z^0(B; \mathbf{H}) = H^0(B; \mathbf{H}).$$

If $\kappa^G(v) = 0$, then $\mathbf{H}(\xi_b)(v) = 0$ and thus $v = 0$ as $\mathbf{H}(\xi_b)$ is an isomorphism. This shows that κ^G is injective. If $c \in Z^0(B; \mathbf{H})$ is a cocycle, then $v = c(b_0)$ is a G -invariant vector with $\kappa^G(v) = c$. Thus κ^G is surjective as well, hence an isomorphism. This isomorphism will be used to compute $H^p(B; \mathbf{H})$ for $p = 0$.

The group $H^1(B; \mathbf{H})$ ($p = 1$) will be computed as derivations modulo principal derivations. A *derivation* is a function $f : G \rightarrow V$, where V is a real G -vector space, such that $f(gh) = f(g) + g \cdot f(h)$ for all $g, h \in G$. The set of all functions from G to V is a real vector space under pointwise addition and scalar multiplication. The derivations form a linear subspace $\text{Der}_G(V)$. A *principal* derivation is a derivation f of the form $f(g) = g \cdot v - v$ for some $v \in V$ and all $g \in G$. The principal derivations form a subspace $\text{Princ}_G(V) \subset \text{Der}_G(V)$. We shall recall the well-known isomorphism

$$\theta : \frac{\text{Der}_G \mathbf{H}(b_0)}{\text{Princ}_G \mathbf{H}(b_0)} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(B; \mathbf{H}).$$

Observe that $H^1(B; \mathbf{H})$ can be computed by only considering singular 1-simplices that close up. Given a derivation $f : G \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(b_0)$, set

$$\theta[f](u) = f(\sigma_u^{-1})$$

on a closed 1-simplex $u : (\Delta^1, \partial \Delta^1) \rightarrow (B, b_0)$. If f is principal, then $u \mapsto f(\sigma_u^{-1})$ is a coboundary. Thus θ is well-defined and it is readily verified that it is an isomorphism.

Let us discuss the naturality of the above constructions. Suppose \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{G} are local coefficient systems on B . A homomorphism $\phi : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ of local systems is a natural transformation of functors. Thus for every point $b \in B$ there is a linear map $\phi(b) : \mathbf{H}(b) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(b)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{H}(b_2) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}(\omega)} & \mathbf{H}(b_1) \\ \phi(b_2) \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi(b_1) \\ \mathbf{G}(b_2) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{G}(\omega)} & \mathbf{G}(b_1) \end{array}$$

commutes for every $\omega \in \pi_1(B; b_1, b_2)$. This implies in particular that $\phi(b_0) : \mathbf{H}(b_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(b_0)$ is a G -equivariant map. A homomorphism ϕ of local systems induces a cochain map

$$\phi^\# : C^p(B; \mathbf{H}) \longrightarrow C^p(B; \mathbf{G})$$

by

$$(\phi^\# c)(u) = \phi(u(e_0))(c(u)).$$

Here, $c \in C^p(B; \mathbf{H})$ is a cochain, $u : \Delta^p \rightarrow B$ is a singular simplex, and $\phi(u(e_0)) : \mathbf{H}(u(e_0)) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(u(e_0))$, $c(u) \in \mathbf{H}(u(e_0))$. This cochain map in turn induces a map

$$\phi^* : H^p(B; \mathbf{H}) \longrightarrow H^p(B; \mathbf{G})$$

on cohomology.

For $p = 0, 1$ we wish to understand ϕ^* in terms of the above identifications κ^G and θ . Let us discuss $p = 0$. The image of a G -invariant vector $v \in \mathbf{H}(b_0)$ under $\phi(b_0) : \mathbf{H}(b_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(b_0)$ is again G -invariant, since $\phi(b_0)$ is G -equivariant. Therefore, $\phi(b_0)$ restricts to a map

$$\phi^* = \phi(b_0)| : \mathbf{H}(b_0)^G \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}(b_0)^G.$$

The calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^G(\phi^* v)(b) &= \kappa^G(\phi(b_0)(v))(b) \\ &= \mathbf{G}(\xi_b)(\phi(b_0)(v)) \\ &= \phi(b)(\mathbf{H}(\xi_b)(v)) \\ &= \phi(b)(\kappa^G(v)(b)) \\ &= (\phi^\# \kappa^G(v))(b) \end{aligned}$$

shows that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{H}(b_0)^G & \xrightarrow{\kappa^G} & H^0(B; \mathbf{H}) \\ \phi^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi^* \\ \mathbf{G}(b_0)^G & \xrightarrow{\kappa^G} & H^0(B; \mathbf{G}) \end{array}$$

commutes. Thus κ^G is a natural isomorphism. Let us turn to $p = 1$ and θ . Composing a derivation $f : G \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(b_0)$ with $\phi(b_0) : \mathbf{H}(b_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(b_0)$ yields a derivation $\phi(b_0)f : G \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(b_0)$. Hence, composition with $\phi(b_0)$ defines a map

$$\phi^* : \text{Der}_G \mathbf{H}(b_0) \longrightarrow \text{Der}_G \mathbf{G}(b_0).$$

Moreover, if f is principal, say $f(g) = gv - v = \mathbf{H}(g)(v) - v$ for all $g \in G$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi(b_0)f)(g) &= \phi(b_0)(\mathbf{H}(g)(v) - v) \\ &= \mathbf{G}(g)(w) - w \end{aligned}$$

with $w = \phi(b_0)(v)$. Thus $\phi^*(f)$ is again principal and ϕ^* induces a map

$$\phi^* : \frac{\text{Der}_G}{\text{Princ}_G} \mathbf{H}(b_0) \longrightarrow \frac{\text{Der}_G}{\text{Princ}_G} \mathbf{G}(b_0).$$

For $[f] \in \text{Der}_G \mathbf{H}(b_0) / \text{Princ}_G \mathbf{H}(b_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi^* \theta[f])(u) &= \phi(b_0)(\theta[f](u)) \\ &= \phi(b_0)(f(\sigma_u^{-1})) \\ &= \theta[\phi(b_0) \circ f](u) \\ &= (\theta \phi^*[f])(u), \end{aligned}$$

which proves that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\text{Der}_G}{\text{Princ}_G} \mathbf{H}(b_0) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & H^1(B; \mathbf{H}) \\ \phi^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi^* \\ \frac{\text{Der}_G}{\text{Princ}_G} \mathbf{G}(b_0) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & H^1(B; \mathbf{G}) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Let (F, g_F) be a closed, oriented, path-connected, Riemannian manifold. Let R and S be commuting orientation preserving isometries of F , $RS = SR$, defining a \mathbb{Z}^2 -action on F . We endow the real line \mathbb{R}^1 with the canonical metric $g_1 = dt^2$ and give $\mathbb{R}^1 \times F$ the product metric $g_1 + g_F$. Using S , we define a diffeomorphism $\bar{S} : \mathbb{R}^1 \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 \times F$ by $\bar{S}(t, x) = (t + 1, S(x))$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$, $x \in F$. This map defines a \mathbb{Z} -action on $\mathbb{R}^1 \times F$. Let E be the orbit space of this action. Since the action is properly discontinuous, the quotient map $\mathbb{R}^1 \times F \rightarrow E$ is a regular covering map and E is a smooth manifold, the mapping torus of S . If M, N are Riemannian manifolds and $f : M \rightarrow M$, $g : N \rightarrow N$ isometries, then $f \times g : M \times M \rightarrow N \times N$ is an isometry for the product metric. Since the translation $t \mapsto t + 1$ is an isometry and S is an isometry, we conclude that \bar{S} is an isometry. Thus \mathbb{Z} acts by isometries on $\mathbb{R}^1 \times F$ and there exists a unique metric g_E on E such that the quotient map $\mathbb{R}^1 \times F \rightarrow E$ is a local isometry. The projection $(t, x) \mapsto t$ induces a fiber bundle projection $p : E \rightarrow S^1$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^1 \times F & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathbb{R}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^1 / \mathbb{Z} = S^1 \end{array}$$

commutes. Using the isometry R , define a fiber-preserving diffeomorphism $\bar{R} : E \rightarrow E$ by $\bar{R}[t, x] = [t, R(x)]$. This is well-defined as R and S commute:

$$\bar{R}[t + 1, S(x)] = [t + 1, RS(x)] = [t + 1, SR(x)] = [t, R(x)] = \bar{R}[t, x].$$

