# CONGRUENCES FOR RAMANUJAN'S $\omega(q)$ 
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#### Abstract

Recently, Bruinier and Ono investigated the arithmetic of the coefficients of Ramanujan's mock theta function $\omega(q)$. In [4] they obtained congruences with respect to the modulus 512 . Here we show that $\omega(q)$ modulo 5 is dictated by an elliptic curve.


## 1. Introduction and statement of results

In a recent paper, Bruinier and Ono obtained congruences for the coefficients of Ramanujan's mock theta function $\omega(q)$ modulo 512 . They used the theory of generalized Borcherds products which they developed in [3]. Although their paper concerned derivatives of $L$-functions, it turns out that their results have interesting implications for partitions and $q$-series.

Here we illustrate further implications of their results. We consider Ramanujan's mock theta function

$$
\omega(q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{\omega}(n) q^{n}:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2 n(n+1)}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n+1}^{2}}=1+2 q+3 q^{2}+4 q^{3}+6 q^{4}+8 q^{5}+\cdots,
$$

where we use the following notation

$$
(a ; q)_{n}:=(1-a)(1-a q)\left(1-a q^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-a q^{n-1}\right) .
$$

The following identity

$$
q \omega(q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n+1}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n+1}},
$$

which was obtained by Fine [5], reveals that $q \omega(q)$ is the generating function for a simple partition function. The coefficient $a_{\omega}(n)$ denotes the number of partitions of $n-1$ whose summands, apart from one of maximal size, form pairs of consecutive non-negative integers. ${ }^{1}$

Example. The partitions of 5 are:

$$
5,4+1,3+2,3+1+1,2+2+1,2+1+1+1,1+1+1+1+1
$$

[^0]Of these partitions, six correspond to partitions whose summands, apart from one of the largest summand, occur in pairs of consecutive integers:

$$
\begin{gathered}
5,4+(1+0), 3+(1+0)+(1+0), 2+(2+1) \\
2+(1+0)+(1+0)+(1+0), 1+(1+0)+(1+0)+(1+0)+(1+0)
\end{gathered}
$$

And this corresponds to our observation that $a_{\omega}(4)=6$.
In this note we investigate the arithmetic properties of the partition function $a_{\omega}(n)$ with respect to the modulus 5 . We shall relate this function to the newform associated to a conductor 30 elliptic curve. Then we will use the theory of Galois representations to obtain density results on the distribution of $a_{\omega}(n)$ modulo 5 .

To this end we define a divisor function using the coefficients $a_{\omega}(n)$. This function looks "strange" at a first glance, but in fact it arises naturally from one of the generalized Borcherds products associated to $\omega(q)$ (see [3] for a detailed description).

In the following let $\left(\frac{\bullet}{n}\right)$ denote the usual Legendre-symbol and let $\chi(m):=\left(\frac{-8}{m}\right)$ denote the classical Jacobi-symbol character. We define $\hat{\sigma}_{\omega}(n)$ by

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{\omega}(n):=\sum_{1 \leq d \mid n}\left(\frac{d}{3}\right) \chi(n / d) d \cdot a_{\omega}\left(\frac{2 d^{2}-2}{3}\right)
$$

and we consider the generating function

$$
L_{\omega}(q):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\sigma}_{\omega}(n) q^{n}=q-6 q^{2}+q^{3}+116 q^{4}-506 q^{5}-6 q^{6}+\cdots
$$

In [4] Bruinier and Ono showed that the $q$-series $L_{\omega}(q)$ is the Fourier expansion of a weight 2 meromorphic modular form on $\Gamma_{0}(6)$, where here and throughout this note we let $q:=e^{2 \pi i z}$. We show that this series is interesting geometrically when reduced modulo 5 .

Theorem 1.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(30)\right)$ be the newform associated to the elliptic curve $E: y^{2}+x y+y=x^{3}+x+2$ with Fourier expansion $f(q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) q^{n}$. Then the following are true:

1) We have that

$$
L_{\omega}(q) \equiv f(q)(\bmod 5)
$$

2) In particular, if $p \geq 7$ is prime, then we have that

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{\omega}(p) \equiv-\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{x^{3}+1917 x+99198}{p}\right)(\bmod 5)
$$

Remark. Let $p \geq 7$ be a prime and let here and in the following $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ denote the number of points modulo $p$ on the elliptic curve $E$. If $p+1 \equiv \# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)(\bmod 5)$, then we have

$$
\epsilon(p)+p\left(\frac{p}{3}\right) a_{\omega}\left(\frac{2 p^{2}-2}{3}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 5), \text { where } \epsilon(p)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } p \equiv 1,3(\bmod 8), \\ -1 & \text { if } p \equiv 5,7(\bmod 8) .\end{cases}
$$

Example. If $p=61$, then $61 \equiv \# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{61}\right)(\bmod 5)$ and $61 \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\sigma}_{\omega}(61) & =-1+61\left(\frac{61}{3}\right) a_{\omega}\left(\frac{2 \cdot 61^{2}-2}{3}\right) \\
& =524263587645622458257610902116440762430 .
\end{aligned}
$$