Moreover, \bar{R} is an isometry of (E, g_E) , since $(t, x) \mapsto (t, R(x))$ is an isometry of $\mathbb{R}^1 \times F$. Our next goal is to describe the induced map $\bar{R}^* : H^\bullet(E) \rightarrow H^\bullet(E)$ in terms of a calculation of $H^\bullet(E)$ through the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of $p : E \rightarrow S^1$. Let $K \subset H^q(E)$ be the subspace

$$K = \ker(H^q(E) \longrightarrow H^q(F)).$$

Since S is orientation preserving, E is orientable and receives an orientation from the canonical orientation of \mathbb{R}^1 and the given orientation of F . Thus E is a closed, oriented manifold

and Hodge theory applies. On the vector space $\Omega^q(E)$ of smooth q -forms on E , an inner product is given by

$$\langle \omega, \eta \rangle = \int_E \omega \wedge * \eta.$$

The Hodge theorem asserts that with respect to this inner product, there is an orthogonal decomposition

$$\Omega^q(E) = \Delta \Omega^q(E) \oplus \text{Harm}^q(E),$$

where Δ is the Hodge Laplacian on E and $\text{Harm}^q(E)$ are the harmonic q -forms. The inner product restricts to an inner product on $\text{Harm}^q(E)$, which yields an inner product on $H^q(E)$ via the isomorphism $\text{Harm}^q(E) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^q(E)$ induced by the inclusion. Let K^\perp be the orthogonal complement of K in $H^q(E)$ with respect to this inner product.

Lemma 9.1. *The subspaces $K, K^\perp \subset H^q(E)$ are both \bar{R}^* -invariant.*

Proof. Let $i : F \hookrightarrow E$ be the inclusion of the fiber over the base-point. By the definition of \bar{R} , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{R} & F \\ i \downarrow & & i \downarrow \\ E & \xrightarrow{\bar{R}} & E \end{array}$$

commutes. On cohomology, it induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(F) & \xleftarrow{R^*} & H^q(F) \\ i^* \uparrow & & \uparrow i^* \\ H^q(E) & \xleftarrow{\bar{R}^*} & H^q(E). \end{array}$$

If $v \in K = \ker i^*$, then

$$i^* \bar{R}^*(v) = R^* i^*(v) = 0.$$

Thus $\bar{R}^*(v) \in K$ and K is \bar{R}^* -invariant.

In fact, K is invariant under $(\bar{R}^*)^{-1}$ as well: The inverse of \bar{R} is given by $\bar{R}^{-1}[t, x] = [t, R^{-1}(x)]$. Thus

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{R^{-1}} & F \\ i \downarrow & & i \downarrow \\ E & \xrightarrow{\bar{R}^{-1}} & E \end{array}$$

commutes, inducing

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(F) & \xleftarrow{(R^*)^{-1}} & H^q(F) \\ i^* \uparrow & & \uparrow i^* \\ H^q(E) & \xleftarrow{(\bar{R}^*)^{-1}} & H^q(E). \end{array}$$

If $v \in K$, then

$$i^* (\bar{R}^*)^{-1}(v) = (R^*)^{-1} i^*(v) = 0,$$

proving the $(\bar{R}^*)^{-1}$ -invariance of K .

Since \bar{R} is an orientation preserving isometry, its induced map commutes with the Hodge star, $\bar{R}^* \circ * = * \circ \bar{R}^*$. Thus, for harmonic forms $\omega, \eta \in \text{Harm}^q(E) \cong H^q(E)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{R}^* \omega, \bar{R}^* \eta \rangle &= \int_E \bar{R}^* \omega \wedge *(\bar{R}^* \eta) = \int_E \bar{R}^* \omega \wedge \bar{R}^* (*\eta) \\ &= \int_E \bar{R}^* (\omega \wedge *\eta) = \int_E \omega \wedge *\eta \\ &= \langle \omega, \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, \bar{R}^* is an orthogonal transformation on $H^q(E)$, and so is $(\bar{R}^*)^{-1}$. For $v \in K$ and $w \in K^\perp$,

$$\langle \bar{R}^* w, v \rangle = \langle (\bar{R}^*)^{-1} \bar{R}^* w, (\bar{R}^*)^{-1} v \rangle = \langle w, v \rangle = 0,$$

since $(\bar{R}^*)^{-1} v \in K$. Hence $\bar{R}^* w \in K^\perp$ and K^\perp is \bar{R}^* -invariant. \square

By Lemma 9.1, \bar{R}^* restricts to maps

$$R_K^* : K \longrightarrow K, R_\perp^* : K^\perp \longrightarrow K^\perp.$$

It follows that $\bar{R}^* : H^q(E) \rightarrow H^q(E)$ splits as an orthogonal sum

$$(7) \quad \bar{R}^* = R_\perp^* \oplus R_K^*.$$

Orthogonal projection defines a map $H^q(E) \rightarrow K^\perp$. There is a unique isomorphism $\tau : K^\perp \rightarrow H^q(E)/K$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & H^q(E) & \longrightarrow & K^\perp \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow \tau \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & H^q(E) & \longrightarrow & H^q(E)/K \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

with exact rows, commutes. Since K is \bar{R}^* -invariant, \bar{R}^* induces a map $\bar{R}_Q^* : H^q(E)/K \rightarrow H^q(E)/K$.

Lemma 9.2. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^\perp & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^q(E)/K \\ R_\perp^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{R}_Q^* \\ K^\perp & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^q(E)/K \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^\perp & \xrightarrow{\tau} & H^q(E)/K \\ \uparrow \text{proj} & & \uparrow \text{quot} \\ & H^q(E) & \\ \downarrow \bar{R}^* & & \\ & H^q(E) & \\ \downarrow \text{proj} & & \downarrow \text{quot} \\ K^\perp & \xrightarrow{\tau} & H^q(E)/K \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \downarrow R_\perp^* \\ \downarrow \bar{R}_Q^* \end{array}$$

commutes. Given $v \in K^\perp \subset H^q(E)$, we have $\text{proj}(v) = v$ and thus $\tau(v) = \text{quot}(v)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_Q^* \tau(v) &= \bar{R}_Q^* \text{quot}(v) = \text{quot} \bar{R}^*(v) = \tau \circ \text{proj} \circ \bar{R}^*(v) \\ &= \tau \circ R_\perp^* \circ \text{proj}(v) = \tau R_\perp^*(v). \end{aligned}$$

□

Using (7) and Lemma 9.2, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(E) & \xlongequal{\quad} & K^\perp \oplus K \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tau \oplus \text{id}_K} \frac{H^q(E)}{K} \oplus K \\ \bar{R}^* \downarrow & & R_\perp^* \oplus R_K^* \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow R_Q^* \oplus R_K^* \\ H^q(E) & \xlongequal{\quad} & K^\perp \oplus K \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tau \oplus \text{id}_K} \frac{H^q(E)}{K} \oplus K \end{array}$$

commutes. We have constructed an explicit isomorphism $\beta = \tau \oplus \text{id}_K : H^q(E) \xrightarrow{\cong} (H^q(E)/K) \oplus K$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(E) & \xlongequal[\beta]{\sim} & (H^q(E)/K) \oplus K \\ \bar{R}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow R_Q^* \oplus R_K^* \\ H^q(E) & \xlongequal[\beta]{\sim} & (H^q(E)/K) \oplus K \end{array}$$

commutes. Therefore, the action of \bar{R}^* on $H^q(E)$ is completely determined by R_Q^* and R_K^* .