And we directly see that

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{\omega}(61) \equiv 0(\bmod 5) .
$$

Corollary 1.2. For $p \geq 7$ prime and $g(x):=x^{3}+1917 x+99198$ we have that

$$
a_{\omega}\left(\frac{2 p^{2}-2}{3}\right) \equiv \begin{cases}-p^{3}\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)\left(1+\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{g(x)}{p}\right)\right)(\bmod 5) & \text { if } p \equiv 1,3(\bmod 8) \\ p^{3}\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)\left(1-\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{g(x)}{p}\right)\right)(\bmod 5) & \text { if } p \equiv 5,7(\bmod 8)\end{cases}
$$

A naive guess would be that for each residue class modulo 5 the congruence $a_{\omega}\left(\frac{2 p^{2}-2}{3}\right) \equiv r p^{3}\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)(\bmod 5)$ holds for one fifth of the time. But here we show that this is not true.

Corollary 1.3. If for $0 \leq r \leq 4$ we let $\delta(r)$ denote the Dirichlet density of primes $p$ for which

$$
a_{\omega}\left(\frac{2 p^{2}-2}{3}\right) \equiv r p^{3}\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)(\bmod 5),
$$

then

$$
\delta(r)= \begin{cases}\frac{19}{96} & \text { if } r=0, \\ \frac{13}{64} & \text { if } r=1, \\ \frac{19}{96} & \text { if } r=2, \\ \frac{19}{96} & \text { if } r=3, \\ \frac{13}{64} & \text { if } r=4 .\end{cases}
$$

Remark. Let $x$ be a positive integer and let $0 \leq r \leq 4$. Define

$$
\delta_{x}(r):=\frac{\#\left\{7 \leq p \leq x: a_{\omega}\left(\frac{2 p^{2}-2}{3}\right) \equiv r p^{3}\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)(\bmod 5)\right\}}{\pi(x)}
$$

where $\pi(x)$ denotes the number of primes $p \leq x$. Computing $L_{\omega}(q)$ up to 150,000 terms we get

$$
\delta_{x}(r) \approx \begin{cases}0.1981 & \text { if } r=0 \\ 0.2026 & \text { if } r=1, \\ 0.1976 & \text { if } r=2, \\ 0.1985 & \text { if } r=3, \\ 0.2033 & \text { if } r=4\end{cases}
$$

We note that $\frac{13}{64} \approx 0.2031$ and $\frac{19}{96} \approx 0.1979$.
In Section 2 we prove these results using Bruinier's and Ono's results about generalized Borcherds products combined with various arguments from the theory of modular forms, elliptic curves, and Galois representations.

## 2. Proofs

Our results are based on the fact that $L_{\omega}(q)$ is essentially the logarithmic derivative of the following generalized Borcherds product

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\omega}(z): & =\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{-2} q^{m}-q^{2 m}}{1-\sqrt{-2} q^{m}-q^{2 m}}\right)^{-4\left(\frac{m}{3}\right) a_{\omega}\left(\frac{2 m^{2}-2}{3}\right)} \\
& =1-8 \sqrt{-2} q-(64-24 \sqrt{-2}) q^{2}+(384+168 \sqrt{-2}) q^{3} \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

This function arises as a twisted generalized Borcherds lift for the fundamental discriminant $\Delta=-8$ (see Section 8.2 of [3] for details).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. In order to prove that $L_{\omega}(q)$ is the reduction of a holomorphic modular form, we recall the construction of $B_{\omega}(z)$ given in [3]. Let $j_{6}^{*}(z)$ be the usual Hauptmodul for $\Gamma_{0}^{*}(6)$, the extension of $\Gamma_{0}(6)$ by all the Atkin-Lehner involutions, which is given by
$j_{6}^{*}(z):=\left(\frac{\eta(z) \eta(2 z)}{\eta(3 z) \eta(6 z)}\right)^{4}+4+3^{4}\left(\frac{\eta(3 z) \eta(6 z)}{\eta(z) \eta(2 z)}\right)^{2}=q^{-1}+79 q+352 q^{2}+1431 q^{3}+\cdots$, where $\eta(z):=q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)$ is the Dedekind eta-function. Let $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ be the Heegner points

$$
\alpha_{1}:=\frac{-2+\sqrt{-2}}{6} \text { and } \alpha_{2}:=\frac{2+\sqrt{-2}}{6} .
$$

It is $j_{6}^{*}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=j_{6}^{*}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=-10$. Thus, it follows that $j_{6}^{*}(z)+10$ is a rational modular function on $X_{0}(6)$ whose divisor consists of the 4 cusps with multiplicity -1 and the points $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ with multiplicity 2 .