The Leray-Serre spectral sequence $\{(E_r^{\bullet,\bullet}, d_r)\}$ of a fibration $f : X \rightarrow B$ with fiber F has the following properties. With B_p the p -skeleton of the CW-complex B , $X_p = f^{-1}(B_p)$, and

$$J^{p,q} = \ker(H^{p+q}(X) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(X_{p-1})),$$

there is an isomorphism

$$\alpha(f) : J^{p,q} / J^{p+1,q-1} \cong E_\infty^{p,q}$$

and an isomorphism

$$\gamma(f) : H^p(B; \mathbf{H}^q(F)) \cong E_2^{p,q},$$

where $\mathbf{H}^q(F)$ is the local system on B induced by f with group $H^q(F)$ over a point in B . Suppose $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \rightarrow B$ is another fibration having fiber, say, \bar{F} , associated spectral sequence $\{(\bar{E}_r^{\bullet,\bullet}, \bar{d}_r)\}$ and filtration $\bar{J}^{\bullet,\bullet}$. Suppose furthermore that $\phi : X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ is a fiber preserving map. Then $\phi(X_p) \subset \bar{X}_p$ and on cohomology $\phi^*(\bar{J}^{p,q}) \subset J^{p,q}$ so that ϕ^* is filtration preserving and induces a morphism $\phi^* : \bar{E} \rightarrow E$ of spectral sequences. A morphism of spectral sequences induces a morphism $\phi_\infty^* : \bar{E}_\infty \rightarrow E_\infty$ such that the diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{J}^{p+1,q-1} & \longrightarrow & \bar{J}^{p,q} & \longrightarrow & \bar{E}_\infty^{p,q} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \phi^* \downarrow & & \phi^* \downarrow & & \phi_\infty^* \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J^{p+1,q-1} & \longrightarrow & J^{p,q} & \longrightarrow & E_\infty^{p,q} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes, see [McC85, page 49]. Thus $\alpha(f)$ is natural — the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{J}^{p,q} / \bar{J}^{p+1,q-1} & \xrightarrow[\alpha(\bar{f})]{\cong} & \bar{E}_\infty^{p,q} \\ \phi^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_\infty^* \\ J^{p,q} / J^{p+1,q-1} & \xrightarrow[\alpha(f)]{\cong} & E_\infty^{p,q} \end{array}$$

commutes. The map ϕ induces furthermore a homomorphism $\phi : \mathbf{H}^q(\bar{F}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^q(F)$ of local systems, which in turn induces a map $\phi^* : H^p(B; \mathbf{H}^q(\bar{F})) \rightarrow H^p(B; \mathbf{H}^q(F))$. By [Whi78, Chapter XIII, Theorem 4.9 (6)], γ is natural: The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^p(B; \mathbf{H}^q(\bar{F})) & \xrightarrow[\gamma(\bar{f})]{\cong} & \bar{E}_2^{p,q} \\ \phi^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_2^* \\ H^p(B; \mathbf{H}^q(F)) & \xrightarrow[\gamma(f)]{\cong} & E_2^{p,q} \end{array}$$

commutes.

Let us specialize to our bundle E over the circle $B = S^1$ and the fiber preserving map $\phi = \bar{R} : E \rightarrow E$. We give the circle its minimal cell structure. Then the filtration J consists of two possibly nontrivial pieces,

$$J^{0,q} = H^q(E), \quad J^{1,q-1} = K, \quad (J^{2,q-2} = 0),$$

and we have

$$E_\infty^{0,q} \cong \frac{J^{0,q}}{J^{1,q-1}} = \frac{H^q(E)}{K}, \quad E_\infty^{1,q-1} \cong \frac{J^{1,q-1}}{J^{2,q-2}} = K.$$

Since the base is one-dimensional, only the $p = 0$ and $p = 1$ columns can contain nonzero entries. Thus the spectral sequence collapses at E_2 (not using our main Theorem 5.1) and $E_2^{p,q} = E_\infty^{p,q}$, $\phi_2 = \phi_\infty$ (i.e. $\bar{R}_2 = \bar{R}_\infty$). Putting the above isomorphisms γ, α, β together, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(S^1; \mathbf{H}^q(F)) \oplus H^1(S^1; \mathbf{H}^{q-1}(F)) & \xrightarrow{\bar{R}^* \oplus \bar{R}^*} & H^0(S^1; \mathbf{H}^q(F)) \oplus H^1(S^1; \mathbf{H}^{q-1}(F)) \\ \cong \downarrow \gamma \oplus \gamma & & \cong \downarrow \gamma \oplus \gamma \\ E_2^{0,q} \oplus E_2^{1,q-1} & \xrightarrow{\bar{R}_2^* \oplus \bar{R}_2^*} & E_2^{0,q} \oplus E_2^{1,q-1} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ E_\infty^{0,q} \oplus E_\infty^{1,q-1} & \xrightarrow{\bar{R}_\infty^* \oplus \bar{R}_\infty^*} & E_\infty^{0,q} \oplus E_\infty^{1,q-1} \\ \cong \uparrow \alpha \oplus \alpha & & \cong \uparrow \alpha \oplus \alpha \\ \frac{H^q(E)}{K} \oplus K & \xrightarrow{R_Q^* \oplus R_K^*} & \frac{H^q(E)}{K} \oplus K \\ \cong \uparrow \beta & & \cong \uparrow \beta \\ H^q(E) & \xrightarrow{\bar{R}^*} & H^q(E). \end{array}$$

Using the isomorphisms κ^G , for $G = \pi_1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$, and θ , we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(F)^\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}}{\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z}} H^{q-1}(F) & \xrightarrow{\bar{R}^* \oplus \bar{R}^*} & H^q(F)^\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}}{\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z}} H^{q-1}(F) \\ \cong \downarrow \kappa^\mathbb{Z} \oplus \theta & & \cong \downarrow \kappa^\mathbb{Z} \oplus \theta \\ H^0(S^1; \mathbf{H}^q(F)) \oplus H^1(S^1; \mathbf{H}^{q-1}(F)) & \xrightarrow{\bar{R}^* \oplus \bar{R}^*} & H^0(S^1; \mathbf{H}^q(F)) \oplus H^1(S^1; \mathbf{H}^{q-1}(F)), \end{array}$$

which computes the twisted cohomology terms. Appending the above two diagrams, we have shown:

Proposition 9.3. *Let F be a closed, oriented, path-connected, Riemannian manifold and R, S two commuting, orientation preserving isometries of F . Let E be the mapping torus of S and $\bar{R} : E \rightarrow E$ the fiber preserving isometry induced by R , $\bar{R}[t, x] = [t, R(x)]$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$, $x \in F$. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$H^q(E) \cong H^q(F)^\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}}{\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z}} H^{q-1}(F),$$

which is independent of R , identifies $\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z} H^{q-1}(F) / \text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z} H^{q-1}(F)$ with $\ker(H^q(E) \rightarrow H^q(F))$, and makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(E) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(F)^\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}}{\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z}} H^{q-1}(F) & & \\ \bar{R}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{R}^* \oplus \bar{R}^* \\ H^q(E) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(F)^\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}}{\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z}} H^{q-1}(F) & & \end{array}$$

commute.

With this proposition in hand, we are in a position to describe the recursive scheme calculating the equivariant cohomology of isometric \mathbb{Z}^n -actions on F . For notational convenience, we are content with describing the method for $n = 3$ isometries. It will be apparent to everyone how to proceed if n is larger. Let F be a closed, oriented, path-connected, Riemannian manifold. Let R_1, R_2, R_3 be commuting orientation preserving isometries of F , determining a \mathbb{Z}^3 -action on F . We wish to calculate the equivariant cohomology $H_{\mathbb{Z}^3}^\bullet(F)$. Let B_1 be the Borel construction of the \mathbb{Z} -action on F by powers of R_1 , that is, B_1 is the mapping torus $B_1 = \mathbb{R}^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}} F$. The closed, oriented manifold B_1 is a fiber bundle over the circle with fiber F . The isometry R_2 defines a fiber preserving isometry $\bar{R}_2 : B_1 \rightarrow B_1$ by $\bar{R}_2[t, x] = [t, R_2(x)]$. Since the monodromy of the mapping torus B_1 is R_1 , the monodromy of the induced local system $\mathbf{H}^q(F)$ is R_1^* . Thus, assuming that the action of R_1^* on $H^\bullet(F)$, defining a \mathbb{Z} -action on $H^\bullet(F)$, as well as the action of R_2^* on $H^\bullet(F)$, are known, the automorphism \bar{R}_2^* of $H^q(B_1)$ can be computed, using Proposition 9.3, via the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(B_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(F)^\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}}{\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z}} H^{q-1}(F) & & \\ \bar{R}_2^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{R}_2^* \oplus \bar{R}_2^* \\ H^q(B_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(F)^\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_\mathbb{Z}}{\text{Princ}_\mathbb{Z}} H^{q-1}(F) & & \end{array}$$