Let $E_{4}(z)=1+240 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{3}(n) q^{n}$ be the standard weight 4 Eisenstein series for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, and let

$$
\delta(z):=\eta(z)^{2} \eta(2 z)^{2} \eta(3 z)^{2} \eta(6 z)^{2}=q-2 q^{2}-3 q^{3}+4 q^{4}+\cdots .
$$

Using $E_{4}(z)$ and $\delta(z)$, we define the weight 4 holomorphic modular form $\phi(z)$ on $\Gamma_{0}(6)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
450 \phi(z):= & (3360-1920 \sqrt{-2}) \delta(z)+(1-7 \sqrt{-2}) E_{4}(z) \\
& +(4-28 \sqrt{-2}) E_{4}(2 z)+(89+7 \sqrt{-2}) E_{4}(3 z)+(356+28 \sqrt{-2}) E_{4}(6 z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\phi(z)$ has divisor $4\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$. In terms of $\phi(z), j_{6}^{*}(z)$ and $\delta(z)$, it turns out that

$$
B_{\omega}(z)=\frac{\phi(z)}{\left(j_{6}^{*}(z)+10\right) \delta(z)}
$$

We now apply the idea of the proof of Theorem 1 of [2]. Since $E_{4}\left(e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{3}}\right)=0$ and $j\left(e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{3}}\right)=0$ it is easy to show that

$$
\mathcal{E}(z):=\frac{E_{4}(z)^{6}(j(z)-8000)^{2}}{j(z)^{2}}
$$

is a weight 24 holomorphic modular form. Moreover, it satisfies the congruence

$$
\mathcal{E}(z) \equiv 1(\bmod 5) .
$$

Then, following the proof of Theorem 1 in [2], we see that $\mathcal{E}(z) \cdot \Theta\left(B_{\omega}(z)\right) / B_{\omega}(z)$ is a holomorphic modular form of weight 26 on $\Gamma_{0}(6)$, where $\Theta$ denotes Ramanujan's Theta-operator. We recall Theorem 1 of [4], namely that $-8 \sqrt{-2} L_{\omega}(q)=$ $\Theta\left(B_{\omega}(z)\right) / B_{\omega}(z)$ is a meromorphic modular form of weight 2 on $\Gamma_{0}(6)$. Therefore, we have that $L_{\omega}(q)\left(\bmod 5^{k}\right)$, for every positive integer $k$, is the reduction of a holomorphic modular form.

Now let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(30)\right)$ be the newform associated to the elliptic curve

$$
E: y^{2}+x y+y=x^{3}+x+2
$$

and let $f(q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) q^{n}$ be its Fourier expansion. Then $f(q)$ is given by

$$
f(q):=q-q^{2}+q^{3}+q^{4}-q^{5}-q^{6}-4 q^{7}-q^{8}+q^{9}+q^{10}+q^{12}+\cdots .
$$

We apply Sturm's Theorem (see for example Theorem 2.58 of [6]), which says that $L_{\omega}(q) \equiv f(q)(\bmod 5)$ if and only if at least 156 terms agree modulo 5 . With the help of a simple computer program we check that this is indeed the case.

To prove part 2) of the theorem we observe that an affine model for $E$ is given by

$$
E: y^{2}=x^{3}+1917 x+99198
$$

The claim follows directly since the coefficients of the associated newform $f(q)$ are given by

$$
a(p)=p+1-\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=-\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{x^{3}+1917 x+99198}{p}\right)
$$

Proof of Corollary 1.2. That

$$
a_{\omega}\left(\frac{2 p^{2}-2}{3}\right) \equiv \begin{cases}-p^{3}\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)\left(1+\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{g(x)}{p}\right)\right)(\bmod 5) & \text { if } p \equiv 1,3(\bmod 8) \\ p^{3}\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)\left(1-\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{g(x)}{p}\right)\right)(\bmod 5) & \text { if } p \equiv 5,7(\bmod 8)\end{cases}
$$

where $g(x):=x^{3}+1917 x+99198$ follows in a straightforward manner from Theorem 1 , namely that

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{\omega}(p) \equiv-\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{x^{3}+1917 x+99198}{p}\right)(\bmod 5)
$$

and the definition of $\hat{\sigma}_{\omega}(p)$.
Proof of Corollary 1.3. In order to prove the density results, we briefly recall the theory of Galois representations for elliptic curves.

In the following let $l \geq 5$ be prime and let $E / \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an elliptic curve. Then we let $\rho_{E, l}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{l}\right)$ be the Galois representation associated to $E$. As usual $\tilde{\rho}_{E, l}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z})$ denotes its reduction modulo $l$. This yields a Galois representation on the $l$-torsion points of $E / \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then we have that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{E, l}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)\right)=a(p)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\rho_{E, l}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)\right)=p,
$$

where $a(p)=p+1-\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. In particular, these identities yield congruences when reducing modulo $l$.

Let $E_{5}$ denote the 5 -torsion field of $E$. We claim that the image of $E_{5}$ under $\tilde{\rho}_{E, 5}$ is $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / 5 \mathbb{Z})$. We can find elements in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(E_{5} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ with trace congruent to $r=0$, $1,2,3,4$ respectively and we see that the determinant map det: $\operatorname{Gal}\left(E_{5} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ is surjective. Thus, we can apply Proposition 19 of [7] which then implies the desired result. The density results then follow from investigating the conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / 5 \mathbb{Z})$ and the Chebotarev Density Theorem.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The interested reader should see Andrew's recent paper [1] for more on the combinatorial interpretation of $\omega(q)$.