By the same method, the action of the automorphism induced on $H^\bullet(B_1)$ by $[t, x] \mapsto [t, R_3(x)]$ can be determined.

Let B_2 be the Borel construction of the \mathbb{Z} -action on B_1 by powers of \bar{R}_2 , that is, B_2 is the mapping torus $B_2 = \mathbb{R}^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}} B_1$. The closed, oriented manifold B_2 is a fiber bundle over the

circle with fiber B_1 . The isometry R_3 defines a fiber preserving isometry $\bar{R}_3 : B_2 \rightarrow B_2$ by $\bar{R}_3[t_1, [t_2, x]] = [t_1, [t_2, R_3(x)]]$. This is well-defined, since R_3 commutes with R_1 and R_2 : For integers m and n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_3[t_1 + n, \bar{R}_2^n[t_2 + m, R_1^m(x)]] &= \bar{R}_3[t_1 + n, [t_2 + m, R_2^n R_1^m(x)]] \\ &= [t_1 + n, [t_2 + m, R_3 R_2^n R_1^m(x)]] \\ &= [t_1 + n, [t_2 + m, R_2^n R_1^m R_3(x)]] \\ &= [t_1 + n, \bar{R}_2^n[t_2 + m, R_1^m R_3(x)]] \\ &= [t_1, [t_2, R_3(x)]] \\ &= \bar{R}_3[t_1, [t_2, x]]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 9.3, we compute $\bar{R}_3^* : H^\bullet(B_2) \rightarrow H^\bullet(B_2)$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(B_2) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^q(B_1)^{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}}}{\text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}}} H^{q-1}(B_1) \\ \bar{R}_3^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{R}_3^* \oplus \bar{R}_3^* \\ H^q(B_2) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^q(B_1)^{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}}}{\text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}}} H^{q-1}(B_1). \end{array}$$

Here, the \mathbb{Z} -action on $H^\bullet(B_1)$ is given by powers of $\bar{R}_2^* : H^\bullet(B_1) \rightarrow H^\bullet(B_1)$, which we computed in the previous step. Since the action of the automorphism induced on $H^\bullet(B_1)$ by $[t, x] \mapsto [t, R_3(x)]$ is also known from the previous step, the right hand side of the diagram can indeed be computed.

Finally, let B_3 be the Borel construction of the \mathbb{Z} -action on B_2 by powers of \bar{R}_3 , that is, B_3 is the mapping torus $B_3 = \mathbb{R}^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}} B_2$.

Lemma 9.4. *The manifold B_3 is diffeomorphic to the Borel construction $F_{\mathbb{Z}^3} = E\mathbb{Z}^3 \times_{\mathbb{Z}^3} F = \mathbb{R}^3 \times_{\mathbb{Z}^3} F$ of the \mathbb{Z}^3 -action on F .*

Proof. A smooth map $\phi : B_3 \rightarrow F_{\mathbb{Z}^3}$ is given by

$$\phi[t_1, [t_2, [t_3, x]]] = [(t_1, t_2, t_3), x].$$

This is well-defined because

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[t_1 + n, \bar{R}_3^n[t_2 + m, \bar{R}_2^m[t_3 + p, R_1^p(x)]]] &= \phi[t_1 + n, \bar{R}_3^n[t_2 + m, [t_3 + p, R_2^m R_1^p(x)]]] \\ &= \phi[t_1 + n, [t_2 + m, [t_3 + p, R_3^n R_2^m R_1^p(x)]]] \\ &= [(t_1 + n, t_2 + m, t_3 + p), R_3^n R_2^m R_1^p(x)] \\ &= [(t_1, t_2, t_3), x] \\ &= \phi[t_1, [t_2, [t_3, x]]]. \end{aligned}$$

A smooth map $\psi : F_{\mathbb{Z}^3} \rightarrow B_3$ is given by

$$\psi[(t_1, t_2, t_3), x] = [t_1, [t_2, [t_3, x]]],$$

which is also well-defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[(t_1 + n, t_2 + m, t_3 + p), R_3^n R_2^m R_1^p(x)] &= [t_1 + n, [t_2 + m, [t_3 + p, R_3^n R_2^m R_1^p(x)]]] \\ &= [t_1 + n, \bar{R}_3^n[t_2 + m, \bar{R}_2^m[t_3 + p, R_1^p(x)]]] \\ &= [t_1, [t_2, [t_3, x]]] \\ &= \psi[(t_1, t_2, t_3), x]. \end{aligned}$$

The maps ϕ and ψ are inverses of each other. \square

Then the equivariant cohomology of F is given by

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}^3}^q(F) = H^q(F_{\mathbb{Z}^3}) \cong H^q(B_3) \cong H^q(B_2)^{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{\text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}}}{\text{Princ}_{\mathbb{Z}}} H^{q-1}(B_2),$$

where the \mathbb{Z} -action on $H^{\bullet}(B_2)$ is given by powers of \overline{R}_3^* , computed in the previous step.

REFERENCES

- [AM94] A. Adem and J. Milgram, *Cohomology of finite groups*, Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, vol. 309, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1994.
- [Ban10] M. Banagl, *Intersection spaces, spatial homology truncation, and string theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1997, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2010.
- [Ban11] ———, *Foliated stratified spaces and a de Rham complex describing intersection space cohomology*, submitted preprint, arxiv:1102.4781, 2011.
- [Bre97] G. E. Bredon, *Sheaf theory*, second ed., Grad. Texts in Math., no. 170, Springer Verlag, 1997.
- [BT82] R. Bott and L. W. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, no. 82, Springer Verlag, 1982.
- [Dai91] X. Dai, *Adiabatic limits, nonmultiplicativity of signature, and Leray spectral sequence*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 2, 265 – 321.
- [DH89] M. W. Davis and J.-C. Hausmann, *Aspherical manifolds without smooth or PL structure*, Algebraic Topology, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1370, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1989, pp. 135 – 142.
- [Hat04] A. Hatcher, *Spectral sequences in algebraic topology*, Preprint, 2004.
- [HH84] M. Hoffman and W. Homer, *On cohomology automorphisms of complex flag manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **91** (1984), no. 4, 643 – 648.
- [LRV03] W. Lück, H. Reich, and M. Varisco, *Commuting homotopy limits and smash products*, K-Theory **30** (2003), 137 – 165.
- [Lüc07] W. Lück, *Rational computations of the topological K-theory of classifying spaces of discrete groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **611** (2007), 163 – 187.
- [McC85] J. McCleary, *User's guide to spectral sequences*, Mathematics Lecture Series, no. 12, Publish or Perish, 1985.
- [Mil58] J. Milnor, *On the existence of a connection with curvature zero*, Comment. Math. Helv. **32** (1958), 215 – 223.
- [MS74] J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Ann. of Math. Studies, vol. 76, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1974.
- [Mül11] J. Müller, *A Hodge-type theorem for manifolds with fibered cusp metrics*, preprint, 2011.
- [Pal61] R. S. Palais, *On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. **73** (1961), no. 2, 295 – 323.
- [Smi77] J. Smillie, *Flat manifolds with non-zero Euler characteristics*, Comment. Math. Helv. **52** (1977), 453 – 455.
- [Whi78] G. W. Whitehead, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Math., no. 61, Springer Verlag, 1978.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG, IM NEUENHEIMER FELD 288, 69120 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY

E-mail address: banagl@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de